

# Does *Petrolisthes armatus* (Anomura, Porcellanidae) form a Species Complex or Are We Dealing with Just One Widely Distributed Species?

Fernando L. Mantelatto<sup>1,\*</sup>, Leonardo G. Pileggi<sup>1</sup>, Ivana Miranda<sup>1</sup>, and Ingo S. Wehrtmann<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Bioecology and Crustacean Systematics, Postgraduate Program in Comparative Biology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Philosophy, Science and Letters of Ribeirão Preto (FFCLRP), Univ. of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto (SP); Av. Bandeirantes 3900, CEP 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto (SP), Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Unidad de Investigación Pesquera y Acuicultura (UNIP) of the Centro de Investigación en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología (CIMAR), and Museo de Zoología, Escuela de Biología, Univ. de Costa Rica, San Pedro-San José 2060, Costa Rica

(Accepted December 28, 2010)

Fernando L. Mantelatto, Leonardo G. Pileggi, Ivana Miranda, and Ingo S. Wehrtmann (2011) Does Petrolisthes armatus (Anomura, Porcellanidae) form a species complex or are we dealing with just one widely distributed species? Zoological Studies 50(3): 372-384. Petrolisthes armatus has the widest distribution known among members of the family Porcellanidae and is one of the most ubiquitous and locally abundant intertidal decapods along the Atlantic coast of the Americas. Considering its geographical distribution and morphological plasticity, several authors postulated the existence of a P. armatus species complex. In the present study we used genetic data from the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal gene to determine the genetic variability of P. armatus from selected locations within its eastern tropical Pacific and western Atlantic distributions. Our phylogenic analysis included 49 specimens represented by 26 species of the genus Petrolisthes and 16 specimens from 10 species and 4 related genera. Genetic distances estimated among the analyzed Petrolisthes species ranged from 2.6%-22.0%; varied between 0%-5.7% for 16S. Additionally, the revision of P. armatus specimens from Pacific Costa Rica and Brazilian Waters showed no geographically significant morphological variations among the analyzed specimens. Therefore, our morphological and genetic data do not support the hypothesis of a P. armatus complex within the specimens studied herein from the Americas, but convincingly confirm the monophyly and non-separateness of the members assigned as *P. armatus*. http://zoolstud.sinica.edu.tw/Journals/50.3/372.pdf

Key words: Morphology, Taxonomy, Phylogenetic relationships.

Comparative studies of crustacean species known to occur over a wide geographic range can provide valuable information on the development of intraspecific adaptations to different environmental conditions (Stearns 1992, Terossi et al. 2010). Moreover, some morphological variability among closely or distantly related decapod species might be used as models to test the role of the environment in explaining evolutionary innovations by species inhabiting marine habitats. However, the number of published studies regarding this subject is limited, particularly for members of

the anomuran infraorder, which hinders the development of a more complete understanding of the evolution of life cycle strategies in this highly diverse group of crustaceans (Shirley et al. 1987, Garcia and Mantelatto 2001, Mantelatto et al. 2006, Silva et al. 2009, Weiss et al. 2010).

Porcellanid crabs represent an important part of the decapod fauna living in intertidal and shallow-water zones. Currently, there are about 279 valid species partitioned among 30 genera, primarily distributed in tropical and subtropical zones (Osawa and McLaughlin 2010). The genus

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed. Tel/Fax: 55-16-36023656. E-mail:flmantel@usp.br

*Petrolisthes* Stimpson, 1858 is composed of nearly 100 valid species distributed globally and is the largest genus within the family Porcellanidae (Haig 1960, Stillman and Reeb 2001, Rodríguez et al. 2005, Osawa and McLaughlin 2010).

Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes 1850) has the widest distribution known in the Porcellanidae and is one of the most ubiquitous and locally abundant intertidal decapods along the Atlantic coasts of the Americas. Its known distribution covers wide ranges on both the Atlantic and Pacific coasts (Melo 1999, Stillman and Reeb 2001): in the western Atlantic Ocean, it ranges from North Carolina to Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, the Antilles, Central America, Venezuela, Colombia, and Brazil (Rodríguez et al. 2005); in the eastern Atlantic from Senegal to Angola, and Ascension I.; and in the eastern Pacific, from the Gulf of California to Costa Rica, the Galapagos I., and Peru. Its wide geographical distribution makes this species an ideal and frequently used candidate for studies on distribution and biogeography (Carvacho 1980, Gore 1982, Stillman and Somero 2000, Werding et al. 2003, Rodríguez et al. 2005), molecular phylogeny (Stillman and Reeb 2001, Morrison et al. 2002), population dynamics (Oliveira and Masunari 1995, Díaz-Ferguson and Vargas-Zamora 2001, Miranda and Mantelatto 2009), and reproduction (Wehrtmann et al. 2011).

The apparent distribution of a species over a wide geographical range may raise the question of whether there is one or several, morphologically similar species. As an example involving decapods, many studies focusing on the genetic differentiation of different lobster populations led to the recognition of subspecies or new species (Sarver et al. 1998 2000, García-Rodríguez and Perez-Enriquez 2008). Considering the wide geographical distribution and morphological plasticity reported for *P. armatus*, it is not surprising that several authors mentioned the possibility of a *P. armatus* species complex (Rodríguez et al. 2005, Hiller et al. 2006).

Therefore, in the present study, we used genetic data from the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal gene to determine the genetic variability of *P. armatus* from the eastern tropical Pacific (Costa Rica) and the western Atlantic distributions (Costa Rica, Panama, Venezuela, and Brazil). Additionally, morphological data were compiled from Haig (1960), and the encountered diagnostic differences were compared with our molecular findings. Our results provide solid evidence to reject the hypothesis of a *P. armatus* species

complex within the specimens studied herein from the Americas.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Sample collection

Porcellanid crabs were collected in 2004-2009 from different localities or were provided by colleagues (Table 1). Freshly collected specimens were directly preserved in 75%-90% ethanol. Species identification was confirmed using morphological characters described in the literature (Haig 1960, Melo 1999). The following morphological characters were checked: carapace ornamentation (granulations or plications), the presence of an epibranquial spine, front shape, the number and shape of the teeth on the carpus of the chelipeds, walking legs on the anterior margin and presence of a spine on the posterodistal angle of 1st and 2nd legs. Specimen vouchers from which new tissue subsamples were obtained were deposited in the Crustacean Collection of the Biology Department (CCDB), Faculty of Philosophy, Sciences and Letters of Ribeirão Preto (FFCLRP), Univ. of São Paulo (USP) (Table 1).

# DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

The sequences used in this study were generated from our own extractions (14 sequences) or retrieved from GenBank (Table 1). When possible, 2-5 specimens from each collection site were used for the species analyses to limit the chance of misidentifications and also to observe the variability. Apart from *P. armatus*, we included other porcellanid crabs from other genera to compare them with a broader extension of the phylogenetic tree.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing protocols followed Schubart et al. (2000a) with modifications described by Mantelatto et al. (2006 2007 2009a) and Robles et al. (2007). Total genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue from the walking legs or chelipeds. The muscle was ground up and incubated for 1-12 h in 600  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer at 65°C; proteins were separated by the addition of 200  $\mu$ l of 7.5 M ammonium acetate prior to centrifugation. DNA precipitation was achieved by the addition of 600  $\mu$ l of cold isopropanol followed by centrifugation; the resultant pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 10-20  $\mu$ l of TE buffer.

An approximately 550-base pair (bp) region of the 16S rDNA gene was amplified from diluted DNA by means of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conducted in a Thermo® PxE 0.2 Thermal Cycler (Waltham, MA, USA) with the following thermal cycles: initial denaturing for 10 min at 94°C, annealing for 38-42 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 45-48°C, and 2 min at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. The primers were designated as follows: 16SH2 (5'-AGATAGAAACCAACCTGG-3'), 16SL2 (5'-TGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3'), and 16Sar (5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') (for references on the primers see Schubart et al. 2000a b). PCR products were purified using a SureClean Plus kit (Bioline Inc., USA) and sequenced with ABI Big Dye<sup>®</sup> Terminator Mix in an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer® following Applied Biosystems protocols (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All sequences were confirmed by sequencing both strands. A consensus sequence for the 2 strands was obtained using BioEdit vers. 7.0.7.1 (Hall 2005).

# Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences were edited with the BioEdit program (Hall 2005). The phylogenetic analysis was exclusively based on a partial fragment of the 16S rDNA gene. We carried out a dynamic analysis using POY software, vers. 4 (Varón et al. 2010), under direct optimization with parsimony as the optimality criterion (Wheeler 1996). Trees were constructed through a random addition sequence followed by a combination of branchswapping steps (subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) and tree bisection and reconnection (TBR)). The ratcheting procedure was used to enhance branch swapping by randomly reweighting characters during the SPR and TBR procedures. A sensitivity analysis was carried out using different cost matrices (Wheeler 1996). All datasets were analyzed under 10 parameter sets for the range of indel, transition, and transversion ratios (Table 2).

# **Divergence analysis**

Sequences were aligned using ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997) with an interface in BioEdit with default parameters (Hall 2005). Ambiguous regions in the alignment were removed. Sequences were analyzed using the Modeltest program (Posada and Crandall 1998) to find the evolutionary model that best fits the data. Phylogenetic reconstructions were conducted using PAUP 4.0 beta 10 (Swofford 2003) for the distance analysis (minimum evolution). The consistency of the topologies was measured by the bootstrap method (with 1000 bootstraps), and only confidence values of > 50% are reported. To evaluate the range of intrageneric sequence identity found among recognized species, we

**Table 1.** Porcellanid crab species used for the molecular phylogenetic reconstructions with respective site of collection, museum catalogue number, and genetic database accession numbers (GenBank) (CCDB, Crustacean Collection of the Department of Biology, FFCLRP, Univ. of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil; CNCR, Colección Nacional de Crustáceos, Instituto de Biología, Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico D.F., Mexico; MZUESC, Museum of Zoology, Univ. of Santa Cruz, Bahia, Brazil; ULLZ, Zoological Collection, Univ. of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA, USA)

Species	Collection site	Catalogue no.	GenBank accession no.
Megalobrachium poeyi (Guérin, 1855)	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	CNCR 9818	DQ865326
Megalobrachium poeyi (Guérin, 1855)	Isla Margarita (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5343	DQ865327
Megalobrachium roseum (Rathbun 1900)	Ubatuba, SP (Brazil)	CCDB 2854	HM352468
Megalobrachium soriatum (Say, 1818)	Florida (USA)	ULLZ 5262	DQ865325
Neopisossoma angustifrons (Benedict, 1901)	Vera Cruz (Mexico)	ULLZ 5373	DQ865336
Neopisossoma angustifrons (Benedict, 1901)	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5345	DQ865337
Pachycheles ackleianus A. Milne-Edwards, 1880	Looe Key Reef (Florida)	ULLZ 4824	DQ865332
Pachycheles grossimanus (Guérin, 1835)	Valdivia (Chile)	CCDB 2105	HM352466
Pachycheles monilifer (Dana, 1852)	Ubatuba, SP (Brazil)	CCDB 696	HM352467
Pachycheles monilifer (Dana, 1852)	Vera Cruz (Mexico)	ULLZ 5388	DQ865330
Pachycheles monilifer (Dana, 1852)	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5348	DQ865331
Pachycheles pilosus (H. Milne Edwards, 1837)	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	ULLZ 5389	DQ865328

