

**Open Access** 

# Population Structure of the Blue Swimmer Crab *Portunus pelagicus* in Coastal Areas of Malaysia Inferred from Microsatellites

Chuan Jian Chai<sup>1</sup>, Yuzine Bin Esa<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Muhammad Fadhil Syukri Ismail<sup>1,2</sup>, and Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin<sup>1</sup>

Kamarudin'

<sup>1</sup>Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. E-mail: ccj1991@hotmail.com (Chai); msalleh@upm.edu.my (Kamarudin)

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biosciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. E-mail: fadhil@upm.edu.my

(Received 13 May 2017; Accepted 5 September 2017; Published 16 October 2017; Communicated by Benny K.K. Chan)

**Chuan Jian Chai, Yuzine Bin Esa, Muhammad Fadhil Syukri Ismail, and Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin (2017)** *Portunus pelagicus*, distributed throughout the Indo-West Pacific region, is one of the large and edible species of blue swimmer crabs. Increasing demand for the frozen and canned crabmeat industry worldwide has now relied mainly on *P. pelagicus* which in turn generates splendid income for the fisherman communities. In the present study, the population genetic structure of *P. pelagicus* was examined using six pairs of microsatellite loci. A total of 87 crab samples were collected from five different coastal areas of Malaysia. Genomic DNA was extracted from each sample for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and fragment analysis. Four out of six microsatellite primers revealed polymorphic loci in *P. pelagicus* sampled. The number of alleles per locus in *P. pelagicus* ranged from 14 to 34. Microsatellites analyses indicated low levels of genetic differentiation among the *P. pelagicus* populations. The average observed heterozygosity ( $H_0 = 0.48$ ) obtained was lower than the standard heterozygosity found in most marine populations ( $H_0 = 0.79$ ). The high  $F_{is}$  values (mean  $F_{is} = 0.0413$ ) also suggested the existence of inbreeding among different populations of *P. pelagicus*. In conclusion, this study was able to shed light on the population structure of *P. pelagicus* in coastal areas of Malaysia.

Key words: Portunus pelagicus, Blue swimmer crabs, Population genetic structure, Microsatellites.

#### BACKGROUND

In Malaysia, the population genetic structure of the blue swimmer crabs, *Portunus pelagicus* has not been well-studied unlike countries such as Australia and Thailand (Yap et al. 2002; Klinbunga et al. 2007). However, the increasing demands of *P. pelagicus* in the fisheries industry of Malaysia currently have led to a growing interest on the broodstock of this particular crab species. The knowledge on the genetic differentiation of *P. pelagicus* is no doubt useful for the effective management of this edible crab species with wide distribution range and long planktonic larval stages (Klinbunga et al. 2007). Microsatellites, also termed as short tandem repeats (STRs) or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are tandemly repeating motifs of DNA (1-6 bases long) which are widely distributed throughout the nuclear genomes of eukaryotes (Putman and Carbone 2014; Senan et al. 2014). With high levels of allelic polymorphism and codominant inheritance, they have become the mainstay of population genetics, conservation management, parentage identification and fingerprinting (Nolan et al. 2000; Putman and Carbone 2014).

Lately, Yap et al. (2002) identified eight microsatellites in *P. pelagicus* (seven dinucleotides and one tetranucleotide). All eight microsatellite loci were polymorphic when inspected against

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: E-mail: yuzine@upm.edu.my

the genomic DNA of *P. pelagicus* collected throughout Australia. In general, the mean observed heterozygosity ( $H_0$ ) was not significantly different from the expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ). To date, Sezmis (2004) investigated the population genetic structure of *P. pelagicus* from 16 diverse assemblages in Australia via six microsatellite loci. Large genetic distances between pairs of geographic samples indirectly reflected strong intraspecific genetic differentiation of *P. pelagicus*.

Recent studies have verified that microsatellites are more variable and informative than dominant markers like RAPD and AFLP (He et al. 2003; Senan et al. 2014). Bryars and Adams (1999) on the other hand reported that *P. pelagicus* shows relatively high polymorphisms when tested with allozymes. Nevertheless, microsatellite markers which exhibit higher levels of polymorphism than the allozyme loci are ideal for this research. Thus, the present study examined the population structure of *P. pelagicus* in coastal areas of Malaysia using microsatellites. The detailed information on the genetic diversity of *P. pelagicus* populations is necessary for the breeding programs of this exploited taxon.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sampling Location and Sample Collection

A total of 87 *Portunus pelagicus* samples were collected from selected sites on both east coast (South China Sea) and west coast (Strait of Malacca) of Peninsular Malaysia including Perak (Pantai Remis and Kuala Sepetang), Johor (Pendas), Negeri Sembilan (Port Dickson), and Terengganu (Besut) and Sarawak (Bako) of Borneo (Fig. 1). Samples were identified using taxonomic keys provided in Ng (1998) and Lai et al. (2010). The crab samples (muscle tissue from the chelipid manus or whole crab) were preserved in 95% ethanol. These samples were then stored at -20°C until further analyses.

### DNA Extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification (PCR), Agarose Gel Electrophoresis, DNA Screening and Fragment Analysis

Total genomic DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy<sup>®</sup> Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). Alternatively, cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method in the presence of proteinase K



Fig. 1. Sampling locations of Portunus pelagicus in coastal areas of Malaysia.

was modified and applied (Grewe et al. 1993).

