The alpheid shrimp genus *Athanas* Leach, 1814 *sensu lato* (*sensu* Banner and Banner 1960 1973, Chace 1988) presently includes over 40 species in the eastern Atlantic and Indo-West Pacific. Most species are small (< 10 mm in total length) and inhabit coral reefs and rocky or muddy-sandy bottoms; some species live in symbiosis (commensalism) with other animals, such as thalassinideans, stomatopods, and echinoderms (Suzuki 1970, Banner and Banner 1973, Gherardi 1991, Froglia and Atkinson 1998, Anker et al. 2001, Hayashi 2002, Marin et al. 2005). *Athanas* species are mainly characterized by the presence of a triangular articulated plate on the 6th abdominal segment, and a well-developed, distally acute rostrum. The variously developed orbital teeth, and highly variable chelipeds, often armed with teeth, but without a fossa-tooth mechanism (e.g., Chace 1988) suggest that *Athanas sensu lato* is a highly heterogeneous and perhaps non-monophyletic assemblage.

Banner and Banner (1960) questioned the validity of *Arete* Stimpson, 1860. They considered the features used by Coutière (1899 1903) to distinguish between *Arete* and *Athanas* invalid, e.g., the number of pereiopodal epipods and the number of carpal segments of the 2nd pereiopod, are variable within *Rugathanas* gen. nov., but may be used to distinguish *Athanas* from *Arete*. The distribution ranges of *R. borradailei* (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov. and *R. verrucosus* (Banner and Banner, 1960) comb. nov. are considerably extended based on recently collected material from the Ryukyu Is., Japan; Kenting, southern Taiwan; and Norfolk I., off eastern Australia. http://zoolstud.sinica.edu.tw/Journals/46.4/454.pdf

Key words: Alpheidae, New genus, *Athanas*, *Arete*, Indo-Pacific.

*To whom correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed.
this synonymy (e.g., Chace 1988). However, Banner and Banner (1982) recognized that 2 closely related species originally described as *Arete borradailei* Coutière, 1903 and *Athanas verrucosus* Banner and Banner, 1960 did not fit into either the generic diagnoses of *Arete* nor *Athanas*, and proposed reconsidering the validity of *Arete*, a suggestion they subsequently did not return to.

Both *Are. borradailei* and *Ath. verrucosus* are rarely collected shrimps. The latter species was until now only known from the type locality, Eniwetok Atoll, Marshall Is. (Banner and Banner 1960). This is partly due to the small size and cryptic lifestyles of these shrimps, which usually live in crevices of dead and living corals or in porous rocks overgrown with coralline algae. The only efficient method of collecting this type of cryptofauna is to carefully hammer these rocks apart to extract small animals from deep holes and crevices. During the first author’s (AA) field trip to Kenting National Park, southern Taiwan, 1 specimen of *Ath. verrucosus* was extracted by this method from dead coral rubble, collected from shallow water near the shore. We also examined several specimens of this species from Emily Bay, Norfolk I., off New South Wales, Australia, deposited in the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, Australia (NTM). The second author (MSJ) previously collected several specimens of *Are. borradailei* in Kenting. Through the courtesy of Keiichi Nomura (Kushimoto Marine Park Center, Kushimoto, Japan) we were able to study 4 specimens of *Are. borradailei* from the Ryukyu Archipelago. In addition, we examined 2 specimens of *Are. borradailei* from the southwestern Indian Ocean previously reported by Banner and Banner (1983), and numerous specimens of *Athanas* and *Arete* deposited in various museums.

The morphological features of *Are. borradailei* and *Ath. verrucosus* clearly set these 2 species apart from all other species of *Athanas* or *Arete*. Therefore, a new genus, *Rugathanas* gen. nov., is herein established to accommodate these 2 species and formally resurrect *Arete* from synonymy of *Athanas*. *Arete sensu stricto* (sensu Stimson 1860, not sensu Coutière 1903) and *Athanas sensu stricto* (sensu Coutière 1899 1903, not sensu Banner and Banner 1960) are redefined.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The material used in this study is deposited in the collections of the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, Australia (NTM); the Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Japan (CBM); the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France (MNHN); the Zoological Reference Collection, Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore (ZRC); Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan (ASIZ); and the Naturhistorisches Museum in Wien, Vienna, Austria (NHMW).

All drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida. The carapace length (CL) and total length (TL) were measured in millimeters from the tip of the rostrum to the posterior margin of the carapace and telson, respectively. In cheliped descriptions, the terms equal, subequal, and unequal refer to size, while symmetrical, subsymmetrical, and asymmetrical refer to shape (general shape of the palm, armature of the fingers, etc.). Abbreviations used in the text include: Ar, arthrobranch; Ep, lobe-shaped epipod; Ex, exopod; Ma, mastigobranch (strap-like epipod); Mxp, maxilliped; P, pereiopod; Pd, podobranch; Pl, pleurobranch; r, rudimentary; Se, setobranch; +, present; -, absent.

**TAXONOMY**

*Rugathanas* gen. nov.

**Diagnosis**: Body stout. Carapace smooth, not setose. Frontal margin with relatively short, somewhat ascendant rostrum, bearing acute tip, with or without subdistal tooth on ventral margin. Extraconal teeth strong, acute, reaching or protruding beyond anterior margin of eyes; supraconal teeth usually well developed, acute; infraconal teeth reduced or absent. Orbital hoods absent; eyes exposed in dorsal and lateral views. Pterygostomial margin angular, protruding, not acute. Cardiac notch well developed. Second abdominal pleuron greatly expanded in females. Sixth abdominal somite with articulated plate at posteroventral angle; preanal plate rounded. Antennules with minute teeth on distal margin of first segment; ventromesial carina of 1st segment without tooth; lateral flagellum with rudimentary secondary ramus. Antenna with stout basicerite, with or without distolateral tooth; scaphocerite short, broadly oval-shaped. Mandible with palp, incisor process greatly expanded, distally with at least 15 min teeth. Maxillule with bilobed palp, ventral lobe with 1 seta, dorsal lobe unarmed. Labrum swollen, conspicuously protruding, visible in lateral view. Third maxilliped with penultimate segment as long as wide; ultimate segment with...
unarmed tip. First pereiopods (chelipeds) sexually
dimorphic, carried flexed; coxa unarmed mesially;
basis with rudimentary exopod; ischium robust,
unarmed mesially; chela with lineae impressa
on palm or cavity-tooth system on fingers. Male
chelipeds subequal or unequal, subsymmetrical or
asymmetrical (with major cheliped situated either
on left or right side); ischium armed with spines on
dorsal and usually ventral margin; merus swollen,
distally depressed; carpus elongated, vaseshaped,
excavated ventrally; chela enlarged, oval,
compressed, slightly convex mesially, flattened lat-
erally, dorsal and ventral margins rugose; linea
impressa absent; fingers unarmed or armed with
simple teeth. Female chelipeds equal, symmetri-
cal; ischium usually unarmed or armed with sever-
al spines on dorsal margin; merus not or slightly
inflated, flattened ventrally; carpus cup-shaped,
more or less excavated ventrally; chela varying
from oval-shaped to slender, with smooth or
rugose margins, fingers unarmed. Carpus of 2nd
pereiopod bearing 4 or 5 segments. Third perei-
opod with unarmed ischiurn and merus; carpus with
1 distal spine on ventral margin; propodus with
numerous ventral spines; dactylus simple, highly
extensible dorsally. Fifth pereiopod with feebly
developed propodal brush. Second pleopod with
appendix interna and appendix masculina in
males, with appendix interna only in females.
Telson with 2 pairs of dorsal spines and 2 pairs of
posterolateral spines; anal tubercles absent.
Gill/exopod formula showing great variation in
posterolateral spines; anal tubercles absent.
Telson with 2 pairs of dorsal spines and 2 pairs of
males, with appendix interna only in females.

