Open Access

Morphological Description and Molecular Characterisation of *Glyptothoa* gen. nov., a Fish Parasitic Deep-sea Cymothoid (Crustacea: Isopoda) from the Indian Ocean, with Four Species, Including One New Species

Ameri Kottarathil Helna^{2,3,*,§}, Panakkool Thamban Aneesh^{1,*,§}, Appukuttannair Biju Kumar³, and Susumu Ohtsuka^{1,4}

¹Fisheries Laboratory, Blue Innovation Division, Seto Inland Sea Carbon-neutral Research Center, Hiroshima University, 5-8-1 Minato-machi, Takehara, Hiroshima 725–0024, Japan. *Correspondence: E-mail: aneesh@hiroshima-u.ac.jp or anee3716@gmail.com (Aneesh) E-mail: ohtsuka@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (Ohtsuka)

²Regional Forensic Science Laboratory, Kannur, Kerala, India, 670002. *Correspondence: E-mail: helnahere@gmail.com (Helna)
³Department of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries, University of Kerala, Karyavattom, Thiruvananthapuram-695 581, Kerala, India.

E-mail: bijupuzhayoram@gmail.com (Kumar)

⁴Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Gong Badak Campus, 21300 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

[§]AKH and PTA contributed equally to this work.

Received 22 November 2022 / Accepted 4 September 2023 / Published 26 October 2023 Communicated by Benny K.K. Chan

Glyptothoa sagara gen. and sp. nov. is described from the host fish Glyptophidium macropus Alcock, 1894 (Ophidiidae), at depths 300 to 650 metres from the southwest coast of India. The mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene of the species was sequenced and compared with other closely related branchial cymothoid genera. Both morphological and molecular data corroborate the inclusion of this parasitic isopod as a new genus, and we describe Glyptothoa sagara gen. and sp. nov. The following combinations of characters characterise the genus: cephalon immersed in pereonite 1; dorsum vaulted; all coxae visible in dorsal view; coxae shorter than or as long as pereonites; pereonites 4-7 slightly decrease in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constricted, in hunched side; relatively wide pleon, with large lateral gaps between pleonites; antennula narrowly separated by rostrum, slender, shorter than antenna; antenna with 13 articles, buccal cone obscuring antennal bases; brood pouch arising from coxae 1-4, 6; oostegite 1 bilobed; pleopods rami all simple, without proximomedial lamellar lobe, without folds or thickened ridges. The adult life stages, such as females (ovigerous and non-ovigerous), males and transitional stage of the new species are described. The species is currently known only from the type locality and the type host. The ecological remarks of the newly described taxon are also provided. The following species are transferred from *Elthusa* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884: Glyptothoa myripristae (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov., Glyptothoa propingua (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov. and Glyptothoa caudata (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884) comb. nov.

Key words: Marine fish parasite, Branchial cavity, Cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I, Cymothoidae, New genus, Indian Ocean, Phylogeny

Citation: Helna AK, Aneesh PT, Kumar AB, Ohtsuka S. 2023. Morphological description and molecular characterisation of *Glyptothoa* gen. nov., a fish parasitic deep-sea Cymothoid (Crustacea: Isopoda) from the Indian Ocean, with four species, including one new species. Zool Stud **62:**51. doi:10.6620/ZS.2023.62-51.

BACKGROUND

The deep sea is seen by many as one of the most fascinating ecosystems on earth; it remains one of the least explored eco-regions of the world. The deep-sea ecosystem is also severely hampered by human activities, so there is a great need to document biodiversity, the ecosystem structure, and their functional interactions. Globally, information on parasitic cymothoids infesting commercial fishes is well documented, with over 100 publications since 2000 (Smit et al. 2014; Ravichandran et al. 2019; Aneesh and Kappalli 2020; Aneesh et al. 2022 2023; Fujita et al. 2023). On the other hand, studies specifically on parasitic crustaceans of deep-sea fishes are still meagre, especially at depths greater than 500 metres (Yamauchi 2009; Smit et al. 2014; Aneesh et al. 2020c).

Knowledge of the cymothoid fauna of the Indian coast began with the work of Brunnich (1783), Miers (1880) and Barnard (1936). Later, Pillai (1954 1963 1964) and Tiwari (1952) added further records and described two new genera and seven new species from India. There was then an extended period of nearly five decades when there was no research on Indian cymothoids until Rameshkumar et al. (2011) described two new species from Indian waters, followed by subsequent revision of the Indian Cymothoidae by Ravichandran et al. (2019). Apart from taxonomy, few studies have been done on the seasonal occurrence of cymothoids (Aneesh et al. 2013; Helna et al. 2019).

Since that review (Ravichandran et al. 2019), a further five genera, including one new genus, and ten new species from the southwest coast of India, have been reported by Aneesh et al. (2019 2020a b c 2021a b c 2022 2023). A few attempts have been made to study the reproductive biology and life history of some cymothoids (see Aneesh et al. 2022). The family Cymothoidae currently includes 385 accepted species in 43 genera; of these, only 57 species from 18 genera are known from India (Aneesh et al. 2022; Nashad et al. 2022), with only 12 species known from the deep sea, including the recently described *Brucethoa bharata* Aneesh, Hadfield, Smit, and Kumar, 2020 (Aneesh et al. 2022).

The present study describes a new genus and species of deep-sea fish parasitic cymothoid based on the specimens collected during the recent studies on deep-sea fish parasitic cymothoids of the Indian coast initiated by the authors. The host fish *Glyptophidium macropus* Alcock, 1894 (Ophidiidae), was captured at a depth between 300 to 650 meters from the southwest coast of India, and an undescribed parasitic isopod was recovered from the branchial cavity. During the identification process of this isopod, it was clear that it belonged to the group of genera including Brucethoa Aneesh, Hadfield, Smit and Kumar, 2020; Elthusa Schioedte and Meinert, 1884, Mothocya Costa, in Hope, 1851 and Ichthyoxenos Herklots, 1870. Morphological differences excluded the inclusion of the new isopod in any of these genera (see Table 1). Further, of the new Indian specimens and three species of Elthusa, two are incertae sedis and one is apparently not so, but all share the following characteristics: cephalon immersed in pereonite 1, pereonites 4-7 slightly decrease in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constricted on the hunched side, relatively wide pleon; 1.00 to 1.20 times as wide as greatest pereon width, with lateral gaps between pleonites, buccal cone obscuring antennal bases, pleopods rami all simple, without folds or thickened ridges. The three Elthusa species transferred to Glyptothoa are: E. myripristae Bruce, 1990, E. propingua (Richardson, 1904), and E. caudata (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling site

Fresh specimens were collected from the branchial cavity of the deep-sea fish *Glyptophidium macropus* Alcock, 1894 (Ophidiidae), obtained from the commercial trawlers operating from Neendakara (08°30.0'N, 76°53.30'E), Kollam district, Kerala state, southwest coast of India at a depth between 300 to 650 meters.