Microsatellite amplifications were carried out with six pairs of microsatellite loci (Table 1) developed by Yap et al. (2002) and Xu and Liu (2011). Approximately 1.0 µl of DNA template was amplified in a reaction mixture containing 7.5 µl of 5X MyTag<sup>™</sup> Red Mix (Bioline, USA) and 1.0 µl of each primer. The reaction mixture was then adjusted to a final volume of 15  $\mu$ l with ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The thermal cycling parameters included initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 20 seconds, primer annealing at T<sub>a</sub>°C (Table 1) for 20 seconds, extension at 72°C for 40 seconds and a final extension at 72°C for 3 minutes. The completed amplification process hold at a routine 10°C. All amplifications were carried out with negative controls to check for contamination throughout the experiment.

The PCR products of the microsatellites were viewed under 2% high resolution agarose gel. A total of 1.2 grams of HR agarose powder (HydraGene, USA) was mixed with an exact amount of 60 ml of 1X TBE buffer (Promega, USA) to prepare the gel. BenchTop 50bp DNA ladder was used as a standard DNA size marker. PCR products with multiple fluorescence bands indicated the presence of DNA polymorphisms.

The samples were then subjected to microsatellite screening for estimation of the expected size of PCR products. Colourless MyTaq Red Mix and labelled primers with appropriate fluorescent dyes (FAM and HEX) were employed for PCR amplification at this stage. Also, loading dye was added into the PCR products for agarose gel electrophoresis. All the PCR products were wrapped with aluminium foils and sent for fragment analysis through Applied Biosystems Genetic Analyzer. Fragment sizes were interpreted according to the 500-ROX DNA size standard using GeneMapper<sup>®</sup> version 5.0 (Chatterji and Pachter 2006). The results of fragment analysis obtained were then applied in the statistical analyses of microsatellites.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

The CONVERT 1.31 software (Glaubitz 2004) was used to translate the genotypic data into required formats for microsatellite analyses. These included the GENEPOP, ARLEQUIN and STRUCTURE formats. Allelic frequencies of the microsatellite loci found among the *Portunus pelagicus* populations were also computed through the CONVERT software. Besides, MicroChecker 2.2.3 software (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was utilised to check for genotyping errors, particularly due to null alleles and allele dropouts.

In addition, the exact tests for both Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium among pairs of loci with 10,000 permutations were conducted via GENEPOP version 4.4 (Rousset 2008). The inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ), allelic richness ( $A_R$ ), observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) on the other hand were calculated through FSTAT version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 1995). Furthermore, ARLEQUIN version 3.0 (Excoffier et al. 2005) was used to estimate the significance of spatial variation in genetic diversity of *P. pelagicus* populations implemented in AMOVA. The fixation index ( $F_{ST}$ ) was also measured using the ARLEQUIN software

| Locus | Sequences                    | Repeat motif        | T <sub>a</sub> (°C) | Size range (bp) |
|-------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| pPp2  | F: GTGACCAGTAGGCGACCGAG      | (CA) <sub>16</sub>  | 59                  | 69-141          |
|       | R: ACGACTGCTTGTACGACCTTCA    |                     |                     |                 |
| pPp5  | F: GCTACGACAGTCCAATAACAACGT  | (AG)35              | 57                  | 87-151          |
|       | R: GATAGACCGACCTCACCTCAAAA   |                     |                     |                 |
| pPp9  | F: GACTTGAGCGATGCTGAAAG      | (TG) <sub>19</sub>  | 52                  | 133-187         |
|       | R: ATGGATAGATGGAATGCAAAAT    |                     |                     |                 |
| pPp10 | F: CCTGTATTGTCATGTGTTTGATTTT | (TG) <sub>34</sub>  | 52                  | 91-155          |
|       | R: CTACGACCAACTTTACCGCC      |                     |                     |                 |
| Ptri1 | F: ACGCGTCTGGTAGTCATC        | (TGC) <sub>12</sub> | 57                  | 367-454         |
|       | R: TGTTCCCAAAGTTAGCAG        |                     |                     |                 |
| Ptri2 | F: CAATGGCGGGTATGGTA         | (TC)28              | 52                  | 257-359         |
|       | R: TAAATGAAGGAAGCTAAAGACAAA  | . ,                 |                     |                 |
|       |                              |                     |                     |                 |

#### Table 1. Primer sequences of six microsatellite loci

(Source: Yap et al. 2002; Xu and Liu 2011).

to examine the genetic differentiation among *P. pelagicus* populations (Weir and Cockerham 1984).

The STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000) was used to allocate each individual to their genetic groups (K). This was done by admixing the ancestry within individuals. Ten independent runs were normally achieved with a burn-in period of 10,000 iterations and 10,000 replications. The best value of K was selected through the ad hoc statistic recommended by Evanno et al. (2005) and the log posterior probability of the data for a given K, In Pr (X|K) proposed by Pritchard et al. (2000).

Moreover, assignment tests of each individuals were performed via GeneClass version 2.0 (Piry et al. 2004). The probability of an individual belonged to a specific population was identified. The Bayesian approach by Rannala and Mountain (1997) was then employed to determine the likelihood of inherited population with 10,000 simulations and threshold value of 0.05. Finally, the BOTTLENECK version 1.2.02 (Piry et al. 1999) was implemented to test for the occurrence of current bottlenecks in each population. The two phase mutation (TPM) model (Di Rienzo et al. 1994) was introduced with different percentages (60-80%) of the stepwise mutation model (SMM) and a variance of 12 in 5,000 replications. All bottleneck analyses were derived from the Wilcoxon sign rank test (Maudet et al. 2002).

#### RESULTS

#### **Microsatellite Genotyping**

Of the six microsatellite primer pairs selected, only five primer pairs were successfully amplified. Of these five microsatellite loci, one (Ptri1) was monomorphic while the others were polymorphic (pPp2, pPp9, pPp10, Ptri2) and yielded PCR bands (Fig. 2) consistently. Ptri1 and Ptri2 were chosen for the cross-species amplification of Portunus pelagicus as these two primers were initially designed for P. tritubeculatus populations in China. The sizes of all the microsatellite loci used ranged from 69-454 bp. Most of the microsatellite primers contained dinucleotide repeat units except for Ptri1 with trinucleotide repeats. However, both pPp5 and Ptri1 loci were excluded from the statistical analyses to avoid scoring errors. The pPp5 primer had no PCR product at temperature higher or lower than the annealing temperature.