### Type species: Rugathanas verrucosus
(Banner and Banner, 1960) comb. nov. [Athanas
verrucosus Banner and Banner 1960: 147]; type

### Other species included: Rugathanas bor-
radailei (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov. [Arete bor-
radailei Coutière 1903: 80]; type locality: Hulule
Male Atoll, the Maldives.

### Etymology: Rugathanas is a combination of
the Latin adjective ruga (rugged, uneven), referring
to the characteristic rugosities on the margins of
the male chelae, and Athanas (a Greek king),
referring to its affinity with the genus Athanas.

### Distribution: Indo-West Pacific from the Red
Sea and Madagascar to Japan, Australia, and
Samoa.

### Remarks: Rugathanas may be separated
from both Athanas and Arete by numerous fea-
tures on the frontal margin of the carapace, anten-
nules, antennae, chelipeds, and mandible. For
instance, Rugathanas is characterized by the
unique shape of the male (and sometimes female)
chelipeds. The oval-shaped palm, compressed and
flattened laterally and with rugose dorsal and
ventral margins, and the vaso-shaped, ventrally
evacuated carpus (Figs. 1a, 2l, m, 3c-e, 4a, 5b, c)
are externally the most obvious features separat-
ing Rugathanas from Arete and Athanas. The
configuration of the frontal margin of the carapace
of Rugathanas is also characteristic of the genus
(Figs. 2a, b, 3a, b, 5a), the most important features
being the relatively short, ascendant rostrum, often
with a small subdistal tooth on the ventral margin
(vs. a long, straight rostrum, without a ventral tooth
in Athanas and Arete); the presence of supra-
orbital teeth (absent in all Arete and most Athanas
species, with the exceptions of Ath. djiboutensis
Coutière, 1897 and Ath. areteformis Coutière,
1903, cf. Fig. 8b); the absence of infra-corneal
teeth (usually absent in Arete, but often present in
Athanas); and the presence of strong extra-corneal
teeth reaching or sometimes protruding well
beyond the anterior margin of the cornea (vs. usu-
ally not reaching far beyond this margin in Arete
and Athanas, but with some exceptions, e.g., Ath.
areteformis, cf. Fig. 8a).

Rugathanas also differs from Arete and
Athanas by the considerably expanded incisor
process of the mandible ("psalistome" in Banner
and Banner 1960), which is distally furnished with
numerous (usually more than 15) minute teeth
(Figs. 2g, h). In Arete and Athanas, the incisor
process is not or only slightly expanded, distally
bearing 5-12 teeth (A. Anker pers. obs.). One
notable exception is again Ath. areteformis, in
which the incisor process is also expanded and
distally bears around 15 teeth (Figs. 8c, d). However,
this species has very differently shaped
chelipeds (Figs. 8e-j) and plainly belongs to the
genus Athanas (see below). In species of
Rugathanas, the labrum is greatly swollen (Fig.
2c), sometimes conspicuously protruding in lateral
view (Fig. 3b), apparently to accommodate the
expanded incisor process of the mandible. In con-
trast, the labrum of *Ath. areteformis* is only moderately swollen and not visible in lateral view.

Other features distinguishing *Rugathanas* from *Arete* and *Athanas* are the stouter and (compared to other antennal parts) larger basiscerite (Figs. 2a, 3b); the unarmed ventromesial carina on the 1st antennular segment (Fig. 2d) (vs. armed with strong tooth in all species of *Arete* and *Athanas*, partly visible in figure. 8a; see also Bruce 1990); the tip of the ultimate segment of the 3rd maxillipod without spines (vs. with spines in all *Arete* and most *Athanas* species); and the dorsally more extensible dactylus of the 3rd-5th pereiopods, which can be placed in an almost perpendicular position to the propodus (Figs. 2p, q). This unusually flexible articulation is strikingly similar to that of the unrelated alpheid genus *Metalpheus* Coutière, 1906, in which, however, the dactylus extension is facilitated by a small ventral sclerite (Banner 1959). *Rugathanas* also differs from *Athanas* by the strongly dentate margin of the 1st and 2nd segments of the antennular peduncle (Figs. 3a, 5a) (vs. straight or finely toothed in *Athanas*), and the much shorter penultimate segment of the 3rd maxillipod, which is about as long as wide in *Rugathanas* (Fig. 2k) (vs. distinctly longer than wide in *Athanas*).

The number of carpal segments in the 2nd pereiopod varies from 4 in *R. borradiai* (Fig. 2o) to 5 in *R. verrucosus* (Fig. 4a). The strap-like epipods (mastigobranchs) are present on the 3rd maxilliped and 1st and 2nd pereiopods in *R. verrucosus*, but are absent in *R. borradiai*. Although these 2 important characters are variable within *Rugathanas*, they remain valuable for differentiating *Rugathanas* from *Arete sensu stricto* and *Athanas sensu stricto* (see below). Within the Alpheidae, an intrageneric variation in the number of carpal segments is not rare and also occurs in *Synalpheus* Bate, 1888 (4 to 5, see Banner and Banner 1975), *Leptalpheus* Williams, 1965 (4 to 5, see Anker et al. 2006c), *Alpheopsis* Coutière, 1896, and *Prionalpheus* Banner and Banner 1960 (3-5, see Banner and Banner 1971 1973, Bruce 1990b, Martínez-Iglesias and Carvacho 1991). Similarly, intrageneric variation in the number of pereiopodal epipods was also reported in at least 2 other genera, *Alpheopsis* (2-4, see Coutière 1899, Anker et al. 2005) and *Athanas* (see below).