Table 1.	(Contin	ued)
----------	---------	------

Species	Collection site	Catalogue no.	GenBank accession no.
Pachycheles pilosus (H. Milne Edwards, 1837)	Isla La Tortuga (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5349	DQ865329
Pachycheles susanae Gore and Abele, 1973	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	CNCR 7273	DQ865333
Pachycheles susanae Gore and Abele, 1973	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5352	DQ865334
Petrolisthes amoenus (Guérin, 1855)	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	Unknown	DQ444873
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Caravelas, BA (Brazil)	MZUESC 975	HM352470
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Nova Viçosa, BA (Brazil)	MZUESC 834	HM352471
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Paraty, RJ (Brazil)	CCDB 2314	HM352472
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Florianópolis, SC (Brazil)	CCDB 1834	HM352473
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Itajaí, SC (Brazil)	CCDB 1883	HM352474
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	São Sebastião, SP (Brazil)	CCDB 1747	HM352475
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Ubatuba, SP (Brazil)	CCDB 2315	HM352476
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Punta Morales (Pacific Costa Rica)	CCDB 1718	HM352477
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Salinas (Ecuador)	Unknown	DQ444874
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Bocas del Toro (Panama)	CCDB 2582	HM352478
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Isla Margarita (Venezuela)	CCDB 1819	HM352479
Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)	Florida (USA)	ULLZ 5252	DQ865310
Petrolisthes agassizii Faxon 1893	Gorgona I. (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444872
Petrolisthes bolivarensis Werding and Kraus, 2003	San Bernardo Is. (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444876
Petrolisthes caribensis Werding, 1983	Twin Cays (Belize)	Unknown	DQ865295
Petrolisthes caribensis Werding, 1983	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	Unknown	DQ865297
Petrolisthes columbiensis Werding, 1983	Islas del Rosário (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444899
Petrolisthes edwardsii (Saussure, 1853)	Salinas (Ecuador)	Unknown	DQ444904
Petrolisthes galathinus (Bosc, 1801)	Carrie Bow (Belize)	Unknown	DQ865299
Petrolisthes galathinus (Bosc, 1801)	Salinas (Ecuador)	Unknown	DQ444933
Petrolisthes galathinus (Bosc, 1801)	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	CNCR 10937	DQ865303
Petrolisthes galathinus (Bosc, 1801)	Estero Aserradores (Nicaragua)	ULLZ 5316	DQ865302
Petrolisthes galathinus (Bosc, 1801)	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5355	DQ865301
Petrolisthes glasselli Haig, 1957	Isla Malpelo (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444939
Petrolisthes haigae Chace, 1962	Naos I. (Panama)	Unknown	AF260624
Petrolisthes haigae Chace, 1962	Salinas (Ecuador)	Unknown	DQ444940
Petrolisthes hirtispinosus Lockington, 1878	Isla Angel de la Guarda (Mexico)	Unknown	DQ444941
Petrolisthes jugosus Streets, 1872	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	ULLZ 5406	DQ865315
Petrolisthes lewisi (Glassell, 1936)	Estero Nagualapa (Nicaragua)	ULLZ 5321	DQ865317
Petrolisthes magdalensis Werding, 1978	Isla Margarita (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5358	DQ865316
Petrolisthes marginatus Stimpson, 1859	Veracruz (México)	ULLZ 5410	DQ865308
Petrolisthes marginatus Stimpson, 1859	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	ULLZ 5412	DQ865306
Petrolisthes monodi Chace, 1956	Cape Verde	Unknown	DQ444944
Petrolisthes politus (Gray, 1831)	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	ULLZ 5412	DQ865306
Petrolisthes politus (Gray, 1831)	Isla Cubagua (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5360	DQ865307
Petrolisthes quadratus Benedict, 1901	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	CNCR 3724	DQ865318
Petrolisthes quadratus Benedict, 1901	Isla La Tortuga (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5361	DQ865319
Petrolisthes robsonae Glassell, 1945	Darien (Panama)	Unknown	DQ444946
Petrolisthes rosariensis Werding, 1978	Carrie Bow Cay (Belize)	Unknown	DQ865305
Petrolisthes sanfelipensis Glassell, 1936	Puerto Penasco (Mexico)	Unknown	AF260604
Petrolisthes sanmartini Werding and Hiller, 2002	Islas del Rosario (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444954
Petrolisthes tonsorius Haig, 1960	Cabo Corrientes (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444959
Petrolisthes tridentatus Stimpson, 1859	Quintana Roo (Mexico)	ULLZ 5414	DQ865322
Petrolisthes tridentatus Stimpson, 1859	Isla Margarita (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5363	DQ865323
Petrolisthes tridentatus Stimpson, 1859	Estero Nagualapa (Nicaragua)	ULLZ 5324	DQ865321
Petrolisthes tridentatus Stimpson, 1859	Isla Naos (Panama)	ULLZ 5364	DQ865320
Petrolisthes violaceus (Guérin, 1831)	Coquimbo (Chile)	CCDB 2109	HM352469
Petrolisthes zacae Haig, 1968	Bahia Malaga (Colombia)	Unknown	DQ444962
Porcellana sayana (Leach, 1820)	Isla Margarita (Venezuela)	ULLZ 5366	DQ865339

compared genetic distances between species pairs by pairwise comparisons using *p*-distances as the substitution model in the PAUP program. The distance matrix shows the proportion of divergent residues among all sequences in the alignment as they were currently aligned.

## RESULTS

#### **Taxonomic assignments**

Based on the morphology, voucher materials were assigned to species as follows, and DNA was successfully sequenced for those underlined (CW, carapace width).

#### Petrolisthes armatus (Gibbes, 1850)

- Porcellana armata Gibbes, 1850: 190 (type locality, Florida, USA), Not P. armata Dana, 1852: 426.
- Petrolisthes armatus (see Haig 1960 for citations under this date), Gore 1970: 75, 76, 79, 86, 87, figs. 1-6; Gore 1972: 67, 71, 79, 80, 82, figs. 1-6; Werding 1977: 176, 198, fig. 18; Hendrickx and Harvey 1999: 379; Stillman and Reeb 2001: 236, 238-243, fig. 2; Werding et al. 2003: 79, 81, 82; Vargas and Cortés 2006: 463, 470; Hiller et al. 2006: 6, 10, 12-14, 19, 20; Rodríguez et al. 2005: 544, 545, 559, 560, 571, 572; Rodríguez et al. 2006: 115, 116, 118, 123, 124, 126; Coelho et al. 2007: 12.