#### **Microsatellite Variations**

The allele frequencies of four microsatellite loci obtained from five different populations of *Portunus pelgicus* across the coastal areas of Malaysia were depicted in table 2. The highest and lowest number of alleles were acquired by loci pPp2 and Ptri2 with an amount of 34 alleles and 14 alleles respectively. On the other hand, the mean



Fig. 2. Gel image of *Portunus pelagicus* samples obtained using microsatellite primers (Ptri2). S36-S37: Sample 36-Sample 37; S39-S51: Sample 39-Sample 51; S53: Sample 53.

| Locus | Allele  | Size | Perak  | Johor            | Negeri Sembilan | Terengganu | Sarawak | Overall |
|-------|---------|------|--------|------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|---------|
| pPp2  | 1       | 74   | 0.0000 | 0.0625           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|       | 2       | 76   | 0.0455 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0385  | 0.0115  |
|       | 3       | 78   | 0.0000 | 0.0208           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.1538  | 0.0287  |
|       | 4       | 80   | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.3077  | 0.0460  |
|       | 5       | 82   | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|       | 6       | 84   | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 7       | 86   | 0.0455 | 0.0833           | 0.1429          | 0.0800     | 0.0000  | 0.0747  |
|       | 8       | 88   | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|       | 9       | 90   | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.1000     | 0.0000  | 0.0287  |
|       | 10      | 92   | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|       | 11      | 94   | 0.0000 | 0.0208           | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0769  | 0.0287  |
|       | 12      | 96   | 0.0909 | 0.0625           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0287  |
|       | 13      | 98   | 0.0909 | 0.0208           | 0.1429          | 0.0000     | 0.0385  | 0.0460  |
|       | 14      | 100  | 0.1364 | 0.0208           | 0.0357          | 0.1600     | 0.1538  | 0.0977  |
|       | 15      | 102  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 16      | 104  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0230  |
|       | 17      | 106  | 0.3182 | 0.0625           | 0.0000          | 0.1400     | 0.0000  | 0.0977  |
|       | 18      | 108  | 0.0455 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|       | 19      | 110  | 0.1818 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.1200     | 0.0000  | 0.0575  |
|       | 20      | 112  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 21      | 114  | 0.0455 | 0.1042           | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0402  |
|       | 22      | 116  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 23      | 118  | 0.0000 | 0.0208           | 0.1429          | 0.0000     | 0.0769  | 0.0402  |
|       | 24      | 120  | 0.0000 | 0.1875           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0517  |
|       | 25      | 122  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 26      | 124  | 0.0000 | 0.0625           | 0.1071          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0460  |
|       | 27      | 126  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0714          | 0.1200     | 0.0000  | 0.0460  |
|       | 28      | 128  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.1429          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0230  |
|       | 29      | 130  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0714          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 30      | 132  | 0.0000 | 0.0208           | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 31      | 134  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0385  | 0.0115  |
|       | 32      | 136  | 0,0000 | 0 0000           | 0.0357          | 0.0200     | 0.0385  | 0.0172  |
|       | 33      | 138  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|       | 34      | 140  | 0,0000 | 0,0000           | 0 0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0769  | 0.0172  |
| nPn9  | 1       | 134  | 0.0000 | 0 2917           | 0.0357          | 0.0400     | 0.0769  | 0 1092  |
| pi po | 2       | 136  | 0.0000 | 0 1250           | 0.0000          | 0.0800     | 0 1923  | 0.0862  |
|       | -       | 138  | 0 2727 | 0.0000           | 0 1071          | 0 1000     | 0.0000  | 0.0805  |
|       | 4       | 140  | 0.0000 | 0.0208           | 0.0714          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|       | 5       | 140  | 0.1364 | 0.0200           | 0.2857          | 0.2800     | 0 1538  | 0 1782  |
|       | 6       | 144  | 0.0909 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 7       | 146  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 8       | 148  | 0.0455 | 0.0000           | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | q       | 140  | 0.0400 | 0.0000           | 0.0007          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0747  |
|       | 9<br>10 | 150  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.1429          | 0.0400     | 0.1925  | 0.0115  |
|       | 10      | 154  | 0.0000 | 0.0417           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|       | 12      | 156  | 0.0000 | 0.0625           | 0.0000          | 0.0800     | 0.0385  | 0.0805  |
|       | 12      | 158  | 0.2727 | 0.0020           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|       | 17      | 160  | 0.0000 | 0.0200           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0037  |
|       | 14      | 162  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.1071          | 0.0000     | 0.1104  | 0.0040  |
|       | 10      | 102  | 0.0909 | 0.0417           | 0.0307          | 0.0000     | 0.0709  | 0.0032  |
|       | 10      | 104  | 0.0000 | 0.0200<br>0.0200 | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|       | 10      | 100  | 0.0000 | 0.0200           | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|       | 18      | 100  | 0.0000 | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0460  |
|       | 19      | 170  | 0.0000 | 0.0833           | 0.0357          | 0.0600     | 0.0769  | 0.0575  |

| Table 2. Allele frequencies of five Portunus pelagicus populations through four pairs of microsatellite least the second secon | oci |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