*Rugathanas*, *Athanas* and *Arete* are part of a larger generic complex (athanoid complex); other athanoid genera are *Athanopsis* Coutière, 1896 (5 species), *Pseudathanas* Bruce, 1983 (1 species), *Acanthanas* Anker, Poddoubtchenko and Jeng, 2006 (1 species), and *Aretopsis* De Man, 1910 (1 or 2 species). This complex forms a well-defined monophyletic clade (the clade AP of Anker et al. 2006a) within the family Alpheidae. According to Anker et al. (2006a) *Athanopsis* and *Pseudathanas* are sister genera, as are *Arete* and *Aretopsis*. *Rugathanas* (represented by *R. borradiai*) formed a sister group to *Athanas*. *Athanas* was found to be non-monophyletic; however, first, this genus was not adequately represented in this analysis, and second, the position of *A. squillophilus* as a sister to *Athanopsis* and *Pseudathanas* is rather questionable. The recently described *Acanthanas* was not included in Anker et al.’s (2006a) cladogram, but based on its morphological features (see Anker et al. 2006b), this genus appears to form a separate lineage somewhere within the clade *Athanas - Rugathanas*.

**Key to the genera of the athanoid generic complex and to the species of *Rugathanas***

1a. Rostrum distally rounded .............................................. 2
1b. Rostrum distally acute ................................................ 3
2a. Chelipeds always carried extended, with dactylus in ventral position ................................................................. *Aretopsis*
2b. Chelipeds carried flexed (propodus fitting in a deep excava-
    tion on merus), dactylus in dorsal or dorsolateral posi-
    tion when chela extended ........................................... *Athanopsis*
3a. Eyestalks with two conspicuously projecting acute spines
    .......................................................... *Acanthanas*
3b. Eyestalks without spines ................................................ 4
4a. Diaeresis of uropodal exopod without row of spines
    ....................................................................... *Pseudathanas*
4b. Diaeresis of uropodal exopod without spines ................. 5
5a. Male chelipeds with oval-rounded chelae, palm with rugose margins, carpus vaso-shaped, ventrally excavated; labrum swollen, protruding; mandible with greatly expanded incisor process; supra-orbital teeth present ................................................................. *Rugathanas* 6
5b. Male chelipeds with elongated or oval-shaped chelae, palm with non-rugose margins (sometimes with tuberc-
    les), carpus variably shaped; labrum neither swollen nor protruding; incisor process of mandible not or only slightly
    expanded; supra-orbital teeth present or absent ............. 7
6a. Strap-like epipods absent; 2nd pereiopod with 4-segment-
    ed carpus; male chelipeds asymmetrical; female cheli-
    peds only slightly enlarged .................................. *R. borradiai*
6b. Strap-like epipods present on Mxp3 and P1-2; 2nd perei-
    opod with 5-segmented carpus; male chelipeds sub-
    symmetrical; female chelipeds greatly enlarged .......... ................................................................. *R. verrucosus*
7a. Strap-like epipods present on Mxp3 and P1-2; 2nd perei-
    opod with 4-segmented carpus; cheliped ischiium with
    strong process mesially; supra-orbital teeth absent ............ *Arete*
7b. Strap-like epipods present on Mxp3 and P1-3 (exception-
    ally on P1-2 or P1-4); 2nd pereiopod with 5-segmented
    carpus; cheliped ischiium without a strong process mesi-
    ally; supra-orbital teeth absent or present .............. *Athanas*
Rugathanas borradailei (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov.
(Figs. 1-3, 6a)

Arete borradailei Coutière 1903: 80, figs. 19-24; Coutière 1905: 861, fig. 133; Jacquotte 1964: 180; Banner and Banner 1966a: 152; Banner and Banner 1983: 73; Chace 1988: 61.
Arete ghardaqensis Ramadan 1936: 15, pl. 1, figs. 2-8.
Athanas ghardaquensis Banner and Banner 1981: 42; Banner and Banner 1983: 73.
Athanas polynesia Banner and Banner 1966a: 152, fig. 7;

Material examined: CBM-ZC 8469, 1 male (CL 2.6, TL 8.3), Japan, S Ryukyu Arch., Yaeyama Group, Kuro-shima, Nakamoto, dead coral crevices, intertidal, coll. K. Nomura, 24 Sep. 1987 [YMP-672c]; MNHN-Na 15778, 2 ovigerous females (CL 2.5, TL 8.5; CL 2.7, TL 8.5), Japan, S Ryukyu Arch., Yaeyama Group, Kuro-shima, Iko, dead coral crevices, depth 2 m, coll. K. Nomura,

Fig. 1. Rugathanas borradailei (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov.: (a) male from Kenting, southern Taiwan (ASM); (b) ovigerous female from the Ryukyu Is., Japan (MNHN).
29 Aug. 1986 [YMP-163]; MNHN-Na 15779, 1 ovigerous female (CL 2.8, TL 8.1) Japan, S Ryukyu Arch., Yaeyama Group, Kuro-shima, Urabishi, dead coral crevices, depth 3 m, coll. K. Nomura, 17 Jul. 1999 [YMP-2395]; ASIZ 72761, 1 male (CL 5.3, TL 15.3), 1 female (CL, TL not mea-

Fig. 2. *Rugathanas borradailei* (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov., ovigerous female (a-k, o-s) and male (l-n) from southwestern Madagascar (MNHN): (a) frontal region, lateral view; (b) frontal margin of carapace, dorsal view; (c) frontal region, ventral view showing bases of antennules and antennae, labrum, and position of mouthparts; (d) antennule, lateral view; (e) same, peduncle, dorsal view; (f) scaphocerite; (g, h) mandible from 2 different aspects; (i) maxillule; (j) 2nd maxilliped; (k) 3rd maxilliped; (l) left cheliped, lateral view; (m) same, chela, mesial view; (n) same, coxa and basis with exopod; (o) 2nd pereiopod; (p) 3rd pereiopod; (q) 5th pereiopod, distal carpus, propodus, and dactylus; (r) uropod; (s) abdomen and cephalothorax (frontal appendages and pereiopods not drawn).
sured), S Taiwan, Kenting National Park, coll. M.S. Jeng; MNHN-Na 4604, 1 male (CL 2.7, TL 8.8), La Réunion, reef crevices, coll. M. Peyrot-Clausade; MNHN-Na 4610, 1 ovigerous female (CL 2.8, TL 8.8), Toliara (Tuléar), Madagascar, from reef crevices, coll. M. Peyrot-Clausade.

**Diagnosis:** Male chelipeds enlarged, varying from subequal and subsymmetrical in immature individuals to unequal and asymmetrical in adults; ischium with spines; palm expanded, ventral margin rugose, without papillae; fingers unarmed or with small teeth. Female chelipeds feebly enlarged, equal, symmetrical; ischium usually without spines, palm not expanded. Second pereiopod with 4-segmented carpus. Strap-like epipods (mastigobranchs) absent. For complete description see Banner and Banner (1982 1983).