Parasite identification

The collected cymothoids were preserved in 95% ethanol for DNA studies, and the remaining specimens were processed following the techniques described in Aneesh et al. (2019 2021c). One ovigerous female was designated as the holotype, and one paratype was minimally dissected to conserve the specimens (the dissected appendages were kept in separate vials along with the said specimen). Methods for dissection, mounting, and drawings of appendages followed the techniques described in Aneesh et al. (2019). The specimens were microphotographed using a multifocusing dissection microscope Leica-M205A and image capturing software (Leica Application Suit). Drawings were digital-inked using Adobe Illustrator and a WACOM CTL-472/K0-c drawing pad. Sources for the fish taxonomy and host nomenclature were Fish Base (Froese and Pauly 2023) and Catalogue of Fishes (Fricke et al. 2023). Classification of the cymothoid followed Brandt and Poore (2003). The type specimens **Table 1.** Character differences between the closely related branchial cymothoid genera, *Glyptothoa* gen. nov.,*Brucethoa* Aneesh, Hadfield, Smit & Kumar, 2020, *Elthusa* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884, *Catoessa* Schioedte & Meinert,1884, *Ichthyoxenos* Herklots, 1870 (marine) and *Mothocya* Costa, in Hope, 1851

Characters	Glyptothoa gen. nov.,	<i>Brucethoa</i> Aneesh, Hadfield, Smit & Kumar, 2020	<i>Elthusa</i> Schioedte & Meinert, 1884	Catoessa Schioedte & Meinert, 1884	Ichthyoxenos Herklots, 1870 (marine)	<i>Mothocya</i> Costa, in Hope, 1851	
Cephalon, anterior margin	with acute ventrally directed rostrum	with acute ventrally directed rostrum	truncate (s. str).	truncate	sub-acute or rounded; with acute ventrally directed rostrum	rounded, ventrally folded	
Body	pereonites 4–7 slightly decrease in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constrict, in hunched side	slightly asymmetrical	asymmetrical (most)	bilaterally symmetrical	bilaterally symmetrical	weakly to moderately asymmetrical	
Body, dorsum Buccal "cone"	moderately vaulted anteriorly positioned, overriding antennal bases	medially vaulted anteriorly positioned, overriding antennal bases	not or weakly vaulted not anteriorly positioned, not overriding antennal bases	vaulted not anteriorly positioned, not overriding antennal bases	not or weakly vaulted not anteriorly positioned, not overriding antennal bases	not or weakly vaulted not anteriorly positioned, not overriding antennal bases	
Pereonites 6 and 7	posterolateral margin not much expanded	posterolateral margin laterally expanded	posterolateral margin not expanded	posterolateral margin not expanded	posterolateral margin not expanded	posterolateral margin not expanded	
Pereonites 6 and 7, coxae	narrow, visible in dorsal view	not visible in dorsal view	wide, visible in dorsal view	visible in dorsal view	visible in dorsal view	visible in dorsal view; often large	
Pleonite 1	the lateral margins of pleonite 1 strongly extend laterally; moderately narrower than pleonite 2	as wide as pleonite 2	as wide or slightly narrower (s. str)	narrower than pleonite 2	narrower than pleonite 2	slightly narrower	
Pleonites	all visible	all visible	pleonites partly concealed, or all visible	all visible	all visible	pleonites 2–5 or 3–5 visible	
Pleonites, free lateral margins	pleonites 1-5	pleonites 2–5 or 3–5	pleonites 2-5 or 3-5	pleonites 2-5	pleonites 1–5 or 2–5 visible	pleonites 2–5 or 3–5 visible	
Pleon width	wider than widest pereon: 1.00 to 1.20 times as wide as pereon max. width	wide: 0.87 pereon max. width	greater than 0.7 percon max. width (s. str.)	variable: 0.64–0.84	narrow: 0.59–0.69	variable: 0.52–1.01	
Pleonite gaps	long gaps (60%; as width of widest pleon) present between all pleonites	long gaps present (50%; as width of widest pleon) between most or all pleonites	without gaps	with short gaps	without gaps	without gaps	
Antennula length Antennula size	shorter than antenna slender (= antenna)	shorter than antenna slender (= antenna)	shorter than antenna slender (= antenna)	longer than antenna slender (= antenna)	longer than antenna slender (= antenna)	longer than antenna robust (thicker than	
Oostegites	proximally thick, oostegite 1(of pereonite 2) bilobed	proximally thick	not proximally thick	not proximally thick	not proximally thick	antenna) not proximally thick	
Pleopods	not visible in dorsal	large, conspicuously visible in dorsal view	not large, not visible in dorsal view	not large, not visible in dorsal view	not large, not visible in dorsal view	not large, not or slightly visible in dorsal view	
Uropods	short, not reaching posterior of pleotelson	short, not reaching posterior of pleotelson	short, not reaching posterior of pleotelson	variable, reaching to between half-length of pleotelson to posterior	short, not reaching posterior of pleotelson	variable, long or short	
Maxilliped oostegite lobe	present	present	absent	absent	present	absent	

Note: *Elthusa* characters based on *Elthusa* sensu stricto (s. str.) as defined by Aneesh et al. (2020b) and other genera updated based on Aneesh et al. (2020c).

were deposited in the Western Ghat Field Research Centre of the Zoological Survey of India, Kozhikode (ZSI/WGRC) and remaining few non-types were placed in PTA's & AKH's personal collection in India (CAH).

Molecular analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from the cymothoid percopods and pleopods following the protocol for animal tissue extraction of the NucleoSpin[®] Tissue Genomic DNA Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). A targeted part of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene (approximately 680 bp) of these specimens was subjected to PCR amplification with the aid of a ProFlexTM PCR thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies) and universal invertebrate primers LCO1490 (5'-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATT GG-3') and HC02198 (5'-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACC AAAAAATCA-3') (Folmer et al. 1994). PCR reactions were performed with volumes of 25 µl, using 12.5 µl Thermo Scientific DreamTaq PCR master mix, 1.25 µl of each primer, 7 µl of PCR-grade nuclease-free water and 3 µl of DNA. Conditions for the PCR were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles of 94°C denaturation for 30 s, annealing at 47°C for 50 s with an end extension at 72°C for 2 min; and ending with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR amplification was performed in a PCR thermal cycler (GeneAmp PCR System 9700, Applied Biosystems). Polymerase chain reaction products were purified for sequencing with USB ExoSAP-IT (GE Healthcare) and sequenced in forward and reverse directions with the PCR primers by Dideoxy Sanger standard method with BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, USA) on an ABI sequencer (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, USA).

The obtained sequences were edited and aligned with BioEdit v.7.0.9.0. (IbisBiosciences, Carlsbad, USA., Hall, 1999). Phylogenetic analysis and sequence divergence were estimated using the Kimura 2-Parameter distance model of the MEGA (Version 11.0) Package (www.megasoftware.net/, Tamura et al. 2021). The maximum likelihood tree was constructed and was bootstrapped 1,000 times to provide percentage bootstrap values for branch points. The genetic distance of each species was done based on pair-wise distance analysis using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (MEGA 11, Tamura et al. 2021).

A comparison of the candidate sequence to the most similar sequences was carried out with the available data from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/genbank/). Comparative sequences of other cymothoids (sequences from each of eight different branchial cymothoid genera) from GenBank were downloaded and aligned to one sequence from the current study. These sequences included: LC159567 (*Elthusa* sp. female); MK652487 (*Elthusa raynaudii*); LC160320 (*Cterissa sakaii*); LC159570 (*Ichthyoxenus tanganyikae*); LC159578 (*Ryukyua globosa*); Livoneca redmanii MZ208985; MF628260 (Norileca indica); KC896399 (Joryma hilsae); MK652485 (Mothocya renardi); MW002498 (*Catoessa boscii*). Nucleotide genetic divergence in percentage (p-distance) and base-pair differences among the different species were determined using MEGA11 (Tamura et al. 2021).

RESULTS

TAXONOMY

Suborder Cymothoida Wägele, 1989 Superfamily Cymothooidea Leach, 1814 Family Cymothoidae Leach, 1814

Genus Glyptothoa gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:05AE1C92-B513-41BC-850E-5864488B6EC6

Type species: Glyptothoa sagara sp. nov.; original designation.

Etymology: The new generic name is the abbreviation of the host genus name (*Glyptophidium*) – "*Glypto*" combined with the ending – *thoa* indicating the family affinity. Gender is feminine.