Table 2. (continued)

| Locus       | Allele | Size | Perak  | Johor  | Negeri Sembilan | Terengganu | Sarawak | Overall |
|-------------|--------|------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------|---------|---------|
|             | 20     | 172  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|             | 21     | 174  | 0.0000 | 0.0208 | 0.0714          | 0.0400     | 0.0769  | 0.0402  |
|             | 22     | 176  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 23     | 178  | 0.0455 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
| pPp10       | 1      | 92   | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 2      | 94   | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 3      | 96   | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0800     | 0.0000  | 0.0230  |
|             | 4      | 98   | 0.0000 | 0.0208 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 5      | 100  | 0.0000 | 0.0833 | 0.0714          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0345  |
|             | 6      | 102  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 7      | 104  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0714          | 0.1200     | 0.0000  | 0.0575  |
|             | 8      | 106  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 9      | 108  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 10     | 110  | 0.0000 | 0.0625 | 0.0357          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0230  |
|             | 11     | 112  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|             | 12     | 114  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 13     | 116  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0385  | 0.0115  |
|             | 14     | 118  | 0,0000 | 0.0000 | 0 1429          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0345  |
|             | 15     | 120  | 0.0909 | 0.0000 | 0.0714          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0345  |
|             | 16     | 122  | 0.0455 | 0.0208 | 0 1071          | 0 1400     | 0 2692  | 0 1092  |
|             | 17     | 124  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0714          | 0.0400     | 0.0000  | 0.0230  |
|             | 18     | 124  | 0.0455 | 0.0833 | 0.000           | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0287  |
|             | 19     | 128  | 0.3636 | 0.1250 | 0.0714          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0207  |
|             | 20     | 120  | 0.0000 | 0.1230 | 0.000           | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0345  |
|             | 20     | 132  | 0.0000 | 0.1042 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0303  | 0.0343  |
|             | 21     | 134  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0769  | 0.0200  |
|             | 22     | 136  | 0.2275 | 0.0023 | 0.0007          | 0.1000     | 0.0769  | 0.0320  |
|             | 23     | 130  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.1071          | 0.0000     | 0.0709  | 0.0402  |
|             | 24     | 140  | 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 0.1429          | 0.0400     | 0.0303  | 0.0373  |
|             | 20     | 140  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.1800     | 0.0709  | 0.0747  |
|             | 20     | 142  | 0.0455 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0037  |
|             | 27     | 144  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0357          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 20     | 140  | 0.0909 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0207  |
|             | 29     | 140  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0115  |
|             | 30     | 150  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0400     | 0.0365  | 0.0172  |
|             | 31     | 152  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0365  | 0.0057  |
| Dtria       | 32     | 154  | 0.0909 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.2308  | 0.0517  |
| Puiz        | 1      | 200  | 0.3162 | 0.1250 | 0.2143          | 0.3200     | 0.0769  | 0.2120  |
|             | 2      | 260  | 0.0000 | 0.2917 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0769  | 0.0977  |
|             | 3      | 262  | 0.0455 | 0.1007 | 0.2857          | 0.1000     | 0.1923  | 0.1552  |
|             | 4      | 264  | 0.0000 | 0.1250 | 0.0357          | 0.2800     | 0.2692  | 0.1609  |
|             | 5      | 266  | 0.0909 | 0.0000 | 0.1071          | 0.0000     | 0.0769  | 0.0402  |
|             | 6      | 268  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0714          | 0.0000     | 0.0385  | 0.0172  |
|             | /      | 270  | 0.2273 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0402  |
|             | 8      | 272  | 0.0455 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 9      | 274  | 0.1818 | 0.0417 | 0.2143          | 0.1000     | 0.0000  | 0.0977  |
|             | 10     | 276  | 0.0000 | 0.1250 | 0.0714          | 0.0200     | 0.1154  | 0.0690  |
|             | 11     | 278  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.1200     | 0.0769  | 0.0575  |
|             | 12     | 280  | 0.0000 | 0.0417 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0172  |
|             | 13     | 282  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0200     | 0.0000  | 0.0057  |
|             | 14     | 284  | 0.0909 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000     | 0.0769  | 0.0230  |
| Number of s | amples |      | 11     | 24     | 14              | 25         | 13      | 87      |

page 7 of 12

allelic frequencies ranged from 0.0057 to 0.2126. *P. pelagicus* of the Terengganu and Negeri Sembilan populations displayed the highest and the lowest percentage of allele discrepancies, each with 12 and seven distinct allele sizes.

### Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium and Linkage Disequilibrium among *Portunus pelagicus* Populations

All four microsatellite loci assigned to characterise the HWE and linkage disequilibrium of *Portunus pelagicus* populations showed significant genetic differentiations (Table 3). The allele richness ranged from 6.643 (locus Ptri2 of the Terengganu population) to 13.662 (locus pPp10 of the Johor population). In addition, the observed heterozygosity ( $H_0$ ) values over all loci extended from 0.182 (loci pPp10 and Ptri2 of the Perak population) to 1.000 (locus pPp2 of the Perak population). On the contrary, the highest and the lowest expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) was gleaned from locus pPp10 of the Johor population (0.951) and locus Ptri2 of the Terengganu population (0.799) respectively. In all *P. pelagicus* populations, the inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) values were significantly different from zero (p < 0.05). However, a negative  $F_{IS}$  value was detected for locus pPp2 of the Perak population, indicating a loss of heterozygosity in this particular population.