**Size:** Small-sized shrimp; largest specimen examined, a male from Taiwan (Fig. 1a), measured 15.3 mm TL; the average TL of Australian specimens (reported as *Ath. polynesia*) being 8 mm (Banner and Banner 1982).

**Colour:** Semitransparent with greenish or olive tinge and feebly marked yellowish-orange bands on abdomen tail fan and chelae; pale-brown inner organs visible due to transparence; eggs green (Fig. 6a).

**Habitat:** Crevice-dweller on coral reefs. Most specimens from the western Indian Ocean were found in cavities of coral rocks overgrown with coralline algae, in groove and spur system on the outer reef edge to 25 m deep; in sections of reef flat and a pinnacle on the inner slope; in dead and overgrown coral heads, as well as among branches of living corals, e.g., *Acropora variabilis* (Klunzinger, 1879) and *Stylophora mordax* (Dana, 1846) (Banner and Banner 1983). Jacquotte (1964) found several specimens in boring holes of the sea urchin *Stomopneustes variolaris* (Lamarck, 1816). The Australian specimens (reported as *Ath. polynesia*) inhabited areas covered with encrusting coralline algae in 2-7 m (Banner and Banner 1982).

**Distribution:** Maldives: Hulule Malé Atoll (type

---

**Fig. 3.** *Rugathanas borradalei* (Coutière, 1903) comb. nov., male from Samoa reported as *Athanas polynesia* Banner and Banner, 1966 (a-e), and female from Kenting, southern Taiwan (ASIZ) (f): (a) frontal region; (b) frontal region with protruding labrum and 3rd maxilliped, lateral view; (c) major cheliped, lateral view; (d) same, carpus and chela, mesial view; (e) minor cheliped, mesial view (a-e, adapted from Banner and Banner 1966a).
locality of *R. borradailei* (Coutière 1903 1905); Egypt: Hurghada (type locality of *Are. ghardaqensis*) (Ramadan 1936); Gulf of Aqaba (Banner and Banner 1981); Somalia; La Réunion; Mauritius (Banner and Banner 1983); Madagascar (Jacquotte 1964, Banner and Banner 1983); Philippines: Negros I. (Chace 1988); Australia: Lizard I. off Queensland (type locality of *Ath. polynesia*) (Banner and Banner 1982); Samoa (Banner and Banner 1966a); southern Taiwan: Kenting; southern Japan: Ryukyu Is. (present study).

**Remarks:** The taxonomic statuses of *Are. ghardaqensis* Ramadan, 1936 and *Ath. polynesia* Banner and Banner, 1966 were reviewed by Banner and Banner (1983), who placed both nominal species in synonymy with *Ath. borradailei* (now *R. borradailei*). Those authors concluded that the chelipeds of *R. borradailei* are variable and sexually dimorphic: slender, symmetrical, with a few or no spines on the ischium in females (Coutière 1905: fig. 135c, Banner and Banner 1982: fig. 93k); and robust, subsymmetrical or asymmetrical, with usually strong spines on ischium in males (Banner and Banner 1966a: figs. 7e-g, 1982: figs. 93c-e).

However, other features of *R. borradailei*, such as the development of the orbital teeth, the shape of the rostrum, and the armature of the antennal basicerite, are also variable (Ramadan 1936, Banner and Banner 1983). Therefore, *R. borradailei* cannot be excluded from either being a variable species or a species complex.

**Rugathanas verrucosus** (Banner and Banner, 1960) comb. nov. (Figs. 4, 5, 6b)

*Athanas verrucosus* Banner and Banner 1960: 147, fig. 4; Banner and Banner 1968: 270; Banner and Banner 1983: 75.

**Material examined:** NTM Cr001663, 2 males

![Fig. 4. Rugathanas verrucosus (Banner and Banner, 1960) comb. nov.: (a) male from Kenting, southern Taiwan (ASIZ); (b) ovigerous female (left) and male (right) from Norfolk I. (NTM) showing sexual dimorphism in the development of the chelipeds.](image-url)
(CL 4.5, TL 12.7; CL 2.7, TL 7.4), 1 ovigerous female (CL 5.4, TL 15.0), Point Hunter, Emily Bay, Norfolk I., off New South Wales, Australia, 29°03.8’S 167°57.3’E, depth 0-0.25 m, Sta. HL 84-21, coll. H. Larson, det. A.J. Bruce, 18 Apr. 1984; NTM Cr001663, 2 males (CL 2.3, TL 7.2; CL 4.2, TL 11.8), 4 ovigerous females (smallest, CL 3.8, TL 10.1; largest, CL 4.6, TL 12.3), Point Hunter, Emily Bay, Norfolk I., off New South Wales, Australia, 29°03.8’S 167°57.3’E, depth 0-0.25 m, Sta. HL 84-20, coll. H. Larson, det. A.J. Bruce, 17 Apr. 2004; ASIZ 73699, 1 male (CL 3.4, TL 10.1), S Taiwan, Kenting National Park, from coral rocks, depth 0.5-2 m, coll. A. Anker, 1 Oct. 2004.

**Diagnosis**: Male chelipeds subequal, subsymmetrical, ischium with spines; palm expanded, ventral margin rugose, distoventral portion of palm, pollex, and proximal portion of dactylus with papillae (i.e., small subsacute or rounded tubercles); fingers armed with teeth. Female chelipeds enlarged, slightly less stout than male chelipeds, equal, symmetrical; ischium with spines; palm less expanded than in male, with papillae. Second pereiopod with 5-segmented carpus. Strap-like epipods (mastigobranchs) present on 3rd maxilliped and 1st and 2nd pereiopods. For complete description see Banner and Banner (1960).

**Size**: Small-sized shrimp; type specimen measuring 3.9 mm CL and 11 mm TL (Banner and Banner 1960); the Kenting male specimen only slightly smaller, at 3.4 mm CL and 10.1 mm TL; the largest examined specimen, an ovigerous female from Norfolk I., at CL 5.4 mm and TL 15.0 mm.

**Colour**: Semitransparent, with purple-greenish bands on abdomen; fingers of chela with golden-yellow tinge; setae fringing uropods and telson golden-brown (Fig. 6b).