Diagnosis: Ovigerous female (bold = key features): Body dorsally vaulted, two times as long as wide, widest at pereonite 3. Cephalon partially immersed in pereonite 1, anterior margin with acute ventrally directed rostral point. Pereonites 2-7 coxae visible in dorsal view, all coxae shorter than pereonite; pereonites 4-7 slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constricted, in hunched side. Pereonites 4-7 slightly decrease in width towards one side. Pleon short, c. 15% BL, pleonites all visible, the lateral margins of pleonite 1 strongly extend laterally; moderately narrower than pleonite 2, becoming progressively wider posteriorly, with large lateral gaps (0.6 times the pleon width) between pleonites; pleonites 1-4 medially subequal in length, pleonite 5 longest. Pleon at pleonite 5 wider than widest percon: 1.00 to 1.20 times as wide as greatest percon width at perconite 3. Pleotelson 0.9 times as wide as pleonite 5. Antennula narrowly separated by rostrum, slender, with 8 articles, shorter than antenna. Antenna with 13 articles. Buccal cone obscuring antennal bases. Brood pouch arising from coxae 1–4, 6 proximally thick; oostegite 1 bilobed. Pleopods not visible in dorsal view. Pleopod rami all simple, without proximomedial lamellar lobe, without folds or thickened ridges; peduncle lateral lobes absent. Uropods short, extending about halfway along pleotelson lateral margin.

Additional features: Mandible palp articles all slender, article 2 longer than article 3, both with small spines, Maxilla mesial lobe distinct (not fused), both lobes with two acute apical RS. Maxillula with 1 large and 3 small acuminate terminal RS. Maxilliped with oostegital lobes; mouthparts partially covered by oostegites of percopod 2. Percopods basis without prominent carina, without setae; articles not dilated or expanded.

Variation: pleonite 1, narrowest, 2–4 subequal, pleonite 5 widest in most specimens, whereas slight varied in one specimen with pleonite 1 is narrowest and pleonites 2–5 are progressively wider.

Adult male: Similar to females in general morphology. Body lateral margins sub-parallel. Coxae dorsally visible. Pleotelson proportional longer and narrower than female. Penial process acute, separated by 20% width of sternite 7. Pleopods not extending beyond pleotelson margins. Pereopods with acute RS.

Species included: *Glyptothoa sagara* sp. nov.; *Glyptothoa propinqua* (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov., *Glyptothoa myripristae* (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov., and *Glyptothoa caudata* (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884) comb. nov.

Remarks: Glyptothoa gen. nov. can be distinguished from all other cymothoid genera and identified by the following combination of ovigerous female characters: cephalon partially immersed in pereonite 1, dorsum vaulted, all coxae shorter than or as long as pereonite, visible in dorsal view; pereonites 4-7 slightly decrease in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constricted in hunched side; relatively wide pleon, with large lateral gaps between pleonites; antennula narrowly separated by rostrum, slender, shorter than antenna; antenna with 13 articles; buccal cone obscuring antennal bases; brood pouch arising from coxae 2-6, proximally thick; oostegite 1 (of pereonite 2) bilobed; pleopods large, not visible in dorsal view; pleopod rami all simple, without proximomedial lamellar lobe, without folds or thickened ridges; peduncle lateral lobes absent.

Glyptothoa sagara sp. nov. (Figs. 1–19) urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:505AF3AB-A7D5-4147-B7ED-70A2653FEC10

Type material: Holotype: 1 ovigerous female

page 5 of 31

[36.0 mm L, 18.0 mm W (maximum width)], from *Glyptophidium macropus* Alcock, 1894, recorded from ~300 to 650 m depth, off Neendakara coast (08°30.0'N, 76°53.30'E), Kerala, India, 29 December 2019, coll. PT Aneesh & AK Helna (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR.INV./24781).

Paratypes: Same data as holotype with the following measurements and registration details: 1 mature male (15.0 mm TL; 7.0 mm W), partially dissected (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR.INV./ 24782); 1 female (non-ovigerous) (31.0 mm TL; 15.5 mm W), partially dissected (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR.INV./ 24783); 1 female (ovigerous) (28.0 mm TL; 14.0 mm W), partially dissected (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR.INV./ 24784); 1 late transitional (16.0 mm TL; 8.5 mm W), (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV./ 24785); 1 female (ovigerous) (27.0 mm TL; 13.5 mm W), (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR.INV./ 24786). *Non-types*: 1 young male (12.0 mm TL; 5.5 mm W) (Reg. No. CAH/INV/ISO 0300); 1 early transitional (17.0 mm TL; 8.0 mm W) (Reg. No. CAH/INV/ISO 0301).

Etymology: The specific name is derived from the Sanskrit word "sagara", literally meaning the "gathering together of waters," *i.e.*, the ocean. Further, it is a reminder of the importance of the ocean for the sustainable development of life underwater and its conservation.

Description of ovigerous female (Figs. 1-10): Body 2 times as long as greatest width, dorsal surfaces weakly vaulted, widest at pereonite 3, most narrow at perconite 1. Cephalon 1.8 times wider than long, partially immersed in pereonite 1. Frontal margin with acute ventrally directed rostral point. Eyes oval with distinct margins, one eye 0.15 times the width of cephalon. Pereonite 1 smooth; anterior border medially straight, slightly curved laterally; anterolateral angles with a small distinct produced point. Coxae 2-6 narrow, all shorter than respective pereonite, coxae 7 slightly shorter or equal to pereonite 7. Pereonites 2-7, posterolateral angles little produced, pereonite 4-7 posterolateral margins slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constricted in hunched side, pereonite 7 extending posteriorly to pleonite 1 or 2. Pereonites slightly increase the width from 1-3; perconites 4-7 slightly decrease in width. Pereonite 1 longest, 7 shortest, perconites decreasing in length from 1-3, pereonites 3-6 sub equal in length. Pleon short, ~15% BL, pleon 1.25 times as wide as maximum pereon width; pleonites progressively increasing in width towards posterior; pleonites 1-4 medially subequal in length, pleonite 5 longest. Pleonite 1 slightly narrower, subequal in length to pleonite 2. Pleotelson 1.10 times wider than pereonite 7; 1.6 times as wide as long; posterior margin, broadly rounded, lateral margins



Fig. 1. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. A–C, ovigerous female on the branchial cavity of the host fish *Glyptophidium macropus* Alcock, 1894. The arrow indicates ovigerous female.



Fig. 2. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female holotype (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24781). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, dorso-lateral view.

convex, dorsal proximal surface with medial furrow.

Antennula narrowly separated by rostrum; article 1 widest, 1.2 times as wide as long; article 3 longest; terminal article shortest; 4-7 with 2-3 simple setae, article 8 with few terminal aesthetes. Antenna, terminal article shortest, with few short simple setae, extending to anterior margin of pereonite 1; article 1 widest, 1.5 times as wide as long; article 2 as wide as long; article 4 longest; articles 4–5 lateral margin with one plumose seta each; articles 5–13, decreasing the width; articles 10-12 sub-equal in width; terminal article 1.5 times as long as wide. Mandible palp article 1 longest, 3.3 times as long as wide; article 2 longer than article 3; palp article 2 with 5-7, article 3 with many 18-25 simple marginal setae. Maxillula with 4 unequal acuminate terminal RS. Maxilla with distinct mesial lobe and lateral lobe, each with 2 RS. Maxilliped with oostegital lobes, article 3 with three recurved RS; mouthparts not covered by oostegites of pereopod 2.