The informative contents illustrated that all four pairs of microsatellite loci applied were highly polymorphic. There was no linkage disequilibrium found within the microsatellite loci tested through the sequential Bonferroni correction (data not shown). Nevertheless, significant deviations from the HWE expectations in *P. pelagicus* populations except for locus Ptri2 of the Negeri Sembilan population reflected the occurrence of heterozygote deficiency. This phenomenon in turn

| <b>Table 3.</b> Genetic diversity of <i>Portunus pelagicus</i> populations at four microsate |
|--|
|--|

| Locus           | Perak  | Johor  | Negeri Sembilan | Terengganu | Sarawak |
|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| N               | 11     | 24     | 14              | 25         | 13      |
| pPp2            |        |        |                 |            |         |
| Nα              | 9      | 19     | 12              | 16         | 10      |
| A <sub>R</sub>  | 9.000  | 13.303 | 10.840          | 11.205     | 9.329   |
| Ho              | 1.000  | 0.750  | 0.357           | 0.606      | 0.462   |
| HE              | 0.861  | 0.938  | 0.923           | 0.919      | 0.868   |
| Fis             | -0.170 | 0.204  | 0.622           | 0.352      | 0.478   |
| Ρ               | 0.002  | 0.000  | 0.000           | 0.000      | 0.000   |
| pPp9            |        |        |                 |            |         |
| Nα              | 8      | 16     | 12              | 13         | 9       |
| AR              | 8.000  | 11.240 | 10.622          | 10.579     | 8.771   |
| Ho              | 0.272  | 0.375  | 0.643           | 0.360      | 0.385   |
| HE              | 0.848  | 0.887  | 0.889           | 0.890      | 0.898   |
| F <sub>IS</sub> | 0.689  | 0.583  | 0.284           | 0.601      | 0.582   |
| Ρ               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000           | 0.000      | 0.000   |
| pPp10           |        |        |                 |            |         |
| Nα              | 8      | 18     | 13              | 17         | 11      |
| AR              | 8.000  | 13.662 | 11.931          | 11.756     | 10.157  |
| Ho              | 0.182  | 0.542  | 0.500           | 0.560      | 0.462   |
| HE              | 0.848  | 0.951  | 0.939           | 0.922      | 0.878   |
| Fis             | 0.787  | 0.436  | 0.477           | 0.397      | 0.484   |
| Ρ               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000           | 0.000      | 0.000   |
| Ptri2           |        |        |                 |            |         |
| Nα              | 7      | 9      | 7               | 9          | 9       |
| A <sub>R</sub>  | 7.000  | 7.787  | 6.700           | 6.643      | 8.752   |
| Ho              | 0.182  | 0.208  | 0.786           | 0.160      | 0.769   |
| HE              | 0.831  | 0.851  | 0.833           | 0.799      | 0.880   |
| FIS             | 0.789  | 0.759  | 0.059           | 0.803      | 0.130   |
| Р               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.137           | 0.000      | 0.003   |

*N*: Sample size;  $N_{a}$ : Number of allele;  $A_{R}$ : Allele richness;  $H_{0}$ : Observed heterozygosity;  $H_{E}$ : Expected heterozygosity;  $F_{IS}$ : Inbreeding coefficient; *P*: *p*-value (*p* < 0.05).

was typically caused by the events of inbreeding and the presence of null alleles.

## Genetic Differentiation among *Portunus pelagicus* Populations

Hierarchical results of AMOVA revealed that the individuals of *Portunus pelagicus* had a major contribution on the genetic variations of this species, with approximately 50% of total variance (Table 4). In contrast, only 4.13% of variance was accounted for the inter-population differentiations. Besides, the pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values unveiled significant genetic variations among the *P. pelagicus* populations (Table 5). The Sarawak population exhibited the highest degree of differentiations with the other populations, ranging from 0.04239 to 0.10237 (p < 0.05). The  $F_{ST}$  demonstrated between the Terengganu and Negeri Sembilan populations was relatively lower at 0.03569, signifying sufficient gene flow between these two populations.

Moreover, assignment tests of P. pelagicus disclosed that nearly all individuals were correctly assigned to their original populations (Table 6). The assignment scores ranged from 19.599-133.195, relating both the Perak and Johor populations. The Bayesian structure analysis on the other hand suggested that the most suitable K identified for P. pelagicus was K = 4 (Ln P(D) = -4265.5; Var[Ln P(D)] = 5096.5). The clustering roughly corresponded to geographic locations, with majority of individuals from Perak assigned to Cluster 1, Johor and Negeri Sembilan to Cluster 2, Terengganu to Cluster 3 and Sarawak to Cluster 4 (Fig. 3). Lastly, the bottleneck analyses elucidated that the Sarawak population involved in current population reduction (Table 7). The decrease

| Table 4. | Hierarchical ana | vsis of molecular va | ariance (AMOVA | ) in Portunus pelagicus |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|----------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|

| Source of variation                  | Sum of squares | Variance components | Percentage of variation |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Among populations                    | 21.069         | 0.07735             | 4.13                    |
| Among individuals within populations | 217.500        | 0.85495             | 45.60                   |
| Within individuals                   | 82.000         | 0.94253             | 50.27                   |

|  | Table 5. | Estimation o | f <i>F</i> s⊤ among | Portunus | pelagicus | populations | via four | <sup>-</sup> microsate | llite loc |
|--|----------|--------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|
|--|----------|--------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|

| Populations     | Perak   | Johor   | Negeri Sembilan | Terengganu | Sarawak |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| Perak           | -       |         |                 |            |         |
| Johor           | 0.07921 | -       |                 |            |         |
| Negeri Sembilan | 0.06698 | 0.05137 | -               |            |         |
| Terengganu      | 0.05406 | 0.05358 | 0.03569*        | -          |         |
| Sarawak         | 0.10237 | 0.05199 | 0.04808*        | 0.04239*   | -       |

\**p* < 0.05.

|--|

| Assigned population | CA (%) |         |         |                 |            |         |
|---------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------|---------|
|                     | _      | Perak   | Johor   | Negeri Sembilan | Terengganu | Sarawak |
| Perak               | 100    | 19.599  | 76.998  | 68.729          | 68.432     | 77.209  |
| Johor               | 100    | 133.195 | 42.384  | 124.708         | 128.956    | 121.687 |
| Negeri Sembilan     | 100    | 89.446  | 89.228  | 27.875          | 84.894     | 83.774  |
| Terengganu          | 100    | 113.494 | 117.821 | 109.239         | 37.891     | 105.700 |
| Sarawak             | 100    | 89.364  | 77.645  | 75.213          | 72.793     | 24.665  |

CA: Correct assignment.