**Habitat**: In Enewetak, the habitat of *R. verrucosus* was described as “coralline ridge, ocean (windward) reef” and “coralline ridge, and ends of surge channels, exposed about 0.3 meters above 0.0 tides; ridge dense and hard, very irregular and without the usual smooth algal surface ... from superficial burrows, roofed over by calcareous algal sheets” (Banner and Banner 1960 1968). The single Kenting specimen was found in a crevice during process of breaking apart coral rocks and rubble collected in shallow water (0.5-2 m).
Fig. 6. Habitus of some species of *Rugathanas* gen. nov. (a, b), *Arete* Stimpson, 1860 (c, d), and *Athanas* Leach, 1814 (e-h): (a) *Rugathanas borradailei* Coutière, 1903 comb. nov., ovigerous female from Kenting, southern Taiwan; (b) *Rugathanas verrucosus* (Banner and Banner, 1960) comb. nov., male from Kenting, southern Taiwan; (c) *Arete* cf. *dorsalis* Stimpson, 1860, specimen from southern Japan; (d) *Arete* cf. *dorsalis*, shrimp *in situ* among spines of a sea urchin, Lord Howe I., off eastern Australia; (e) *Athanas areteformis* Coutière, 1903 (*Ath. nitescens* group), male from southern Japan; (f) *Athanas nitescens* (Leach, 1814) (*Ath. nitescens* group), male from Banyuls-sur-Mer, Mediterranean coast of France; (g) *Athanas japonicus* Kubo, 1936 (*Ath. dimorphus* group), ovigerous female from southern Japan; (h) *Athanas amazone* Holthuis, 1951 (*Ath. dimorphus* group), male from Banyuls-sur-Mer, Mediterranean coast of France (photograph credits: a, M.S. Jeng; b, A. Anker, c, e, g, M. Itoh; d, N. Coleman; f, h, P. Lecomte leg. P. Noël).
m) on a near-shore, exposed reef. The Norfolk I. specimens were collected on a rocky reef, at depths reaching from low tide mark to 0.25 m.

**Distribution**: Marshall Is.: Enewetak Atoll (type locality, Banner and Banner 1960 1968); Australia: Norfolk I.; southern Taiwan: Kenting (present study).

**Remarks**: In *R. verrucosus*, the male chelipeds can be classified as subsymmetrical (Figs. 5b, c), and the female chelipeds are generally only slightly weaker than the male chelipeds (Figs. 3, 5d, e, see also Figs. 3). In contrast to this, in *R. borradailei*, the heterochelate condition (asymmetry) in males (Fig. 3c, e) and the sexual dimorphism (chelipeds significantly larger in males, see Figs. 1a, b, 3c-f) appear to be more pronounced. Ovigerous females of *R. verrucosus* from Norfolk I. carried numerous (several dozen) relatively small-sized eggs. One of the ovigerous females from Norfolk I. had an aberrant number of spines on the telson: 4 dorsal spines + 1 posterolateral spine on 1 side, and 2 dorsal and 2 posterolateral spines (typical condition) on the other side (Fig. 5f).

**Arete Stimpson, 1860 sensu stricto**

*Arete* Stimpson 1860: 32.

**Diagnosis**: Body relatively stout. Carapace smooth, not setose. Frontal margin with long, straight rostrum, bearing acute tip, without subdistal tooth on ventral margin. Extra-corneal teeth acute, not protruding beyond anterior margin of eye; supra-corneal teeth absent or feebly developed; infra-corneal teeth absent. Orbital hoods absent; eyes exposed in dorsal and lateral views. Pterygostomial margin usually rounded or angular, sometimes subacute. Cardiac notch well-developed. Sixth abdominal segment with articulated plate at posterolateral angle; preanal plate acutely produced. Antennules with finely serrated distal margin of 1st segment; ventromesial carina of 1st segment with strong tooth; lateral flagellum with

---

Fig. 7. *Arete cf. indicus* Coutière, 1903, 2 males from Sesoko Beach, Okinawa (ZRC) (a-f, h, i) and male from Sri Lanka (NHMW) (g): (a) frontal region, lateral view; (b) same, dorsal view; (c) left cheliped, lateral view; (d) same, coxa to carpus, mesial view; (e) same, chela and carpus, lateral view; (f) fingers of chela of a different specimen, lateral view; (g) chela fingers of a larger specimen, mesial view; (h) 2nd pereiopod; (i) 3rd pereiopod.
well-developed secondary ramus. Antenna with normal, not particularly stout basicerite, distolateral tooth always present; scaphocerite broadly oval or rounded. Mandible with palp, incisor process slightly expanded, distally with no more than 12 small teeth. Maxillule with bilobed palp, both ventral and dorsal lobes with setae. Labrum not swollen or protruding. Third maxilliped with distally projecting dorsal margin of antepenultimate segment; penultimate segment rectangular, about as long as or slightly longer than wide; ultimate segment with short spines on tip. First pereiopods (chelipeds) feebly sexually dimorphic, equal or subequal, usually subsymmetrical, sometimes asymmetrical (major cheliped situated on either side), stout, carried extended with dactylus in lateral or ventrolateral position; coxa unarmed mesially; ischium usually with strong tooth mesially and with lobes or teeth furnished with spines on dorsal margin; basis with rudimentary exopod; merus robust, flattened ventrally; carpus swollen, cup-shaped, embracing proximal portion of palm distally, ventral side somewhat flattened, not deeply excavated; chela smooth, without sculpturing, compressed; palm sometimes with acute distal projection on mesial side proximal to articulation with dactylus, without or with feebly marked linea impressa; fingers armed with teeth, sometimes interrupted by large hiatus, without cavity-tooth system. Carpus of 2nd pereiopod bearing 4 segments. Third pereiopod with ischium unarmed or bearing 1 spine on ventral margin; merus without spines, sometimes with distoventral margin ending in angular or acute projection; carpus unarmed; propodus armed with spines; dactylus stout, biunguiculate. Fifth pereiopod with poorly developed propodal brush (at most 3 rows of setae). Second pleopod with appendix interna and appendix masculina in males and sometimes in females. Telson with 2 pairs of dorsal spines and 2 pairs of pos-

Fig. 8. *Athanas areteformis* Coutière, 1903, male from Papua New Guinea (MNHN): (a) frontal region, lateral view; (b) frontal margin of carapace, dorsal view; (c, d) mandible from 2 different aspects; (e) left (major) cheliped, mesial view; (f) same, chela and carpus, mesial view; (g) same, coxa and basis with exopod; (h) right (minor) cheliped, mesial view; (i) same, chela and carpus, mesial view; (j) same, chela fingers, lateral view; (k) 2nd pereiopod; (l) 3rd pereiopod; (m) 5th pereiopod.
terolateral spines; anal tubercles lacking. Gill/exopod formula summarized in following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mxp1</th>
<th>Mxp2</th>
<th>Mxp3</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Other species included:** Three described and at least 2 undescribed species (K. Nomura, pers. obs.; A. Anker pers. obs.).


*Arete* sp. nov. 1 - *Athanas indicus* - Suzuki 1970: 5 (not Coutière 1903).