Pereopod 1, basis large, 1.7 times as long as greatest width; ischium, 1.5 times as long as wide, 0.7 times as long as basis; merus 0.4 times as long as wide; carpus 0.7 times as wide as merus; propodus 1.5 times as long as wide, 0.5 times as long as ischium; dactylus

1.3 times as long as propodus, 3.3 times as long as proximal width. Pereopod 2 basis 1.7 times as long as greatest width; ischium, twice as long as wide, 0.7 times as long as basis; propodus 1.6 times as long as wide; dactylus 1.1 times as long as propodus. Pereopods 3 similar to percopod 2. Percopod 4 basis 1.2 times as long as greatest width; ischium as long as basis, 1.6 times as long as greatest width; propodus 1.3 times as long as wide; dactylus 1.2 times as long as propodus, 3.0 times as long as greatest width. Pereopods 5 similar to percopod 4. Percopod 6 basis 1.6 times as long as greatest width, ischium as long as basis, 1.8 times as long as greatest width; merus 1.2 times wider than ischium; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide; dactylus 1.1 times as long as propodus, 2.9 times as long as greatest width. Pereopod 7 basis 1.9 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.9 times as long as basis; merus 1.2 times as wide as ischium, 0.4 times as long as wide; carpus 0.5 times as long as wide, 0.4 times as long as ischium; propodus 1.5 times as long as wide, 0.5 times as long as ischium; dactylus 1.4 times as long as propodus, three times as long as basal width.

> Brood pouch moderately bulged ventrally. Pleopod peduncle lateral lobes absent. Pleopod 1,



Fig. 3. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female paratype (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24786) A, dorsal view. B-C, lateral views.

one side is slightly larger than other side; exopod 1.1 times as long as wide, lateral margin convex, distally broadly rounded, mesial margin convex; endopod 0.9 as long as exopod, 1.3 times as long as wide, lateral

margin weakly convex, distally broadly rounded; peduncle twice as wide as long. Pleopod 2 without appendix masculina. Pleopod 2–5, similar. Pleopod 5 exopod as long as wide, lateral margin convex, distally



Fig. 4. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female paratype (partially dissected) (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24784). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view.

rounded, mesial margin convex; endopod 0.9 times as long as exopod, 1.1 times as long as wide, distally broadly rounded.

Uropod 0.5 times as long as pleotelson; peduncle 0.6 times as long as exopod, 1.5 times as long as wide,

lateral margin without setae; rami without marginal setae, apices narrowly rounded. *Endopod* 2.8 times as long as greatest width, 0.8 times as long as exopod, lateral margin convex. *Exopod* 1.3 times as long as endopod, apically narrowly rounded, exopod curved



Fig. 5. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female. A–C, Holotype. A, dorsal view. B, cephalon dorsal view. C, cephalon frontal view. D–E, paratype (partially dissected) (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24784). D, oostegite of pereonite 2. E, oostegite of pereonite 3.

to mesial, 2.5 times as long as greatest width, mesial margin concave, lateral margin convex.

Description of transitional stage (Figs. 11–13): Body 1.8–2.0 times as long as wide; straight (in early transitional) to slightly curved towards one side (in late transitional), widest at perconite 3, most narrow at perconite 1. Eyes distinct, one eye 0.3 times as wide as cephalon. Cephalon 0.5–0.6 times as long as wide, similar to females. Perconites and pleonites of the late stage similar to those of the ovigerous female.



Fig. 6. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. A, non-ovigerous female paratype cephalon ventral view (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24783). B–D, holotype. B, brood pouch. C, pleon dorsal view showing pleonite gaps. D, pleon ventral view.



Fig. 7. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female paratype (partially dissected) (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24784). A, antennula. B, antenna. C, mandible. D, maxilla. E, maxilla apex. F, maxillula. G, maxilliped of non-ovigerous female (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/ IR.INV./ 24783). H, maxilliped of ovigerous female. I, distal segment of maxilliped palp. J, plumose setae of maxilliped.



Fig. 8. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female paratype (partially dissected) (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24784). A-G, pereopods 1-7.

Antennula, antenna, mandible palp, maxilla, maxilliped similar to those of the male. Coxae similar to that of the female (late transitional). Penial processes rudimentary. Pleotelson early stage 1.9 times wider than long, late stage 1.6 times wider than long. Uropods similar to those of male. Early stage percopods and pleopods were similar to those of males, and late stage ones were similar to those of females, but possessed rudimentary



Fig. 9. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. ovigerous female paratype (partially dissected) (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24784). A–B, left and right pleopod 1. C–F, pleopods 2–5. G, pleotelson and uropods. H, uropod.

spines.

Description of adult male (Figs. 14–18): Body symmetrical, 2.0–2.2 times as long as greatest width, dorsal surfaces smooth, lateral margins sub-parallel,

widest at pereonite 3, pereonite 2–4 subequal in width, most narrow at pereonite 7. *Cephalon* 2.4 times wider than long, anterior border slightly triangular. *Frontal margin* similar to that of female. *Eyes* conspicuous,



Fig. 10. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. non-ovigerous female paratype (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24783). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view.

one eye 0.3 times width of cephalon. *Coxae* all dorsally visible, shorter than pereonites. *Pereonites* 1–6 posterolateral angles not produced. Pereonite 7 posterolateral margin extending beyond the lateral margin of pleonite 1. *Pereonites* 3–5 more or less equal in width, 1 narrower than others. Pereonite 1 longest, 7 shortest. *Pleon* wide, 1.1 times as wide as pereon; pleonites progressively increase in width from 1–5. *Pleotelson* posterior margin broadly rounded, 1.7 times as wide as long.

Antennula length shorter than antenna, with eight articles, narrowly separated by rostrum; article 1 widest, 2.0 times as wide as long; article 3 longest; terminal article shortest, articles 3–8 with few setae. Antenna with 13 articles; terminal article shortest, with few short, simple setae; article 1 widest, 1.2 times as wide as long; article 5 longest; articles 5–13, progressively decreasing in length and width. Mandible, maxillula, maxilla

similar to that of non-ovigerous female. Maxilliped article 1, basally narrow without lobes; article 2 0.4 times as long as article 1, article 3, 0.8 times as long as article 2.

All percopods with acute RS. Percopod 1 basis 1.5 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.7 times as long as basis; merus 0.4 times as long as wide; propodus 1.6 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; dactylus, 1.2 times as long as propodus, 3.3 times as long as proximal width. Percopod 2 basis 2.0 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.4 times as long as basis; merus 0.7 times as long as wide; propodus 1.2 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 8 acute RS; dactylus, 1.4 times as long as propodus, 3.2 times as long as proximal width. Percopod 3 basis 2.0 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.6 times as long as basis; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 6 acute RS; dactylus, 1.2 times



Fig. 11. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. early transitional (Reg. No. CAH/INV/ISO 0301) A, dorsal view. B, ventral view.



Fig. 12. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. late transitional (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24785). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view. D, dorso-frontal view.

as long as propodus. Pereopod 4 carpus inner lateral margin with 2, propodus with 3 acute RS. Pereopod 5 basis 2.0 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.8 times as long as basis; carpus inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 4 acute RS; dactylus 1.4 times as long as propodus. Pereopod 6 2.0 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.8 times as long as basis; carpus inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 2 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.4 times as long as

inner lateral margin with 6 acute RS; dactylus 1.2 times as long as propodus. Pereopod 7 basis 1.8 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.9 times as long as basis; carpus inner lateral margin with 3 acute RS; propodus 1.7 times as long as wide, inner lateral margin with 5 acute RS; dactylus 1.2 times as long as propodus.

Penial process acute, 1.8 times as long as basal width, separated by 30% width of sternite 7, visible on sternite 7, basally mutually adjacent.



Fig. 13. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. transitional (A–D), early transitional (Reg. No. CAH/INV/ISO 0301). A, cephalon dorsal view. B, pleotelson and uropods. C, abdominal sternites with penes. D, penes. (E–J), late transitional (Reg. no ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24785). E, cephalon dorsal view. F, pleotelson and uropods. G, uropod. H, abdominal sternites with penes. I, rudimentary penes. J, pleon ventral view.