© 2017 Academia Sinica, Taiwan

in population size of *P. pelagicus* from Sarawak was further evidenced by the infinite allele model (IAM) and the two phase model (TPM). However, the stepwise mutation model (SMM) strongly implied the absence of bottleneck incidents in all populations. In accordance, mode shift allele frequency distributions were perceived in all five *P. pelagicus* populations.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Genetic Differentiation of Portunus pelagicus

Four out of six microsatellite loci including pPp2, pPp9, pPp10 and Ptri2 showed favourable polymorphic results for microsatellite analyses. Of the four microsatellite loci applied, Ptri2 acted as a pair of primers for cross-species amplification of *Portunus pelagicus*. The positive polymorphic bands acquired were comparable to the results established in other cross-amplification studies of blue swimmer crabs. Yap et al. (2002) tested the microsatellite loci developed for *P. pelagicus* on an unidentified species, *Portunus* sp. in the northern Australia and recognized considerable levels of polymorphisms. Similar outcomes were also achieved by Xu and Liu (2011) where cross-species amplification of *P. trituberculatus* primers

was carried out in two other portunid species, *P. sanguinolentus* and *P. pelagicus*.

Undeniably, the flanking regions of microsatellite sequences within related taxa were highly conservative (Scribner et al. 1996). Crossspecies amplification was further enhanced when homologous loci in one species were successfully amplified in another species via a single primer pairs developed (Zardova et al. 1996). Thus, the cross-amplification between P. trituberculatus and P. pelagicus loci in the current study was plausible. However, the cross-amplification of P. pelagicus using Ptri2 exhibited lower annealing temperature than the one reported by Xu and Liu (2011), from 57°C to 52°C. General assumption that cross-species amplification inclines to have lower annealing temperature than the amplification of the primitive species was practically supported (Zane et al. 2002; Esa et al. 2011). Besides, moderate allele frequencies were derived from this study through 87 samples and four microsatellite loci, ranging from 34 to 14 alleles. The allele frequencies obtained were further implemented in the exact probability tests. These tests are not biased by low allele frequencies, signifying low mutation rates and hence are suitable for microsatellite analyses (Raymond and Rousset 1995; Chakraborty et al. 1997).

The mean observed heterozygosity  $(H_0)$  at



Fig. 3. Bar plot of Portunus pelagicus populations. 1: Perak; 2: Johor; 3: Negeri Sembilan; 4: Terengganu; 5: Sarawak.

|  | Table 7. | Current bottleneck | evidences | within po | pulations of | Portunus | pelagicus |
|--|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------|
|--|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------|

|                 | I.A.M.    | I.A.M. T.P.M. |           | S.M.M.    | Mode shift |   |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---|
|                 |           | 60%           | 70%       | 80%       |            |   |
| Perak           | 0.562500  | 0.562500      | 0.843750  | 0.906250  | 0.906250   | Y |
| Johor           | 0.437500  | 0.906250      | 0.906250  | 0.906250  | 0.968750   | Y |
| Negeri Sembilan | 0.093750  | 0.156250      | 0.156250  | 0.562500  | 0.906250   | Y |
| Terengganu      | 0.156250  | 0.562500      | 0.562500  | 0.843750  | 0.906250   | Y |
| Sarawak         | 0.031250* | 0.031250*     | 0.031250* | 0.031250* | 0.562500   | Y |

I.A.M.: Infinite allele model; T.P.M.: Two phase model; S.M.M.: Stepwise mutation model; Y: Yes; N: No. \**p* < 0.05.

four microsatellite loci was 0.478 (data not shown). This value was much lower than the standard heterozygosity value ( $H_{\odot}$  = 0.79) identified in most marine populations (DeWoody and Avise 2000). Several factors that might lead to the heterozygote deficiencies in Portunus pelagicus populations examined have to be taken into account. Firstly, the Wahlund effect as a result of population subdivision and genetic drift (Wahlund 1928). Under the Wahlund principle, fewer heterozygotes than estimated were observed in a population. In other words, the frequency of homozygotes increased in a subdivided population (Balloux and Lugon-Moulin 2002; Kumar et al. 2006). Secondly, the restriction in genetic variation of P. pelagicus as a consequence of non-random mating behaviour or inbreeding (Brook et al. 2002).

In addition, sampling errors might contribute to low heterozygosity among the P. pelagicus populations. Small sample size and limited sampling locations studied did not fully represent the total heterozygosity of the exact population (Sezmis 2004). Moreover, the presence of null alleles caused excessive homozygotes in populations inspected (Esa et al. 2011; Xu and Liu 2011). This in turn affects the investigation of inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ). According to Callen et al. (1993), null alleles are constantly reported at microsatellite loci. Hence, the application of higher polymorphic loci provide better resolution of population structure for P. pelagicus. Last but not least, overexploitation of *P. pelagicus* throughout the coastal area of Malaysia and anthropogenic disturbances such as water pollution diminished the effective breeding population size of this particular species (Esa et al. 2011). However, there is no precise record on the recent fishing volume of P. pelagicus available (Ikhwanuddin et al. 2014).