*Arete* sp. nov. 2 - *Athanas dorsalis* - Suzuki 1970: 12 (not Stimpson 1860).

**Distribution:** Indo-West Pacific: Red Sea, Dibouti, Madagascar, La Réunion, Maldives, Seychelles, Sri Lanka, Japan (including Ryukyu Is.), China, Taiwan, Philippines, Indonesia, Australia (including Lord Howe I.), Micronesia, Melanesia, French Polynesia.

**Remarks:** *Arete*, as redefined here, may be easily separated from *Rugathanas* by numerous morphological features (see above), and from *Athanas* by the stouter chelipeds (Fig. 7c, e) with large, oval-shaped chelae (vs. slenderer in *Athanas*, Figs. 8e, h, 9); the ischium of the chelipeds bearing a strong mesial process (Fig. 7d) (unarmed in *Athanas*); the carpus of the 2nd pereiopods bearing 4 segments (Fig. 7h) (vs. 5 in *Athanas*, Fig. 8k); the distoventral margin of the merus of the 3rd pereiopod being angular or subacute (Fig. 7i) (vs. rounded in *Athanas*, Fig. 8l); the dactylus of the 3rd to 5th pereiopods stout (Fig. 7i) (vs. usually slender in *Athanas*, Fig. 8l); the strap-like epipods or mastigobranchs present on 1st and 2nd pereiopods (vs. on 1st to 3rd or 4th pereiopods in *Athanas*); and the preanal plate of the 6th abdominal somite being acutely produced towards the telson (vs. rounded, not produced in *Athanas*). Furthermore, the antepenultimate seg-

---

**Fig. 9.** Chelipeds of 3 species from the *Athanas nitescens* group: (a) *Athanas grimaldii* Coutière, 1911, male from Senegal (MNHN), major cheliped, carpus, and chela, mesial view; (b) *Athanas granti* Coutière, 1908 from southern Australia, type (MNHN), major cheliped, carpus, and chela, mesial view; (c-f) *Athanas cf. nitescens* (Leach, 1814) from Senegal (MNHN), (c) male cheliped, lateral view; (d) same, chela and carpus, mesial view; (e) female cheliped, lateral view; (f) same, chela and carpus.
ment of the 3rd maxilliped usually projects distodorsally in Arete (see Bruce 1990a), but not in Rugathanas or Athanas. The propodal brush on the 5th pereiopod is poorly developed in both Arete (cf. Bruce, 1990a) and Rugathanas (Fig. 2q), but is well-developed in Athanas (Fig. 8m).

In Arete, both males and females bear enlarged, stout chelipeds, with broadened chelae that are carried extended forward, with the dactylus situated in lateral or ventrolateral position (Fig. 6c, d). The chelipeds of Athanas are highly variable in shape (cf. Figs. 6e-h), and may be carried either extended (Ath. nitescens (Leach, 1814) species group, cf. Figs. 6e, f), or folded (Ath. dimorphus Ortmann, 1894 species group, cf. Figs. 6g, h). The Ath. nitescens group may have some affinities with Arete, but in none of the species of this group, the chelae are as broad as in Arete (compare Figs. 6c, d, 7c-g and Figs. 6e, f, 8e-h, 9), which actually look like "mini-lobsters" (Figs. 6c, d).

All Arete species are characterized by a 4-segmented carpus in the 2nd pereiopod and the presence of strap-like epipods on the 1st and 2nd pereiopods. In the vast majority of Athanas spp., the carpus of the 2nd pereiopod is composed of 5 segments (A. Anker pers. obs.). Kemp’s (1915) reported specimens of Ath. polymorphus Kemp, 1915 from Chilka Lake (India) that had either 4 or 5 segments, but in 7 examined specimens of Ath. polymorphus from Singapore, the carpus had 5 segments (Anker 2003). In Ath. squillophilus Hayashi, 2002, the number of carpal segments varies from 5 to 6 (Hayashi 2002). One of us (AA) also examined a specimen of Ath. dimorphus with 6 instead of the typical 5 carpal segments. In most species of Athanas, the strap-like epipods are present on the 1st-3rd pereiopods, although Ath. oshi-mai Yokoya, 1936 appears to have a complete epipod set, i.e., in this species, the strap-like epipods are present on the 1st-4th pereiopods (Yokoya 1936). Banner and Banner (1960) reported an infraspecific variation in the number of pereiopodal epipods in a population of Ath. djiboutensis Coutière, 1897, with most specimens having epipods on the 1st and 2nd pereiopods, and only some on the 1st-3rd pereiopods.

Despite all the aforementioned exceptions, the combination of the cheliped features (e.g., chelae broad, oval-shaped in Arete, more or less elongated in Athanas); the number of carpal segments in the 2nd pereiopod (4 in Arete, 5 in Athanas, exceptionally 4 or 6); and the number of pereiopodal epipods (on P1 and P2 in Arete, on P1-3 in Athanas, exceptionally on P1 and P2 or P1-4) enables Arete to be distinguished from Athanas.

Arete is also ecologically distinct from Rugathanas and Athanas. All Arete species are obligate symbionts of sea urchins (Fig. 6d), mostly species of Echinometra, Anthocidaris, Heterocentrotus, Helioicidaris, Echinotrichs, Tripneustes, and Centrostephanus (Suzuki 1970, Banner and Banner 1973), and at least 2 species exhibit protandric hermaphroditism (Suzuki 1970, Gherardi and Calloni 1993), which explains the presence of an appendix masculina in some ovigerous specimens. Within Athanas, only Ath. granti Coutiére, 1908 occasionally associates with sea urchins of the genus Centrostephanus (Banner and Banner 1973). Remarkably, this species shows some resemblance to Arete, but differs in many other respects and clearly belongs to Athanas (see below). Protandric hermaphroditism has yet to be documented in Athanas, although it is suspected in Ath. ornithorhynchus Banner and Banner, 1973, a remarkable species associated with brittle stars (Marin et al. 2005).

As already mentioned, Arete requires revision at the species level. The taxonomic status of de Man’s “Arete maruteensis salibabuensis” placed in synonymy of Are. dorsalis, as well as the taxonomic statuses of Are. iphanasssa, Are. intermedius, and Are. kominatoensis (originally described as Ath. kominatoensis), placed in synonymy with Are. indicus (Banner and Banner 1973), should be reconsidered. All these forms should be contrasted to 2 species misidentified as Are. indicus and Are. dorsalis by Suzuki (1970), and which probably represent undescribed species (K. Nomura, pers. comm.). Therefore, many of the previous identifications of Arete species (e.g., Banner and Banner 1960 1973, Miya and Miyake 1968, Suzuki 1970, Bruce 1989 1990a), including Bruce’s (1990a) redescription of Are. dorsalis, are questionable. As such a key to the genus Arete is considered premature.