Pleopods not extending beyond pleotelson margins, not visible in dorsal view. Pleopods 1–5 rami simple, without proximomedial lamellar lobe, folds or thickened ridges; endopod of all pleopods slightly shorter than exopod. Pleopod 1 exopod 1.5 times as long as wide, distally broadly rounded; endopod 0.9 times as long as exopod; peduncle 2.3 times as wide as long. Pleopod 2 exopod 1.1 times as long as endopod; appendix masculina of pleopod 2 straight and narrow, 0.9 as long as endopod. Pleopod 4 exopod as long as endopod.

Uropod 0.9 times as long as pleotelson; peduncle 0.5 times as long as exopod, lateral margin without setae; rami not reaching the distal margin of pleotelson, marginal setae absent, apices narrowly rounded, exopod 1.2 times as long as endopod, 2.7 times as long as



Fig. 14. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. young male (Reg. No. CAH/INV/ISO 0300). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view.



Fig. 15. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. male (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24782). A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view.



Fig. 16. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. male (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24782). A, dorsal view. B, cephalon frontal view. C, cephalon ventral view. D, antennula. E, antenna. F, mandible. G, maxilla. H, maxillule. I, maxillule. I, maxillule. I, maxillule.



Fig. 17. Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov. male (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24782). A-G, percopods 1-7. H, penes.



Fig. 18. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov. male (Reg. No. ZSI/WGRC/IR. INV/24782). A–E, pleopods 1–5. F, uropods and pleotelson of young male. G, uropods and pleotelson. H, uropod.

greatest width. *Endopod* 2.2 times as long as greatest width, lateral margin convex.

Colour: pale tan.

Distribution: Known only from the type locality.

Host: Known only from the type host *Glyptophidium macropus* Alcock, 1894.

Remarks: Glyptothoa sagara sp. nov. can be identified by the following features: cephalon partially immersed in pereonite 1; antenna with 13 articles; pleotelson 1.9 times longer than pleon; pleotelson 0.6 times as long as wide; uropod exopod longer than endopod; and uropods extending half the length of pleotelson.

The inter-specific character between *Glyptothoa* sagara sp. nov., and three new combinations are listed in table 2.

Molecular analysis (Table 3; Fig. 19): A 680 bp long COI sequence for Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov., was newly generated to maintain a gene library. The sequence was compared to the representatives from other nine branchial cymothoid genera, such as Elthusa, Cterissa, Ichthyoxenus, Ryukyua, Livoneca, Norileca, Joryma, Mothocya and Catoessa sequences available on GenBank (Table 3; Fig. 19). The alignment was 610 bp, no stop codons, translation on frame 2, using invertebrate mitochondrial code. Nucleotide genetic divergence (*p*-distance) among *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et. sp. nov. and other nine available branchial cymothoid genera is 25.5% (*Elthusa*) to 40.9% (*Norileca*).

Ecological remarks: We have closely examined 45 species of deep-sea fishes (from November 2017 to November 2021) and the parasite *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et. sp. nov. was recovered only from *Glyptophidium* macropus Alcock, 1894 (family: Ophidiidae) signifying its oligoxenous host specificity. In the present study, we have examined the host fish collected from different localities along the southwest coast of India. A total of 96 individuals of G. macropus were examined from four available localities along the southwest coast of India. Of these, 27 individuals were infested with *Glvptothoa* sagara sp. nov. with a prevalence of 28.1%, and all were obtained from the type locality, the Neendakara coast. Twenty females (16 ovigerous and 4 non-ovigerous), 7 transitional stages (4 early and 3 late), and 12 males were recovered from these 27 infested host fish. Parasites were usually found in pairs in the host fish, one in each branchial cavity; mostly male-female pairs were found; the relatively large ovigerous female was found settled in the floor of the branchial cavity, facing the cephalon anteriorly (see Fig. 1). Males were found



Fig. 19. Maximum likelihood tree of the branchial cymothoids including *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. et sp. nov., based on mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (*COI*) gene. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap support values. The accession numbers in GenBank are shown before each scientific name.

to occupy the opposite gill chamber in more or less the same position. Similar to our previous observations on other branchial cymothoids, the hunching of the body is also seen in the female and late transitional stage of *Glyptothoa sagara* either towards the left or right according to their occupation of the right and left branchial cavity, respectively.

Glyptothoa propinqua (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov. (Fig. 20) urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:47F8F44F-233C-461B-AEE4-7D3FA0282081

Lironeca propinqua Richardson 1904: 37, figs. 6, 7; Richardson 1909: 87; 1910: 23; Thielemann 1910: 42; Nierstrasz 1931: 43; Barnard 1936: 170.

Elthusa propinqua Bruce 1990: 262–263, figs. 8, 9; Saito and Yamauchi 2016: 64; Kazmi, Schotte and Yousuf, 2002: 102, fig. 85; Ravichandran, Vigneshwaran, and Rameshkumar 2019: 25.

Remarks: Glyptothoa propinqua (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov. was described initially from moderately deepwater fishes off Japan as Lironeca propinqua. Later Bruce (1990) transferred this species into the genus Elthusa. The recent revision of the genus Elthusa by Aneesh et al. (2020b) regarded Elthusa as incerta sedis, since it did not wholly conform to the generic characters of Elthusa (see the generic remarks in Aneesh et al. 2020b). Based on the following characters: cephalon immersed in pereonite 1, coxae shorter than or as long as pereonites, pereonites 4–7 slightly decreasing in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical,

Table 2. Interspecific morphological character comparison between *Glyptothoa sagara* sp. nov., *Glyptothoa propinqua* (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov., *Glyptothoa myripristae* (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov., and *Glyptothoa caudata* (Schioedte & Meinert, 1884) comb. nov. collated from original descriptions and, where applicable, redescriptions (see Schioedte and Meinert 1884; Richardson 1904; Bruce 1990)

Characters	G. sagara sp. nov.	<i>G. propinqua</i> (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov.	G. myripristae (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov.	<i>G. caudata</i> (Schioedte & Meinert, 1884) comb. nov.		
Cephalon Partially immersed in perconite 1		Deeply immersed in pereonite 1	Deeply immersed in pereonite 1	Partially immersed in pereonite 1		
Antenna	With 13 articles	With 11 articles	With 11 articles	With 12 articles		
Pleonite 1	Visible in dorsal view	Visible in dorsal view	Not visible in dorsal view	Visible in dorsal view		
Pleotelson length to pleon length	1.9 times longer than pleon	1.2 times longer than pleon	2.9 times longer than pleon	1.3 times longer than pleon		
pleotelson	0.6 times as long as wide	0.46 times as long as wide	0.7 times as long as wide	0.6 times as long as wide		
Uropod	Exopod longer than endopod	Exopod longer than endopod	Endopod slightly longer than exopod	Endopod slightly longer than exopod		
Uropod length to pleotelson	Uropods extending half the length of pleotelson	Uropods nearly reaching the margin of pleotelson	Uropods less than half the length of pleotelson	Uropods nearly half the length of pleotelson		

*Glyptothoa caudata (Schioedte & Meinert, 1884) comb. nov., characters are based on non-ovigerous female (holotype).