The outcomes of microsatellite analyses revealed the inconsistent patterns of genetic differentiation among *P. pelagicus* populations studied. Significant  $F_{IS}$  values in line with substantial HWE probability test values were obtained in all populations of P. pelagicus except for the Perak population. In this case, the potential loss of heterozygosity among P. pelagicus populations was apparent. The pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values on the other hand ranged from 0.03569 to 0.10237, indicating high levels of interactions among the populations analysed. Sezmis (2004) displayed similar results while trying to resolve the population structure of *P. pelagicus* in Australian waters. This was further evidenced by the assignment tests which showed high percentage of correctly assigned individuals, reflecting robust genetic divergence among populations.

Furthermore, the AMOVA results elucidated low inter-population variations (4.13%) among the P. pelagicus populations. This particular scenario might be influenced by high gene flow among the populations. Therefore, the dogma stating that long planktonic larval stages of P. pelagicus proposed high dispersal potential and extensive gene flow in this particular edible crab species seems to be supported by the current study (Klinbunga et al. 2007). Likewise, the bottleneck analyses exhibited a population reduction in the Sarawak population through the infinite allele model (IAM) and the two phase model (TPM). Nonetheless, this upshot was rejected by the stepwise mutation model (SMM). In the IAM, identical alleles share the same ancestry as homoplasy is inhibited (Kimura and Crow 1964). TPM on the other hand is developed to detect large proportion of mutation events (Di Rienzo et al. 1994). Unlike the other two models, SMM has a memory of allele sizes where alleles with distinct size differences will be distantly related (Kimura and Otha 1978). However, it is noteworthy that neither of these three models designed appears to ideally account for patterns of microsatellite variations (Balloux and Lugon-Moulin 2002).

#### CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, this research provided useful insights on the population structure of Portunus pelagicus throughout the coastal areas of Malaysia. Positive polymorphic results obtained from the cross-species amplification of P. pelagicus suggested that the microsatellite loci involved were highly informative. Accordingly, these loci were suitable for the evaluation of genetic differentiations among *P. pelagicus* populations. Nevertheless, low levels of microsatellite variations found among these populations implied that the sample size studied might be insufficient. Henceforth, microsatellite analyses on P. pelagicus ought to involve larger population size with multiple genetic markers in order to gain more reliable outcomes. Ultimately, the information on the population structuring of P. pelagicus is crucial for the future aquaculture planning of this edible crab species in Malaysia.

**Acknowledgments:** Acknowledgement is given to all staff of the Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia and also the Department of Fisheries Malaysia for their generous contributions particularly in sample collection and full commitment throughout the project. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. This project was funded by Universiti Putra Malaysia via research grant with vote no.: 9440401.

**Authors' contributions:** CJC and YBE designed the study, performed the field works, performed the statistical analyses, and wrote the manuscript. CJC performed the laboratory experiments. YBE, MFSI and MSK provided research materials for the study. All authors participated in revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing interests:** CJC, YBE, MFSI and MSK declare that they have no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**Availability of data and materials:** DNA sequences data were available from the Genbank.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Ethics approval consent to participate: Not applicable.

#### REFERENCES

- Balloux F, Lugon-Moulin N. 2002. The Estimation of Population Differentiation with Microsatellite Markers. Mol Ecol **11**:155-165.
- Brook BW, Tonkyn DW, O'Grady JJ, Frankham R. 2002. Contribution of Inbreeding to Extinction Risk in Threatened Species. Conserv Ecol **6(1):**16.
- Bryars SR, Adams M. 1999. An Allozyme Study of the Blue Swimmer Crab, *Portunus pelagicus* (Crustacea: Portunidae), in Australia: Stock Delineation in Southern Australia and Evidence for a Cryptic Species in Northern Waters. Mar Freshwater Res **50**:15-26.
- Callen DF, Thompson AD, Shen Y, Philips HA, Richards RI, Mulley JC, Sutherland GR. 1993. Incidence and Origin of "Null" Alleles in the (AC)n Microsatellite Markers. Am J Hum Genet **52**:922-927.
- Chakraborty R, Kimmel M, Stivers DN, Davison LJ, Deka R. 1997. Relative Mutation Rates at Dinucleotide, Trinucleotide and Tetranucleotide Microsatellite. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **94**:1041-1046.
- Chatterji S, Pachter L. 2006. Reference Based Annotation with GeneMapper. Genome Biol **7(4)**:R29.
- Dewoody JA, Avise JC. 2000. Microsatellite Variation in Marine, Freshwater and Anadromous Fishes Compared with Other Animals. J Fish Biol **56**:461-473.

Di Rienzo A, Peterson AC, Garza JC, Valdes AM, Slatkin M,

Freimer NB. 1994. Mutational Processes of Simplesequence Repeat Loci in Human Populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **91(8):**3166-3170.