**Athanas Leach, 1814 sensu stricto**

_Athanas_ Leach 1814: 401.

**Diagnosis:** Body usually slender. Carapace usually smooth, sometimes setose. Frontal margin with long, straight, slightly ascendant, or descend-ant rostrum, with acute tip, without subdistal tooth on ventral margin, rarely with teeth on dorsal margin. Extra-corneal teeth present, acute, sometimes protruding beyond anterior margin of eye;
supra- and infra-corneal teeth absent or present. Orbital hoods absent; eyes exposed in dorsal and lateral views. Pterygostomial margin usually rounded, rarely sharply protruding or with small acute tooth. Cardiac notch well-developed. Sixth abdominal segment with articulated plate at posterior ventral angle; preanal plate rounded. Antennules with straight, not serrated distal margin of 1st segment; ventromesial carina of 1st segment with strong tooth; lateral flagellum with well-developed secondary ramus. Antenna with normal, not particularly stout basiscerite, distolateral tooth present; scaphocerite variably oval to subrectangular, usually more or less elongate. Mandible with palp, incisor process usually not expanded, distally with 5-8 medium-sized teeth, rarely expande, with 12-15 min teeth. Maxillule with bilobed palp, both ventral and dorsal lobes with setae. Labrum not swollen or protruding. Third maxilliped without distal tooth on dorsal margin of antepenultimate segment; penultimate segment elongate, distinctly longer than wide; ultimate segment often with spines on tip. First pereiopods (chelipeds) highly variable in shape, size, and degree of asymmetry, often sexually dimorphic or polymorphic, carried folded or extended with dactylus in lateral position; coxa usually with apressed subtriangular tooth mesially; basis with rudimentary exopod; ischium unarmed mesially, often with spines on dorsal and ventral margins; chelae without linea impressa on palm and without fossa-plunger on fingers. Male chelipeds usually enlarged and/or elongate, equal, subequal, or unequal, symmetrical, subsymmetrical, or asymmetrical (with major cheliped situated either on left or right side); ischium sometimes with lobes furnished with spines on dorsal margin; merus usually stout, depressed or excavated ventrally; carpus elongate or cup-shaped, sometimes flattened ventrally; chela highly variable, usually conspicuously swollen or elongate, sometimes with tubercles along ventral margin; fingers usually armed with teeth. Female chelipeds variable from slender, slightly elongate, to greatly enlarged, equal, subequal, or unequal, symmetrical, subsymmetrical, or asymmetrical (with major cheliped situated on left or right side); merus variable, slender to stout, flattened or excavated ventrally; carpus elongate or cup-shaped, sometimes flattened ventrally; chelae variable from slender to oval or elongate, sometimes with tubercles along ventral margin; fingers unarmored or armed with teeth. Carpus of 2nd pereiopod with 5 segments, exceptionally 4 or 6. Third pereiopod with ischium unarmored or bearing 1 spine on ventrolateral margin; merus unarmored, disoventral margin never acute or angular; carpus unarmored; propodus usually with ventral spines, rarely with spine-like setae; dactylus more or less slender, simple or biunguiculate. Fifth pereiopod with well-developed propodal brush. Second pleopod with appendix interna and appendix masculina in males, appendix interna in females, exceptionally with appendix masculina in ovigerous specimens. Telson with 2 pairs of dorsal spines and 2 pairs of posterolateral spines; anal tubercles absent. Gill formula with somewhat variable number of epipods, as summarized in following table (untypical condition indicated in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mxp1</th>
<th>Mxp2</th>
<th>Mxp3</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+(-)</td>
<td>+(-)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+(-)</td>
<td>+(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type species**: *Athanas nitescens* Leach, 1814 [*Palaemon nitescens* Leach 1814: 401]; type locality: England. Most important synonyms: *Palaemon laevirhincus* Risso 1816: 108; *Athanas var. laevirhincus* (Risso, 1816), Holthuis and Gottlieb 1958: 32; *Arete diocletiana* Heller 1862: 404; *Athanas transitans* Czerniawska 1884: 25; *Athanas nitescens* var. *suchumicae* Czerniawska 1884: 24; *Athanas nitescens* var. *formarotundicauda* Czerniawska 1884: 24; *Athanas transitans* var. *longispina* Czerniawska 1884: 25; *Athanas transitans* var. *pontica* Czerniawska 1884: 26; *Athanas veloculus* Bate 1888: 529. The taxonomic statuses of *Ath. laevirhincus* and *Ath. veloculus* requires confirmation (see below).

**Other species included**: *Athanas* includes all the remaining species described mostly under the generic name *Athanas*.

*Athanas amazone* Holthuis 1951: 111; type locality: Nigeria.


*Athanas crosslandi* Tattersall 1921: 372; type
locality: Khor Dongonab, Sudanese Red Sea.

_Athanas dentirostris_ Anker, Jeng and Chan 2001: 1049; type locality: Haiphong, Vietnam.

_Athanas dimorphus_ Ortmann 1894: 12; type locality: Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Synonyms: _Alpheus monoceros_ (Heller 1862: 274, nomen dubium); _Athanas setoenensis_ Kubo 1951: 265; _Athanas dimorphus seedang_ Banner and Banner 1966b: 28 (see Banner and Banner 1960 1973). The taxonomic statuses of _Ath. setoenensis_ and _Ath. dimorphus seedang_ require confirmation.

_Athanas djiboutensis_ Coutière 1897: 233; type locality: Djibouti. Synonym: _Athanas sulcatipes_ Borndale 1898: 1011 (see Banner and Banner 1960 1973).


_Athanas gracilipes_ Banner and Banner 1978: 234; type locality: South China Sea.

_Athanas gracilis_ Boone 1935: 122; type locality: Raiaatea, Society Is., French Polynesia.

_Athanas granti_ Coutière 1908: 192; type locality: Adelaide, South Australia.

_Athanas grimaldi_ Coutière 1911: 1; type locality: not designated, type specimens from Bay of Biscay, France and Cape Verde Is.

_Athanas haswelli_ Coutière 1908: 192 (amended from the original spelling _Ath. haswelli_ by Banner and Banner 1973); type locality: Adelaide, South Australia.

_Athanas hongkongensis_ Bruce 1990b: 624; type locality: Hong Kong, China.

_Athanas japonicus_ Kubo 1936: 43; type locality: Yamaguchi, Japan. Synonyms: _Athanas lamellifer_ Kubo 1940: 102 (see Miya and Miyake 1968). The taxonomic status of _Ath. lamellifer_ needs clarification.

_Athanas jedanensis_ de Man 1910: 313; type locality: Pulau Djedan, eastern Indonesia.