 Table 3. Nucleotide genetic divergence among COI sequences of Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov., and other branchial cymothoid genera available in GenBank. Values are expressed in percentage (p-distance)

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Glyptothoa sagara gen. et sp. nov.											
2	LC159567 Elthusa sp. female	26										
3	MK652487Elthusa raynaudii	24	8									
4	LC160320 Cterissa sakaii	25	27	26								
5	LC159570 Ichthyoxenus tanganyikae	35	39	34	34							
6	LC159578 Ryukyua globosa	39	37	37	37	32						
7	MZ208985 Livoneca redmanii	39	39	35	39	41	33					
8	MF628260 Norileca indica	41	41	40	35	36	16	36				
9	KC896399 Joryma hilsae	38	33	32	34	32	29	37	29			
10	MK652485 Mothocya renardi	35	35	32	32	29	26	34	26	27		
11	MW002498 Catoessa boscii	37	32	31	33	31	28	35	28	2	25	

lateral margins slightly constricted in the hunched side, relatively wide pleon, with lateral gaps between pleonites, antennula narrowly separated by rostrum, buccal cone obscuring antennal bases, pleopods rami all simple, without folds or thickened ridges; we place the species in combination with *Glyptothoa* gen. nov. Interspecific character are listed in table 2.

Distribution: Japan (Richardson 1904; Saito and Yamauchi 2016), the Philippines (Richardson 1909), and eastern Australia (Bruce 1990). Barnard's report from Lakshadweep Island, India (1936) is an unconfirmed record as there are no figures, descriptions, or voucher specimens.

Hosts: Known from "chalinura" (Richardson 1909) from Japan, "a macrurid" (Richardson 1910) in the Philippines, from the gills of Macrurus sp. in India (Barnard 1936), from Ventrifossa cf. nigrodorsalis (family: Macrouridae) Bruce (1990); from five macrourid fish species from Japan, including the Coelorinchus jordani Smith and Pope, 1906, Coelorinchus longissimus Matsubara, 1943, Coelorinchus multispinulosus Katayama, 1942, Coelorinchus productus Gilbert and Hubbs, 1916, and Ventrifossa garmani (Jordan and Gilbert, 1904) (Saito and Yamauchi 2016). Present material (fig. 20) was also collected from Ventrifossa garmani from Suruga Bay, Japan.

Glyptothoa myripristae (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:05916159-594D-4639-

8C53-F27251814670

Elthusa myripristae Bruce 1990: 255-258, figs. 3-5.

Remarks: Glyptothoa myripristae (Bruce, 1990) comb. nov. was originally described from Escape Reef, northern Great Barrier Reef by Bruce (1990), in the genus *Elthusa*. It had the following characters: antennula narrowly separated by rostrum, buccal cone obscuring antennal bases, pleopods rami all simple, without folds or thickened ridges, pereonites 4–7 slightly decrease in width towards one side, slightly asymmetrical, lateral margins slightly constrict in hunched side, relatively wide pleon, with lateral gaps between pleonites makes it fit with the new genus *Glyptothoa*. Interspecific characters are listed in table 2.

Distribution: Known only from the type locality, Escape Reef, northern Great Barrier Reef (Bruce 1990).

Host: Known only from the type host *Myripristis* violaceus (= *Myripristis violacea* Bleeker, 1851) (Bruce 1990).

page 26 of 31

Glyptothoa caudata (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884) comb. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E456CE5B-EE7C-44AC-8C0E-C27F6B8BC49C (Fig. 21)

Lironeca caudata Schioedte and Meinert 1884: 860–862, plate 33, figs. 1–2.

Elthusa caudata Bruce 1990: 254.

Remarks: Glyptothoa caudata (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884) comb. nov. initially described as *Lironeca caudata* by Schioedte and Meinert (1884), based on a non-ovigerous female holotype (RMNH. CRUS.I.68) (see fig. 21), collected from Japan, without host data. Later Bruce (1990) provisionally transferred it to the genus *Elthusa* along with 19 other species of *Lironeca*. Based on the type specimen and the original description, it is clear that it perfectly fits with the new genus, and we transfer it in combination with the new genus.

Distribution: Japan (Schioedte and Meinert 1884). *Host*: Unknown.

Key to the species of Glyptothoa

- 3. Pleotelson 1.9 times longer than pleon; uropod exopod longer than endopod; uropods extending half the length of pleotelson; antenna with 13 articles *G. sagara* sp. nov.

DISCUSSION

The slightly asymmetrical, not distorted body shape of *Glyptothoa* can be distinguished from the branchial cymothoid genera *Agarna* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884, *Cterissa* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884, *Kuna* Williams and Williams, 1986 and *Ryukyua* Williams and Williams, 1994 (all of which have strongly distorted asymmetric body shapes). The simple pleopods, brood pouch without posterior pockets, slender antennae, and pereopodal morphology places the new genus close to genera such as *Brucethoa* Aneesh, Hadfield, Smit and Kumar, 2020, *Elthusa, Ichthyoxenos*



Fig. 20. Glyptothoa propinqua (Richardson, 1904) comb. nov. A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, dorso-frontal view. D-E, lateral views.

(marine), *Mothocya* and *Catoessa* (Table 1) (Aneesh et al. 2020c).

The cephalon with an acute ventrally directed rostrum, anteriorly positioned buccal cone overriding antennal bases, long gaps between pleonites, and proximally thick oostegites place the new genus close to the recently described deep-sea branchial cymothoid genus Brucethoa. Both can be distinguished by the following features: body dorsum weakly vaulted in Glyptothoa gen. nov. (vs dorsum highly vaulted in Brucethoa); pereonites 6 and 7 posterolateral margins not expanded (vs. posterolateral margin laterally expanded); coxae of pereonites 6 and 7 visible in dorsal view (vs. not visible in dorsal view); pleonite 1 much narrower than pleonite 2 (vs as wide as pleonite 2); pleonites 1-5 with free lateral margins (vs pleonites 2-5 or 3-5 with free lateral margins); pleon wider than widest percon (1.25 times as wide as percon max. width) (vs narrower, 0.87 times as wide as pereon max. width); pleopod gaps (60%; as width of widest pleon) (vs 50%; as width of widest pleon); pleopods not visible

in dorsal view (vs pleopods visible in dorsal view) (see Aneesh et al. 2020c).

Elthusa, as defined by Aneesh et al. (2020b), differs from *Glyptothoa* gen. nov. in the following features: cephalon anterior margin with acute ventrally directed rostrum in *Glyptothoa* (vs dorsally truncate in *Elthusa*); buccal "cone" anteriorly positioned, overriding antennal bases (vs not anteriorly positioned, not overriding antennal bases); pleonites 1–5 with free lateral margins (vs pleonites 2–5 or 3–5); long gaps are present between all pleonites (vs without gaps); oostegite 1 proximally thick and bilobed (vs not proximally thick, not bilobed) (see Aneesh et al. 2020b c).

The genus *Catoessa* does have some gaps between the pleonites, and it can be separated from *Glyptothoa* gen. nov. by the rotationally twisted pleon with a narrow pleonite 1, the anterior margin of the cephalon lacking a rostral point, while the uropods extend about halfway along to beyond the posterior margin of the pleotelson, oostegites not proximally thick and are not bilobed (see

A
Fig. 21. *Glyptothoa caudata* (Schioedte and Meinert, 1884) comb. nov. non-ovigerous female holotype (RMNH.CRUS.I.68). A, redrawn from Schioedte and Meinert (1884). B, images of type specimen accessed from Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, Netherlands.



Bowman and Tareen 1983; Bruce 1990; Trilles et al. 2012; Aneesh et al. 2020c).

Mothocya differs from *Glyptothoa* gen. nov. primarily by having the antennula being both distinctly longer and more slender than the antenna, lacking a distinct rostral point, and the uropods extending to or beyond the posterior margin of the pleotelson, absence of a long gap between pleonites and oostegites not proximally thick, not bilobed. In addition, most species of *Mothocya* are moderately asymmetrical and the posterior coxae (5–7) are broad and longer than corresponding pereonites (see Bruce 1986; Hadfield et al. 2015; Aneesh et al. 2020c).