Materials examined: 2 3 3 (7.9, 9.6\* mm CW), 4 ♀ ♀ (5.2, 5.7, 7.2, 7.8 mm CW), Playa Curu, Paquera, Pacific Costa Rica, Feb. 2009, CCDB 2581; 2 ♂ ♂ (11.6, <u>12.7</u>\* mm CW), 1 ♀ (9.6 mm CW), Playa Blanca, Punta Morales, Pacific Costa Rica, Sept. 2005, CCDB 1718; 3 ♀ ♀ (4.7, 5.40, 7.0\* mm CW), Playa Bluff, Panama, Feb. 2009, CCDB 2582; 1 & (8.0\* mm CW), Muelle de la Guardia, Isla Margarita, Venezuela, Aug. 2006, CCDB 1819; 1  $\stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  (9.8<sup>\*</sup> mm CW), Playa Valdez, Isla Margarita, Venezuela, Aug. 2006, CCDB 1811; 5 & & (3.5, 3.8, 4.5, 5.0, 6.0\* mm CW), 4 ♀ ♀ (2.4, 3.5, 4.3, 6.2 mm CW), Rio Caravelas, Caravelas (BA), Brazil, Aug. 2007, MZUESC 975; 3 *∂ ∂* (2.5, 4.6, <u>10.8</u><sup>\*</sup> mm CW), 2 ♀ ♀ (4.4, 4.6 mm CW), Praia Pontal da Barra, Nova Vicosa (BA), Brazil, Mar. 2007, MZUESC 834; 1 3 (mm CW), Guarapari Channel (ES), Brazil, Nov. 2006, CCDB 2583; 2 ♂ ♂ (3.6, 7.2 mm CW), 3 ♀ ♀ (4.1, 6.0, 7.3\* mm CW), Paraty (RJ), Brazil, Aug. 2007, CCDB 2314; 1 & (12.5 mm CW), Praia Grande, Ubatuba (SP), Brazil, June 2008, CCDB 2377; 1  $\delta$  (10.9 mm CW), 2  $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$  (7.4, 10.2 mm CW), Saco do Codó, Ubatuba (SP), Brazil, June 2008, CCDB 2369; 1 & (<u>13.6</u>\* mm CW), Praia do Pinto, Ubatuba (SP), Brazil, Nov. 2006, CCDB 2315; 4 & (8.6, 8.7, 10.0, 10.8 mm CW), 2  $\Uparrow$   $\clubsuit$ (7.0, 9.7 mm CW), Praia do Araçá, São Sebastião (SP), Brazil, July 2006, CCDB 1844; 2 & & (9.0\*, 11.6 mm CW), 1  $\clubsuit$  (11.6 mm CW), Channel 6, Santos (SP), Brazil, July 2003, CCDB 2583; 2 & &(5.7\*, 7.0 mm CW), 1  $\clubsuit$  (7.6 mm CW), Praia da Ponta do Sambaqui, Florianópolis (SC), Brazil, Apr. 2007, CCDB 1894; 1 & (7.7 mm CW), 2  $\clubsuit$   $\clubsuit$ (7.0, 9.1\* mm CW), Praia dos Ingleses, Bombinhas (SC), Brazil, Apr. 2007, CCDB 1895.

*Diagnosis*: Carapace granulate and plicate; a single epibranchial spine present, occasionally obsolescent; front sinuously triangular; carpus 2-2.5 times as long as wide, anterior margin with 3 low, widely set teeth, a 4th occasionally present; manus rather long and slender; merus of walking legs with a row of spines on anterior margin, that of legs 1- and 2-spined at posterodistal angle.

Description: Carapace naked or pubescent, covered with granules and short, rough plications; about as broad in mid-branchial regions as posteriorly. Front broadly, sinuously triangular, sometimes pubescent, with a deep median sulcus; no supraocular spine. Outer orbital angle not produced. A single epibranchial spine, occasionally obsolescent. First moveable antenna with a strongly projecting, lamellar lobe, usually spine-tipped; 2nd with a large projecting tubercle; 3rd nearly smooth, flagellum naked. Outer maxilipeds rugose. Inferior margin of side walls with a fringe of plumose hairs. Merus of chelipeds rugose, armed on anterior with a large lobe, usually spine-tipped. Carpus 2-2.5-times as long as wide, naked or pubescent, especially on anterior margin; covered with large granules tending to form a median longitudinal crest, especially in young specimens; anterior margin armed with 3 low, widely set, spine-tipped teeth, a 4th occasionally present; posterior margin with a row of large flattened granules, with 1-4 produced into spines, and ending distally in a large bifid spine. Manus narrow, naked or pubescent, especially on proximal margin, covered with scattered granules similar to those of carpus; outer margin sometimes with a row of short spines and a fringe of hairs, particularly in young specimens. External margin of dactylus with a well-defined sulcus from basis to tip, on both chelipeds. Gape of fingers with short pubescence. Walking legs rugose; anterior margin of merus and carpus with plumose hairs, all segments with long, non-plumose setae; anterior margin of merus of leg 1 with 1-5 spines, of leg 2 with 2-6, of leg 3 with 0-3; merus of legs 1 and 2 with 1 or 2 posterodistal spines, 1 also occasionally present on leg 3.

*Remarks*: According to the original description, the merus of pereiopod 1 presents between 2-5 spines, but specimens from southeastern Brazil (Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo States) may present only 1 spine, extending the range of variation of this character.

# Phylogenetic analysis

Our phylogeny included 65 specimens representing 26 species of the genus *Petrolisthes* and 16 specimens from 10 species and 4 related genera. In total, we generated 14 new partial 16S sequences (~550 bp).

Of the 10 parameter sets analyzed by direct optimization, the one that produced the shortest trees was that for an indel/transition/ transversion ratio of 1:1:1 (parameter set 111, Table 2). The parsimony analysis yielded a single most-parsimonious tree of length 1328 (Fig. 1). The analysis showed strong consistency, well supported by the bootstrap and sensitivity analyses, in most nodes that included taxa from the Pacific and Atlantic regions in the same clade. The most-parsimonious tree (Fig. 1) showed the non-separation of the species *P. armatus* in a complex.

# **Genetic divergence**

The multiple sequence alignment for the 16S gene had 550 positions for 49 *Petrolisthes* specimens and 16 from other related genera. In general, the dendrogram generated by the cluster analysis presented the same topology as the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2).

Genetic distances estimated among the *Petrolisthes* species analyzed herein ranged from 2.6% (*P. robsonae*-PN and *P. zacae*-CL) to 22.0% (*P. violaceus*-CH and *P. marginatus*-VZ and MX) (data not shown); intraspecific divergences ranged from 0 (*P. tridentatus*-VZ) to 5.7% (*P. tridentatus*-MX) for 16S (data not shown).

Positional differences between putative populations of *P. armatus* were very limited, with a genetic divergence that ranged from 0%-2.1% for the 16S gene (Table 3). Even so, genetic divergences among Atlantic and Pacific

**Table 2.** Matrices used in the sensitivity analysis. The numbers correspond to the ratio of indel/ transition/transversion values