- Esa YB, Siraj SS, Rahim KAA, Daud SK, Ho GH, Tan SG, Syukri MF. 2011. Genetic Characterization of Two Mahseer Species (*Tor douronensis* and *Tor tambroides*) Using Microsatellite Markers from Other Cyprinids. Sains Malays **40(10)**:1087-1095.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. 2005. Detecting the Number of Clusters of Individuals using the Software Structure: A Stimulation Study. Mol Ecol **14**:2611-2620.
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S. 2005. Arlequin ver. 3.0: An Integrated Software Package for Population Genetics Data Analysis. Evol Bioinform **1**:47-50.
- Glaubitz JC. 2004. CONVERT: A User-friendly Program to Reformat Diploid Genotypic Data for Commonly Used Population Genetic Software Packages. Mol Ecol Notes **4:**309-310.
- Goudet J. 1995. FSTAT version 1.2: A Computer Program to Calculate *F*-statistics. Heredity **86(6)**:485-486.
- Grewe PM, Krueger CC, Aquadro CF, Bermingham E, Kincaid HL, May B. 1993. Mitochondrial DNA Variation among Lake Trout (*Salvenilus namaycush*) Strains Stocked into Lake Ontario. Can J Fish Aquat Sci **50**:2397-2403.
- He GH, Meng RH, Newman M, Gao GQ, Pittman RN, Prakash CS. 2003. Microsatellites as DNA Markers in Cultivated Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). BMC Plant Biol **3**:3.
- Ikhwanuddin M, Liyana AN, Azra MN, Bachok Z, Abol-Munafi AB. 2014. Natural Diet of Blue Swimming Crab, *Portunus pelagicus* at Strait of Tebrau, Johor, Malaysia. Sains Malays 43(1):37-44.
- Kimura M, Crow JF. 1964. The Number of Alleles That Can Be Maintained in a Finite Populations. Genetics **49**:725-738.
- Kimura M, Otha T. 1978. Stepwise Mutation Model and Distribution of Allelic Frequencies in a Finite Populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **75:**2868-2872.
- Klinbunga S, Khetpu K, Khamnamtong B, Menasveta P. 2007. Genetic Heterogeneity of the Blue Swimming Crab (*Portunus pelagicus*) in Thailand Determined by AFLP Analysis. Biochem Genet **45**:725-736.
- Kumar S, Gupta J, Kumar N, Dikshit K, Navani N, Jain P, Nagarajan M. 2006. Genetic Variation and Relationships among Eight Indian Riverine Buffalo Breed. Mol Ecol 15:593-600.
- Lai JCY, Ng PKL, Davie PJF. 2010. A Revision of the *Portunus pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1758) Species Complex (Crustacea: Brachyura: Portunidae) with the Recognition of Four Species. Raffles B Zool **58(2)**:199-237.
- Maudet C, Miller C, Bassano B, Breitenmoser-Wursten C, Gauthier D, Obexer-Ruff G, Michallet J, Taberlet P, Luikart G. 2002. Microsatellite DNA and Recent Statistical Methods in Wildlife Conservation Management: Applications in Alpine Ibex [Capra ibex (ibex)]. Mol Ecol 11(3):421-436.
- Ng PKL. 1998. Crabs. *In*: Carpenter KE, Niem VH (Eds) FAO Species Identification Guide for Fishery Purposes. The Living Marine Resources of the Western Central Pacific. Volume 2. Cephalopods, Crustaceans, Holothurians and Sharks. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation, pp. 1045-1155.
- Nolan DV, Martin SAM, Kelly Y, Glennon K, Palmer R, Smith T, McCormack GP, Powell R. 2000. Development of Microsatellite PCR Typing Methodology for the Sea Louse, *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Kroyer). Aquac Res **31**:815-822.

- Piry S, Alapetite A, Cornuet JM, Paetkau D, Baudouin L, Estoup A. 2004. GENECLASS2: A Software for Genetic Assignment and First-generation Migrant Detection. J Hered **95(6):**536-539.
- Piry S, Luikart G, Cornuet JM. 1999. BOTTLENECK: A Computer Program for Detecting Recent Reductions in the Effective Population Size Using Allele Frequency Data. J Hered **90(4):**502-503.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of Population Structure Using Multilocus Genotype Data. Genetics **155(2)**:945-959.
- Putman AI, Carbone I. 2014. Challenges in Analysis and Interpretation of Microsatellite Data for Population Genetic Studies. Ecol Evol **4**:4399-4428.
- Rannala B, Mountain JL. 1997. Detecting Immigration by Using Multilocus Genotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94(17):9197-9201.
- Raymond M, Rousset F. 1995. GENEPOP: Population Genetic Software for Exact Test Ecumenicism. J Hered **86:**248-249.
- Rousset F. 2008. Genepop'007: A Complete Reimplementation of the Genepop Software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8:103-106.
- Scribner KT, Gust JR, Field RL. 1996. Isolation and Characterization of Novel Salmon Microsatellite Loci: Cross Species Amplification and Population Genetics Application. Can J Fish Aquat Sci **53**:833-841.
- Senan S, Kizhakayil D, Sasikumar B, Sheeja TE. 2014. Methods for Development of Microsatellite Markers: An

Overview. Not Sci Biol 6:1-13.

- Sezmis E. 2004. The Population Genetic Structure of *Portunus pelagicus* in Australian Waters. PhD dissertation, Murdoch University.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for Identifying and Correcting Genotyping Errors in Microsatellite Data. Mol Ecol Notes **4(3)**:535-538.
- Wahlund S. 1928. The Combination of Populations and the Appearance of Correlation Examined from the Standpoint of the Study of Heredity. J Hered **11**:65-106.
- Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984. Estimating *F*-statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure. Evolution **38(6)**:1358-1370.
- Xu QH, Liu R. 2011. Development and Characterization of Microsatellite Markers for Genetic Analysis of the Swimming Crab, *Portunus trituberculatus*. Biochem Genet 49:202-212.
- Yap ES, Sezmis E, Chaplin JA, Potter IC, Spencer PBS. 2002. Isolation and Characterization of Microsatellite Loci in *Portunus pelagicus* (Crustacea: Portunidae). Mol Ecol Notes **2**:30-32.
- Zane L, Bargelloni L, Patarnello T. 2002. Strategies for Microsatellite Isolation: A Review. Mol Ecol **11:**1-16.
- Zardoya R, Vollmer DM, Craddock C, Streetman JT, Karl S, Meyer A. 1996. Evolutionary Conservation of Microsatellite Flanking Regions and Their Use in Resolving the Phylogeny of Cichlid Fishes (Pisces: Perciformes). Proc Roy Soc Lond B Bio **263**:1589-1598.