Ichthyoxenos is a primarily freshwater genus that includes both flesh burrowers as well as gill-attaching species (Bruce 1990). The genus consistently differs from *Glyptothoa* gen. nov. in having a pleon that is markedly narrower than pereonite 7 (vs pleon 1.25 times as wide as pereon maximum width in *Glyptothoa*), as well as distinctive pereopods that have a relatively long ischium, short merus and carpus which are often distally expanded or weakly lobed (see Aneesh et al. 2020c). An updated key to the branchial cymothoid genera was provided by Aneesh et al. (2020c).

Both morphological and genetic data clearly indicate the identity of the new genus. The nucleotide genetic divergence (*p*-distance) among the branchial cymothoid genera suggests that the new genus *Glyptothoa* and other nine available branchial cymothoid genera is very distinct, 25.5% (*Elthusa*) to 40.9% (*Norileca*) (see Table 2; Fig. 19). The new genus *Glyptothoa* appears to be the third genus in the family Cymothoidae described from India; the previous addition was the description of *Brucethoa* by Aneesh et al. (2020c).

CONCLUSIONS

The branchial attaching species described here was found to differ consistently from all other known cymothoid genera, and based on the morphological description and molecular characterization, we describe the new genus *Glyptothoa* with the type species *G. sagara* gen. and sp. nov. The new genus *Glyptothoa* is the 43rd genus in the family. Based on the generic characters, three species of *Elthusa* have been transferred into the new genus. *Glyptothoa sagara* gen. and sp. nov. exhibits oligoxenous host specificity and strict site selection for parasitization.

List of abbreviations

RS, robust seta/e.

BL, body length.W, width.ZSI/WGRC, Western Ghat Field Research Centre of Zoological Survey of India, Kozhikode.

Acknowledgments: This work and the new species names were registered with ZooBank under urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:9D2E2E28-A649-4A7F-B410-1962EDD849D7. The authors thank the partial funding support of the Lee Kong Chan Museum of Natural History, National University of Singapore, for the funding support to University of Kerala on deep-sea crustaceans of India. This study was partially supported by grants-in-aid from the Japan Society of Promotion of Science (KAKENHI No. 18J00466, awarded to SO; JSPS Bilateral Partnership Program, No. JPJSBP120209924, awarded to SO).

Authors' contributions: AKH and PTA conducted the field work, worked on identification, illustrations and pictures and prepared the draft of the manuscript. PTA, AKH, AB, and SO conceived and designed research, and critically reviewed it to improve the quality of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests: All authors declare that they have no competing interests. No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Availability of data and materials: Type and voucher specimens were deposited in the collections of Western Ghat Field Research Centre of Zoological Survey of India, Kozhikode (ZSI/WGRC) and other additional materials are in PTA's & AKH's personal collection, located in India (CAH).

Consent for publication: All the authors consent to the publication of this manuscript.

Ethics approval consent to participate: The specimen is not under the listed categories of experimental animals which need ethics approval.

REFERENCES

- Aneesh PT, Helna AK, Raj S, Kumar AB. 2023. Description of *Elthusa aquabio* sp. nov. (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae), a branchial fish parasitic isopod from Indian waters. J Nat Hist 57(21–24):1193–1205. doi:10.1080/00222933.2023.2242099.
- Aneesh PT, Helna AK, Kumar AB. 2022. Redescription and further report of two buccal attaching fish parasitic cymothoids, *Ceratothoa carinata* (Bianconi, 1869) and *Cymothoa bychowskyi* Avdeev, 1979 (Crustacea: Isopoda) with a new record from the

southern India Ocean. J Nat Hist **56(16–17)**:1063–1089. doi:10. 1080/00222933.2022.2099318.

- Aneesh PT, Nashad M, Bijukumar A, Bineesh K, Mohamed Hatha AA. 2021a. Review on the global distribution and hosts of the external fish parasitic isopod genus *Renocila* Miers, 1880 (Crustacea: Cymothoidae), with the description of a new species from Andaman Islands, India, and notes on new host record of *Renocila bijui* Aneesh and Bruce, 2020. J Nat Hist 55(43–44):2761–2785. doi:10.1080/00222933.2021.2019341.
- Aneesh PT, Bruce NL, Kumar AB, Bincy MR, Sreenath TM. 2021b. A taxonomic review of the buccal-attaching fish parasite genus *Lobothorax* Bleeker, 1857 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) with description of a new species from southwestern India. Zool Stud 60:13. doi:10.6620/ZS.2021.60-13.
- Aneesh PT, Bruce NL, Nashad M, Bineesh K, Mohamed Hatha AA. 2020a. A new species of parasitic cymothoid of the genus *Renocila* Miers, 1880 (Crustacea: Isopoda) parasitising the body surface of coral reef fish *Acanthurus triostegus* (Linnaeus) from Andaman Island, India. Mar Biol Res 16(5):396–410. doi:10.10 80/17451000.2020.1761028.
- Aneesh PT, Hadfield KA, Smith NJ, Kumar AB. 2020c. A new genus and species of fish parasitic cymothoid isopod (Crustacea) from Indian waters, with a key to the branchial-attaching cymothoid genera. Mar Biol Res 16(8–9):565–584. doi:10.1080/17451000. 2020.1851032.
- Aneesh PT, Hadfield KA, Smith NJ, Kumar AB. 2021c. Morphological description and molecular characterisation of a new species of *Anilocra* Leach, 1818 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) from India. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 14:321–328. doi:10.1016/ j.ijppaw.2021.03.007.
- Aneesh PT, Helna AK, Kumar AB, Trilles JP. 2020b. A taxonomic review of the branchial fish parasitic genus *Elthusa* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) from Indian waters with the description of three new species. Mar Biodivers 50(65):1–38. doi:10.1007/s12526-020-01084-6.
- Aneesh PT, Helna AK, Trilles JP, Chandra K. 2019. A taxonomic review of the genus *Joryma* Bowman and Tareen, 1983 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) parasitising the marine fishes from Indian waters, with a description of three new species. Mar Biodivers 49:1449–1478. doi:10.1007/s12526-018-0920-7.
- Aneesh PT, Kappalli S. 2020. Protandrous hermaphroditic reproductive system in the adult phases of *Mothocya renardi* (Bleeker, 1857) (Cymothoidae: Isopoda: Crustacea) – light and electron microscopy study. Zool Stud **59:**61. doi:10.6620/ZS.2020.59-61.
- Aneesh PT, Sudha K, Arshad K, Anilkumar G, Trilles JP. 2013. Seasonal fluctuation of the prevalence of cymothoids representing the genus *Nerocila* (Crustacea, Isopoda), parasitizing commercially exploited marine fishes from the Malabar Coast, India. Acta Parasitol 58(1):80–90. doi:10.2478/ s11686-013-0112-3.
- Barnard KH. 1936. Isopods collected by the R.I.M.S. "Investigator". Records of the Indian Museum, Calcutta 38:147–191.
- Bowman TE, Tareen IU. 1983. Cymothoidae from Fishes of Kuwait (Arabian Gulf) (Crustacea, Isopoda). Smith Contr Zool 382:1–30.
- Brandt A, Poore GC B. 2003. Higher classification of the flabelliferan and related Isopoda based on a reappraisal of relationships. Invertebr Syst 17:893–923. doi:10.1071/IS02032.
- Bruce NL. 1986. Revision of the isopod crustacean genus *Mothocya* Costa, in Hope, 1851 (Cymothoidae: Flabellifera), parasitic on marine fishes. J Nat Hist **20:**1089–1192. doi:10.1080/002229386 00770781.
- Bruce NL. 1990. The genera Catoessa, Elthusa, Enispa, Ichthyoxenus, Idusa, Livoneca and Norileca n. gen. (Isopoda, Cymothoidae, crustacean parasites of marine fishes, with descriptions of eastern Australian species. Rec Aus Mus 42:247–300. doi:10.3853/

© 2023 Academia Sinica, Taiwan

j.0067-1975.42.1990.118.