Matrix 111	Matrix 112	Matrix 113	Matrix 211
ACGT-	АССТ-	АССТ-	ACGT-
A 0 1 1 1 1	A 0 2 1 2 1	A 0 3 1 3 1	A 0 1 1 1 2
C 1 0 1 1 1	C 2 0 2 1 1	C 3 0 3 1 1	C 1 0 1 1 2
T 1 1 0 1 1	T 1 2 0 2 1	T 1 3 0 3 1	T 1 1 0 1 2
G 1 1 1 0 1	G 2 1 2 0 1	G 3 1 3 0 1	G 1 1 1 0 2
- 1 1 1 1 0	- 1 1 1 1 0	- 1 1 1 1 0	- 22220
Matrix 212	Matrix 221	Matrix 411	Matrix 412
ACGT-	ACGT-	ACGT-	ACGT-
A 0 2 1 2 2	A 0 1 2 1 2	A 0 1 1 1 4	A 0 2 1 2 4
C 2 0 2 1 2	C 1 0 1 2 2	C 1 0 1 1 4	C 2 0 2 1 4
T 1 2 0 2 2	T 2 1 0 1 2	T 1 1 0 1 4	T 1 2 0 2 4
G 2 1 2 0 2	G 1 2 1 0 2	G 1 1 1 0 4	G 2 1 2 0 4
- 2 2 2 2 0	- 2 2 2 2 0	- 4 4 4 4 0	- 4 4 4 4 0
Matrix 812	Matrix 821		
ACGT-	ACGT-		
A 0 2 1 2 8	A 0 1 2 1 8		
C 2 0 2 1 8	C 1 0 1 2 8		
T 1 2 0 2 8	T 2 1 0 1 8		
G 2 1 2 0 8	G 1 2 1 0 8		
- 88880	- 88880		



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of selected species of *Petrolisthes* and related groups, based on direct optimization analysis of 16S rDNA datasets under the parameter set which produced the most-parsimonious tree. The box at the bottom left indicates the parameter sets used in the analysis. Filled boxes signify that the clade was present.



**Fig. 2.** Dendrogram of the cluster analysis for species of *Petrolisthes* and related groups, based on a distance analysis using the minimum evolution (ME) algorithm of 16S rDNA gene sequences. Numbers below are significance values for 1000 bootstraps; values  $\leq$  50% are not shown.

populations were generally more pronounced than those among Atlantic populations. Specimens from Ecuador showed proximity to specimens from the Costa Rican Pacific and surprisingly to specimens from the USA (Gulf of Mexico). Among the Atlantic populations, the genetic variability was low, showing proximity between populations of Panama and Venezuela to those of Brazil (Bahia, São Paulo, and Santa Catarina).

# DISCUSSION

The present investigation, based on analyses of morphology and a partial fragment of 16S rDNA, supported the monophyly of *P. armatus*. While we acknowledge the general phylogenetic proximity of these specimens, there is no genetic justification for a separation into different species, rejecting the hypothesis of the possible existence of a *P. armatus* complex mentioned in the literature (Rodríguez et al. 2005, Hiller et al. 2006). However, our data were exclusively generated by material from the Americas, and additional genes and material covering the entire geographic range is necessary to allow a more-comprehensive treatment of *P. armatus*.

Although *Petrolisthes* is the largest genus within the family Porcellanidae, it is recognized that species tend to fall into several natural groups, some of which were previously discussed by Ortmann (1897) (see Haig 1960). Throughout the years, *Petrolisthes* has been discussed because interpretations of species interrelationships were ambiguous. However, specialists recommended that any attempt at splitting the genus should be postponed until a worldwide basis for this genus is available and can be studied, especially from a phylogenetic point of view (Haig 1960, Stilmann and Reeb 2001, Rodríguez et al. 2006).

In our set of analyzed species (26 of 100 recognized species), *Petrolisthes* can be subdivided into 2 main clades, supporting an earlier division based on morphological characters (Haig 1960) and corroborating the subdivision proposed by Stillman and Reeb (2001) that named the 2 groups "smooth" and "spiny". Clade A (group I or "smooth" ) contains P. violaceus Guérin 1831, the type species of the genus, and other species that share the following morphological features: a smooth carapace, the absence of an epibranchial spine, and the merus of the pereiopods without spines. This group includes some species of the genus Megalobrachium Stimpson 1858; the adult forms are more similar to the genera Polyonyx Stimpson 1858, Minyocerus Stimpson 1858, Pisidia Leach 1820, and Porcellana Lamarck 1801, in which the basal antennal article is strongly produced forward and broadly contacts the margin of the carapace, so that the moveable part is far removed from the orbit. On the other hand, Megalobrachium larvae share characteristics similar to the genera Petrolisthes, Pachycheles (Stimpson 1858), Neopisosoma Haig 1960, Allopetrolisthes Haig 1960, and Clastotoechus Haig 1960; these features are not found in larvae of the genera Polyonyx, Minyocerus, Pisidia, or Porcellana (Gore 1970 1973, Hernandez et al. 2002). According to Rodríguez et al. (2006), Megalobrachium constitutes a distinct clade from Petrolisthes, and presents a very high molecular divergence which could argue in favor

**Table 3.** Genetic divergent matrix of the 16S gene among *Petrolisthes armatus* specimens. (USA = United States of America; CRpac = Costa Rica, Pacific coast; EC = Ecuador; PAN = Panama; VZ = Venezuela; BR(SP) = Brazil, São Paulo; BR(SC) = Brazil, Santa Catarina; BR(BA) = Brazil, Bahia)

P. armatus-USA	0											
P. armatus-CRpac	0.015	0										
P. armatus-EC	0.017	0.008	0									
P. armatus-PAN	0.015	0.011	0.015	0								
P. armatus-VZ	0.015	0.011	0.015	0.000	0							
P. armatus-BR(SP)	0.019	0.015	0.019	0.000	0.000	0						
P. armatus-BR(SP)	0.021	0.017	0.021	0.002	0.002	0.002	0					
P. armatus-BR(SC)	0.021	0.017	0.021	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0				
P. armatus-BR(SC)	0.021	0.017	0.021	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.004	0			
P. armatus-BR(SC)	0.021	0.017	0.021	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.000	0		
P. armatus-BR(BA)	0.019	0.015	0.019	0.002	0.002	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0	
P. armatus-BR(BA)	0.017	0.013	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.002	0

of the resurrection of 2 previously separated genera of *Megalobrachium* Stimpson 1858 and *Porcellanopsis* Rathbun 1910, synonymized to the first by Haig (1956). Meanwhile, the proximity between some members of group I ("smooth" of Stillman and Reeb 2001) assigned as *Petrolisthes* and some members of the genus *Megalobrachium* evidenced in this work remains unclear. This result, based on a reduced molecular dataset for both genera, raises new questions about the phylogenetic relation of these genera and should be investigated in the future.