_Athanas locincertus_ Banner and Banner 1973: 311; type locality: “Panchoran Buoy”, possibly Western Australia.

_Athanas marshallensis_ Chace 1955: 17; type locality: Marshall Is.

_Athanas minikoensis_ Coutière 1903: 76; type locality: Minikoy Atoll, Laccadives, India.

_Athanas naga_ Banner and Banner 1966b: 26; type locality: Gulf of Thailand.

_Athanas nouvelae_ Holthuis 1951: 104; type locality: Cape Verde Is.

_Athanas ohsimai_ Yokoya 1936: 129; type locality: Sagami Bay, Japan.

_Athanas orientalis_ Pearson 1905: 88; type locality: Gulf of Manaar, Sri Lanka.

_Athanas ornithorhynchos_ Banner and Banner 1973: 319; type locality: Northern Territory, Australia.


_Athanas phyllocheles_ Banner and Banner 1983: 152; type locality: off La Réunion, Indian Ocean.

_Athanas polymorphus_ Kemp 1915: 295; type locality: Chilka Lake, eastern India.

_Athanas rhothionastes_ Banner and Banner 1960: 142; type locality: Canton, Phoenix Is., Kiribati.

_Athanas squillophilus_ Hayashi 2002: 396; type locality: southern Hokkaido, Japan.

_Athanas stebbingi_ de Man 1920: 106; type locality: Pulau Bawean, Indonesia.

_Athanas tenuipes_ de Man 1910: 316; type locality: Sulawesi, Indonesia.

_Athanas sp. nov. 1 aff. dimorphus_ (A. Anker pers. obs.), Pulau Bintan, Indonesia.

_Athanas sp. nov. 2 aff. parvus_ (A. Anker pers. obs.), Vietnam.

_Athanas sp. nov. 3 aff. amazone_ (Anker and Ahyong in press), Ivory Coast.

_Athanas sp. nov. 4 aff. phyllocheles_ (Anker and Ahyong in press), New South Wales, Australia.

_Distribution_: Indo-West Pacific from the Red Sea to South Africa, Australia, French Polynesia, and Japan; eastern Atlantic from southern Norway and Ireland to southeastern Africa, including the Mediterranean and Black Seas (absent from central and western Atlantic and eastern and north-central Pacific).

_In Remarks_: _Athanas_, as redefined here, differs from _Rugathanas_ and _Arete_ in several morphological features (see descriptions of _Rugathanas_ and _Arete_ above). The presence of a subtriangular projection on the mesial face of the cheliped coxa, a previously unconsidered feature, may turn out to be a further diagnostic feature of _Athanas_. This projection was present in all 12 personally examined species of _Athanas_ (A. Anker pers. obs.), including _A. dimorphus_, _A. nitescens_, and _A. areteformis_ (Fig. 8g), but is absent in all species of _Arete_ and _Rugathanas_.

With over 30 species, _Athanas_ remains a relatively heterogeneous genus. It was subdivided into 2 informal species groups by Coutière (1899), the _Ath. nitescens_ group (chelipeds carried extend-
ed) and the *Ath. dimorphus* group (chelipeds carried folded). The *Ath. nitescens* group appears to be relatively homogenous, except for the somewhat aberrant *Ath. areteformis* and *Ath. granti*. However, these 2 species clearly belong to the *Ath. nitescens* group based on the features of the chelipeds (see below). The *Ath. dimorphus* group is much more heterogeneous and may be further subdivided into several smaller groups mainly defined by the features of the chelipeds, frontal margin, and walking legs (A. Anker pers. obs.). However, the complex intrageneric structure of *Athanas* is beyond the scope of this study and will be examined elsewhere.

The placement of *Ath. areteformis* within the *Ath. nitescens* group is based mainly on the features of the male chelipeds. The shape of the frontal margin of the carapace (Figs. 8a, b) and the expanded incisor process of the mandible (Figs. 8c, d) of this species are superficially similar to those of *Rugathanas*, but the chelipeds (Figs. 8e-j), in particular the stout major chela (Fig. 8f), greatly differ from the chelipeds of *Rugathanas*, and more closely resemble those of *Ath. grimaldii* (Fig. 9a) or *Are. indicus* (Fig. 7c). The specific name *Ath. areteformis* (resembling *Arete*) given by Coutière (1903) refers to the general resemblance of the chelipeds of this species to the chelipeds of *Arete*. Banner and Banner (1960) misinterpreted this as Coutière’s doubts about the distinction between *Arete* and *Athanas*. Despite some similarities with *Rugathanas* and *Arete*, *Ath. areteformis* plainly belongs to the genus *Athanas*, as redefined above, because of the general shape of the chelipeds, the non-extensible dactylus of the 3rd-5th pereiopods, the well-developed row of setae on the propodus of the 5th pereiopod, as well as the presence of 3 strap-like epipods on the pereiopods (P1-3), a typical condition in *Athanas*.

Another species that shows some morphological and ecological resemblance to *Arete* is *Ath. granti*. This species also has a robust major cheliped (Fig. 9b), stout biunguiculate dactylus on the 3rd-5th pereiopods, and is furthermore, facultatively associated with the sea urchin *Centrostephanus rodgersii* (Agassiz, 1863) (Banner and Banner 1973). However, the shape of the frontal margin; the marked asymmetry of the chelipeds (the minor cheliped being much slenderer, see Banner and Banner 1973); the 5-segmented carpus of the 2nd pereiopod; the number of pereiopodal epipods; and the absence of tooth on the mesial face on the cheliped ischiurn, all indicate that *Ath. granti* is a member of *Athanas*. Furthermore, in none of the species of the *Ath. nitescens* group, including *Ath. nitescens* (Figs. 9c, d), *Ath. grimaldii* (Fig. 9a), *Ath. areteformis* (Fig. 8f), and *Ath. granti* (Fig. 9b), does the palm of the chela reach the same broadness as in *Arete* (Fig. 7). Banner and Banner (1973) reported the presence of a median flap on the 5th abdominal sternite in *Ath. granti* and *Are. sp. cf. dorsalis*. Interestingly, this flap was absent in the closely related *Are. sp. cf. indicus* (both *Are. dorsalis* and *Are. indicus* were probably misidentified by Banner and Banner (1973), see above). The function of this odd flap is unknown; it may have evolved independently in some *Arete* species and in *Ath. granti*, and perhaps plays a role in the symbiosis with the sea urchins. On the other hand, it is also possible that the *Arete* clade evolved from ancestors within *Athanas*, in which case the latter genus would be paraphyletic and require further splitting. The morphological complexity of *Athanas* and the absence of a phylogeny of the *Athanas* complex (based on molecular and/or morphological characters) make further conclusions impossible.
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