The largest Petrolisthes group (clade B, group II or "spiny" of Stillman and Reeb 2001) is characterized by species bearing teeth or spines on the anterior margin of the carpus and 1 or 2 posterodistal spines on the merus of pereiopod 1. In addition, members of this group may share any or all the following morphological characters: epibranchial and supraorbital spines; a row of spines on the outer margin of the manus and on the anterior margin of the pereiopods; and spines on the frontal, orbital, and lateral margins of the carapace. Most species of this group show transverse piliferous striations on the carapace and were described as a "P. galathinus group" and "P. lamarcki group" by Ortmann (1897). Posteriorly, the results of Hiller et al. (2006) based on molecular and geometric morphological data, strongly supported the validity of 6 nominal species comprising the P. galathinus complex (i.e., P. galathinus, P. caribensis, P. columbiensis, P. sanmartini, P. bolivariensis, and the more-distantly related, P. rosariensis). The results of our study agree with those conclusions, of P. rosariensis being separate from the main P. galathinus group and more-closely related to P. glasseli, a species that is also closely related to P. galathinus.

The *P. armatus* clade was shown to be a sister clade of the *P. robsonae* + *P. zacae* group: the first species was allied to *P. armatus* from which it may be distinguished morphologically by the presence of 2, instead of 3, spines on the carpus of the chelipeds, the reduced number of spines on the merus of the 1st 2 pairs of pereiopods, and the distinct anterodistal spine on the carpus of the pereiopods (Haig 1960). Rodríguez et al. (2006) found close relationships among *P. marginatus*, *P. politus*, and *P. armatus*. However, our analysis indicated a remote proximity among them, corroborating Haig's conclusions based on morphological data.

Adults of *P. armatus* are known to show considerable morphological plasticity throughout

its geographical distribution range (Chace 1956, Haig 1960, Gore 1972). Some of these authors speculated that the variation seen in extreme examples of the Pacific and eastern Atlantic adults would, by itself, be sufficient to establish specific recognition between the members of the 2 regions. Considerable differences were also found when comparing larval morphology of Atlantic and Pacific specimens (Gore 1972). Nevertheless, our analysis showed that P. armatus formed a monophyletic clade, composed of specimens from different locations across its distributions along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts of the Americas. This result was corroborated by the morphological analysis, in which all variations observed were expected and insufficient to distinguish different morphological patterns. The present study did not include specimens from African populations, which should be the next step in clarifying phylogenetic relationships between African and Pacific-Atlantic populations.

In recent decapod taxonomy, some populations that were long regarded as geographically separated morphological variants were assigned to a full species rank based on molecular results (Sarver et al. 1998 2000, Fratini and Vannini 2002, Spivak and Schubart 2003, Schubart et al. 2005, Mantelatto et al. 2006 2009b). Additionally, some studies demonstrated intraspecific differences along latitudinal gradients in the reproductive biology of decapods (Clarke 1987, Wehrtmann and Kattner 1998, Lardies and Wehrtmann 2001), including some populations of *P. armatus* from Brazil and Costa Rica (Wehrtmann et al. 2011).

The reasons for minor latitudinal differences in genetic features of marine decapods, as seen in P. armatus (Fig. 2), are still being discussed (see references above). This phenomenon may be related to larval characteristics. *Petrolisthes* armatus is characterized by having a larval development, which consists of 2 zoeae and 1 megalopal stage with a duration of 1-2 mo (Gore 1970 1972, Brossi-Garcia and Moreira 1996); the megalopal stage spends part of its time in oceanic waters before colonizing nearshore habitats (Miranda and Mantelatto 2009). Thus, the possibility of mixing of P. armatus larvae/megalopae along the Atlantic gyre system is 1 hypothesis to explain the genetic similarities observed among populations from the western Atlantic and also from populations along the Pacific side of the Isthmus of Panama. Moreover, intertidal decapod species of Sesarma, *Petrolisthes*, and *Alpheus* show the smallest values of transisthmian differentiation, which may be explained by a genetic connection between Pacific and Atlantic populations even when the only salt-water connection between the 2 sides consists of swamps (Lessios 2008). Such a scenario may further support our finding of no considerable genetic differences between eastern Pacific and western Atlantic populations of *P. armatus*, a situation already reported for other intertidal decapods (Lessios 2008).

In our dataset, the groups formed by Pachycheles and Neopisosoma were sister clades to the Petrolisthes group. Our analysis supported the validity of Neopisosoma angustifrons (Benedict 1901) being separate from the *Pachycheles* genus (Fig. 2), corroborating Rodríguez's et al. (2006) conclusions. Considering that the genus Neopisosoma is composed of 7 valid species (Haig 1960, Werding 1986), it is premature to consider those results as a definitive resolution for this group. Our continuing efforts are focused on adding representative taxa and combining molecular and morphological information on Pachycheles and Neopisosoma (Miranda and Mantelatto unpubl. data), which may contribute to clarifying the enigmatic status of these 2 genera. The present study showed that there is no clear separation among selected Porcellanidae genera from the American Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, as was assumed by previous studies (Stillman and Reeb 2001, Rodríguez et al. 2006). These assemblages seem to be a natural group that makes it impossible to separately study them in a phylogenetic context.

Our findings are in accordance with previous studies that discussed the great complexity within members of *Petrolisthes*, *Pachycheles*, and *Neopisosoma*. Pending the inclusion of additional genes and specimens from Africa and other areas of American waters in future analyses, the molecular data presented here confirm the monophyly of a well-defined *P. armatus* group in the genus.

**Acknowledgments:** FLM and ISW are grateful to the International Cooperative Project by CNPq-Brazil (Proc. 491490/2004-6; 490353/2007-0) and CONICIT-Costa Rica (CII-001-08), and the Univ. de Costa Rica (VI no. 808-A8-209) for financial support for the visiting programs in Brazil and Costa Rica. FLM is grateful to CNPq for research fellowships (PQ 301261/04-0 and 301359/07-5) and FAPESP (2010/15588-8). IM was supported by doctoral fellowships from CNPq (140677/089) and CAPES-Sandwich (1467/09-3), and LGP was supported by doctoral and post-doctoral fellowships from FAPESP (05/50651-1) and CAPES (02630/09-5), respectively. We are deeply grateful to our colleagues (Alexandre Almeida, Antonio Baeza, Carlos Lira, Juan Bolaños and Luis Pardo) for help in collecting, making available some fresh specimens, and lending materials from their collections. Thanks go also to members of the Laboratory of Bioecology and Crustacean Systematics (FFCLRP/USP - Ribeirão Preto) for their help with sampling, to T. McLellan Clarke (UNIP-CIMAR, UCR) for revising the English, and to anonymous reviewers for their suggestions and contributions toward improving this paper. The support of the Postgraduate Program in Comparative Biology of FFCLRP/USP and the Centro de Biologia Marinha (CEBIMar/USP) during field work is also greatly appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- Brossi-Garcia AL, RG Moreira. 1996. Biometrical and morphological studies of *Petrolisthes armatus* (Gibbes, 1850): juvenile stages obtained in laboratory conditions (Decapoda, Porcellanidae). Rev. Bras. Biol. **56**: 231-243.
- Carvacho A. 1980. Los porcelánidos del Pacífico Americano: un análisis biogeográfico (Crustacea, Decapoda). Anal. Cent. Cien. Mar. Limnol. Univ. Nacl. Autónoma Méx. 7: 249-258.
- Chace FA. 1956. Porcellanid crabs. Expédition Océanographique Belge dans les eaux côtières africaines de l'Atlantique sud (1948-1949). Résult. Sci. **3:** 1-54.
- Clarke A. 1987. Temperature, latitude and reproductive output. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **38**: 89-99.
- Díaz-Ferguson E, JA Vargas-Zamora. 2001. Abundance of *Petrolisthes armatus* (Crustacea: Porcellanidae) on a tropical estuarine intertidal rocky beach, Gulf of Nicoya estuary, Costa Rica. Rev. Biol. Trop. **49(Supplement 2):** 97-101.
- Fratini S, M Vannini. 2002. Genetic differentiation in the swimming crab Scylla serrata (Decapoda: Portunidae) within the Indian Ocean. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 272: 103-116.
- Garcia RB, FL Mantelatto. 2001. Population dynamics of the hermit crab *Paguristes erythrops* (Diogenidae) from Anchieta Island, Southern Brazil. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 81: 955-960.
- García-Rodríguez FJ, R Perez-Enriquez. 2008. Lack of genetic differentiation of blue spiny lobster *Panulirus inflatus* along the Pacific coast of Mexico inferred from mtDNA sequences. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **361**: 203-212.
- Gore RH. 1970. *Petrolisthes armatus*: a redescription of larval development under laboratory conditions (Decapoda, Porcellanidae). Crustaceana **18**: 75-89.
- Gore RH. 1972. *Petrolisthes armatus* (Gibbes, 1850): the development under laboratory conditions of larvae from a Pacific specimen (Decapoda, Porcellanidae). Crustaceana **22**: 67-83.

- Gore RH. 1973. Pachycheles monilifer (Dana, 1852): the development in the laboratory of larvae from an Atlantic specimen with a discussion of some larval characters in the genus (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura). Biol. Bull. 144: 132-150.
- Gore RH. 1982. Porcellanid crabs from the coasts of Mexico and Central America (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura). Smithson. Contrib. Zool. **363:** 1-34.
- Haig J. 1956. The Galatheidea (Crustacea, Anomura) of the Allan Hancock Atlantic Expedition with a review of the Porcellanidae of the Western North Atlantic. Allan Hancock Pac. Expedit. 8: 1-45.
- Haig J. 1960. The Porcellanidae (Crustacea, Anomura) of the Eastern Pacific. Allan Hancock Pac. Expedit. **24:** 1-440.
- Hall T. 2005. BioEdit 7.0.5 Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State Univ. Available at http://www.mbio.ncsu. edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html
- Hendrickx ME, AW Harvey. 1999. Checklist of anomuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda) from the eastern tropical Pacific. Belg. J. Zool. **129**: 363-389.
- Hernandez G, K Graterol, JA Bolaños, JI Gaviria. 2002. Larval development of *Megalobrachium roseum* (Decapoda: Anomura: Porcellanidae) under laboratory conditions. J. Crust. Biol. 22: 113-125.
- Hiller A, H Kraus, M Almon, B Werding. 2006. The *Petrolisthes galathinus* complex: species boundaries based on color pattern, morphology and molecules, and evolutionary interrelationships between this complex and other Porcellanidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **40**: 547-569.
- Lardies MA, IS Wehrtmann. 2001. Latitudinal variation in the reproductive biology of *Betaeus truncatus* (Decapoda: Alpheidae) along the Chilean coast. Ophelia **55:** 55-67.
- Lessios HA. 2008. The great American schism: divergence of marine organisms after the rise of the Central American Isthmus. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39: 63-91.
- Mantelatto FL, R Robles, R Biagi, DL Felder. 2006. Molecular analysis of the taxonomic and distributional status for the hermit crab genera *Loxopagurus* Forest, 1964, and *Isocheles* Stimpson, 1858 (Decapoda, Anomura, Diogenidae). Zoosystema **28**: 495-506.
- Mantelatto FL, LM Pardo, LG Pileggi, DL Felder. 2009a. Taxonomic reexamination of the hermit crab species *Pagurus forceps* and *Pagurus comptus* (Decapoda: Paguridae) by molecular analysis. Zootaxa **2133**: 20-32.
- Mantelatto FL, R Robles, DL Felder. 2007. Molecular phylogeny of the western Atlantic species of the genus *Portunus* (Crustacea, Brachyura, Portunidae). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. **150:** 211-220.
- Mantelatto FL, R Robles, CD Schubart, DL Felder. 2009b. Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Cronius* Stimpson, 1860, with reassignment of *C. tumidulus* and several American species of *Portunus* to the genus *Achelous* De Haan, 1833 (Brachyura: Portunidae). *In* JW Martin, KA Crandall, DL Felder, eds. Crustacean issues: decapod crustacean phylogenetics. Boca Raton: FL: Taylor and Francis/CRC Press, pp. 567-579.
- Melo GAS. 1999. Manual de identificação dos Crustacea Decapoda do litoral brasileiro: Anomura, Thalassinidea, Palinuridea, Astacidea. São Paulo, Brazil: Ed. Plêidae.
- Miranda I, FL Mantelatto. 2009. Estimating population features of the anomuran crab *Petrolisthes armatus* (Porcellanidae) in a remaining and impacted mangrove area of western Atlantic. J. Nat. Hist. **43**: 2027-2039.

- Morrison CL, AW Harvey, S Lavery, K Tieu, Y Huang, CW Cunningham. 2002. Mitochondrial gene rearrangements confirm the parallel evolution of the crab-like form. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 269: 345-350.
- Oliveira E, S Masunari. 1995. Estrutura populacional de *Petrolisthes armatus* (Gibbes, 1850) (Decapoda, Anomura, Porcellandae) da Ilha do Farol, Caiobá, Paraná. Rev. Bras. Zool. **12:** 355-371.
- Ortmann A. 1897. Carcinologische Studien. Zool. Jahrbücher Abteilung Syst. Geogr. Biol. Tiere **10:** 258-372.
- Osawa M, PA MacLaughlin. 2010. Annotated checklist of Anomuran Decapod Crustacean (exclusive of the Kiwaoidea and families Chirostylidae and Galatheidae of Galatheoidea) Part II - Porcellanidae. Raffles Bull. Zool. 23: 109-129.
- Posada D, KA Crandall. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics **14:** 817-818.
- Robles R, CD Schubart, JE Conde, C Carmona-Suárez, F Alvarez, JL Villalobos, DL Felder. 2007. Molecular phylogeny of the American *Callinectes* Stimpson, 1860 (Brachyura: Portunidae), based on two partial mitochondrial genes. Mar. Biol. **150**: 1265-1274.
- Rodríguez IT, G Hernández, DL Felder. 2005. Review of the western Atlantic Porcellanidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura) with new records, systematic observations, and comments on biogeography. Carib. J. Sci. 41: 544-582.
- Rodríguez IT, G Hernández, DL Felder. 2006. Phylogenetic relationship among western Atlantic Porcellanidae (Decapoda: Anomura), based on partial sequences of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene, with comments on morphology. Crust. Res. 6(Special No.): 115-130.
- Schubart CD, JA Cuesta, DL Felder. 2005. Phylogeography of Pachygrapsus transversus (Gibbes, 1850): the effect of the American continent and the Atlantic Ocean as gene flow barriers and recognition of Pachygrapsus socius Stimpson, 1871 as a valid species. Nauplius 13: 99-113.
- Schubart CD, JE Neigel, DL Felder. 2000a. Use of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene for phylogenetic and population studies of Crustacea. Crust. Issues **12**: 817-830.
- Schubart CD, JA Cuesta, R Diesel, DL Felder. 2000b. Molecular phylogeny, taxonomy, and evolution of non-marine lineages within the American grapsoid crabs (Crustacea: Brachyura). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **15**: 179-190.
- Sarver SK, DW Freshwater, PJ Walsh. 2000. The occurrence of the provisional Brazilian subspecies of spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus westonii*) in Florida waters. Fish. Bull. 98: 870-873.
- Sarver SK, JD Silberman, PJ Walsh. 1998. Mitochondrial DNA sequence evidence supporting the recognition of two subspecies or species of the Florida spiny lobster *Panulirus argus.* J. Crust. Biol. **18:** 177-186.
- Shirley SM, TC Shirley, SD Rice. 1987. Latitudinal variation in the Dungeness crab, *Cancer magister*: zoeal morphology explained by incubation temperature. Mar. Biol. **95:** 371-376.
- Silva PV, TA Luppi, ED Spivak, K Anger. 2009. Reproductive traits of an estuarine crab, *Neohelice* (*=Chasmagnathus*) *granulata* (Brachyura: Grapsoidea: Varunidae), in two contrasting habitats. Sci. Mar. **73**: 117-127.
- Spivak ED, CD Schubart. 2003. Species status in question: a morphometric and molecular comparison of *Cyrtograpsus* affinis and *C. altimanus* (Decapoda, Brachyura, Varunidae). J. Crust. Biol. 23: 212-222.

- Stearns SC. 1992. The evolution of life history. New York: Oxford Univ. Press, p. 249.
- Stillman JH, CA Reeb. 2001. Molecular phylogeny of eastern Pacific porcelain crabs, genera *Petrolisthes* and *Pachycheles*, based on the mtDNA 16S rDNA sequence: phylogeographic and systematic implications. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **19:** 236-245.
- Stillman JH, GN Somero. 2000. A comparative analysis of the upper thermal tolerance limits of eastern Pacific porcelain crabs, genus *Petrolisthes*: influences of latitude, vertical zonation, acclimation and phylogeny. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. **73**: 200-208.
- Swofford DL. 2003. PAUP. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods). Vers. 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Terossi M, IS Wehrtmann, FL Mantelatto. 2010. Interpopulational comparison of reproduction of the Atlantic shrimp *Hippolyte obliquimanus* (Caridea: Hippolytidae). J. Crust. Biol. **30**: 571-579.
- Thompson JD, TJ Gibson, F Plewniak, F Jeanmougin, DG Higgens. 1997. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by guality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 24: 4876-4882.
- Vargas R, J Cortés. 2006. Biodiversidad marina de Costa Rica: Crustacea: Infraorden Anomura. Rev. Biol. Trop. 54: 461-488.
- Varón A, LS Vinh, WC Wheeler. 2010. POY vers. 4: phylogenetic analysis using dynamic homologies. Cladistics 26: 72-85.

- Wehrtmann IS, G Kattner. 1998. Changes in volume, biomass, and fatty acids of developing eggs in *Nauticaris* magellanica (Decapoda: Caridea): a latitudinal comparison. J. Crust. Biol. **18:** 413-422.
- Wehrtmann IS, I Miranda, CA Lizana-Moreno, P Hernáez, V Barrantes-Echandi, FL Mantelatto. 2011. Reproductive plasticity in *Petrolisthes armatus* (Anomura, Porcellanidae): a comparison between a Pacific and an Atlantic population. Helgol. Mar. Res. DOI 10.1007/ s10152-011-0250-7.
- Weiss M, S Thatje, O Heilmayer. 2010. Temperature effects on zoeal morphometric traits and intraspecific variability in the hairy crab *Cancer setosus* across latitude. Helgol. Mar. Res. 64: 125-133.
- Werding B. 1977. Los porcelánidos (Crustacea, Anomura, Porcellanidae) de la región de Santa Marta, Colombia. Ann. Inst. Invest. Mar. Punta Betín 9: 173-214.
- Werding B. 1986. Die Gattung Neopisosoma Haig, 1960 im tropischen Westatlantik, mit der beschreibung von Neopisosoma neglectum spec. nov. und Neopisosoma orientale spec. nov. (Crustacea: Anomura: Porcellanidae). Zoologische Mededelingen 60: 159-179.
- Werding B, A Hiller, R Lemaitre. 2003. Geographic and depth distributional patterns of western Atlantic Porcellanidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura), with an updated list of species. Mem. Mus. Victoria 60: 69-85.
- Wheeler WC. 1996. Optimization alignment: the end of multiple sequence alignment in phylogenetics? Cladistics 12: 1-9.