- Brunnich M. 1783. Spicilegia Zoologica e Museis Naturae Curiosorum in itineribus apud exteros reportata.fol. 1765–99.
- Costa A. 1851. Caratteri di alcuni de'generi e specie nouve segnete nel presente catalogo. *In* Catalogo dei crostacei Italiani e di molti altri de Mediterraneo, Napoli. (F. W. Hope), pp. 41–48.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Marine Biol Biotechnol **3:**294–299.
- Fricke R, Eschmeyer WN, van der Laan R (ed). 2023. Catalog of fishes: genera, species, references. Electronic version. Available at: http://research.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/ fishcatmain.asp. Accessed 8 Feb 2023.
- Froese R, Pauly D (ed). 2023. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication, version (09/2009). Available at: www.fishbase.org. Accessed 8 Feb. 2023.
- Fujita H, Kawai K, Deville D, Umino T. 2023. Molecular and morphological characterizations of the fish parasitic isopod *Mothocya parvostis* (Crustacea: Cymothoidae) parasitizing optional intermediate hosts: juveniles of the cobaltcap silverside *Hypoatherina tsurugae* and yellowfin seabream *Acanthopagrus latus*. Zool Stud 62:21. doi:10.6620/ZS.2023.62-21.
- Herklots J. 1870. Deux nouveaux genres de Crustacés vivant en parasites sur des poissons, *Epichthyes* et *Ichthyoxenos*. Archiv Neerlandaise Sciences Exact et Naturelle **5:**120–137.
- Hadfield KA, Bruce NL, Smit NJ. 2015. Review of *Mothocya* Costa, in Hope, 1851 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) from southern Africa, with the description of a new species. Afr Zool 50:147– 163. doi:10.1080/15627020.2015.1043943.
- Helna AK, Sahadevan AV, Kattamballi R, Kappalli S. 2019. Norileca indica (Crustacea: Isopoda, Cymothoidae) infects Rastrelliger kanagurta along the Malabar Coast of India – seasonal variation in the prevalence and aspects of host-parasite interactions. Zool Stud 58:35. doi:10.6620/ZS.2019.58-35.
- Kazmi QB, Schotte M, Yousuf F. 2002. An illustrated key to the Malacostraca (Crustacea) of the northern Arabian Sea. Part V. Isopoda. Pakistan Journal of Marine Sciences 11(1-2):47–116.
- Leach WE. 1814. Crustaceology. *In*: Brewster D (Ed) The Edinburgh Encyclopaedia. Baldwin, London, pp. 383–437.
- Miers EJ. 1880. On a collection of Crustacea from the Malaysian Region Part 4. Penaeidae, *Stomatopoda, Isopoda, Suctoria*, and *Xiphosura*. Ann Mag Nat Hist **5:**457–467. doi:10.1080/00222938 009459444.
- Nashad M, Aneesh PT, Kumar AB, Bineesh KK. 2022. A new species of branchial fish parasitic isopod, *Norileca* Bruce, 1990 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae), from the Andaman Islands, India. Mar Biol Res **17(7–8):**669–679. doi:10.1080/17451000.20 21.2011321.
- Nierstrasz HF. 1931. Isopoda genuina. II. Flabellifera. In: Weber M, De Beaufort LF (Eds) Die Isopoden der Siboga-Expedition Siboga Expeditie (Uitkomsten op Zoölogisch, Botanisch, Oceanographisch en Geologisch Gebied verzameld in de Oost-Indische 1899–1900 aan boord HM Siboga onder commando van Luitenant ter zee 1e kl GF Tydeman) EJ Brill, Leiden, pp. 123–233. doi:10.5962/bhl.title.10641.
- Pillai NK. 1954. A preliminary note on the Tanaidacea and Isopoda of Travancore. Bulletin of the Central Research Institute, University of Travancore, Trivandrum **3**:1–22.
- Pillai NK. 1963. Two new genera of parasitic isopods from Kerala. Journal of the Zoological Society of India **15**:66–72.
- Pillai NK. 1964. Parasitic isopods of the family Cymothoidae from South Indian fishes. Parasitology 54:211–223. doi:10.1017/ S003118200006786X.

Rameshkumar G, Trilles JP, Ravichandran S. 2011. Cymothoidae

(Crustacea: Isopoda) from Indian fishes. Acta Parasitol **56:**78–91. doi:10.2478/s11686-011-0002-5.

- Ravichandran S, Vigneshwaran P, Rameshkumar G. 2019. A taxonomic review of the fish parasitic isopod family Cymothoidae Leach, 1818 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothooidea) of India. Zootaxa 4622(1):1–99. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4622.1.1.
- Richardson H. 1904. Contributions to the natural history of the Isopoda. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 27:1–89.
- Richardson H. 1909. Isopods collected in the northwest Pacific by the U. S. Bureau of Fisheries steamer "Albatross" in 1906. Proceedings of the United States National Museum **37(1701):**75–129.
- Richardson H. 1910. Marine isopods collected in the Philippines by the US Fisheries steamer Albatross in 1907–1908. Department of Commerce and Labor (USA), Bureau of fisheries document. Bureau of Fisheries **736**:1–44. doi:10.5962/bhl.title.82673.
- Saito N, Yamauchi T. 2016. A new species and new host records of the genus *Elthusa* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) from Japan. Crustacean Research 45:59–67. doi:10.18353/crustacea.45.0 59.
- Schioedte JC, Meinert F. 1884. Symbolae ad Monographiam Cymothoarum Isopodum Familiae 4. Cymothoidae. Trib. II. Cymothoinae. Trib. III. Livonecinae. Naturhist Tidsskr 14:221– 454.
- Smit NJ, Bruce NL, Hadfield KA. 2014. Global diversity of fish parasitic isopod crustaceans of the family Cymothoidae. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 3:188–197. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.03.004.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. MEGA 11: Molecular

Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. Mol Biol Evol **38**:3022–3027. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab120.

- Thielemann M. 1910. Contributions to the knowledge of the isopod fauna of East Asia treatises. Academy of Sciences Mathematical-Physical Class in Munich **2(3):**1–109.
- Tiwari KK. 1952. On a new species of the rare cymothoid genus *Agarna* Schi. and Mein., parasitic on the clupeid fish *Nematalosa nasus* (Bl.) in the Bay of Bengal. Rec Ind Mus **50**:295–300, pls 6.
- Trilles JP, Ravichandran S, Rameshkumar G. 2012. *Catoessa boscii* (Crustacea, Isopoda, Cymothoidae) parasitic on Carangoides malabaricus (Pisces, Carangidae) from India. Taxonomy and host-parasite relationships. Acta Parasitol **57:**179–189. doi:10.2478/s11686-012-0020-y.
- Wägele J-W. 1989. Evolution und phylogenetisches system der Isopoda. Stand der Forschung und neue Erkenntnisse Zoologica 140:1–262.
- Williams EH Jr, Bunkley-Williams L. 1994. *Ryukyua globosa* n. gen., n. sp., and *R. circularis* n. comb., parasitic in the opercular chambers of Pacific and Indian Ocean clupeid fishes. J Aquat Anim Health 6:151–161. doi:10.1577/1548-8667(1994)006%3C 0155:RGNGNS%3E2.3.CO;2.
- Williams LB, Williams EH. 1986. A new species of Cterissa (Isopoda: Cymothoidae) of marine fishes from Thailand. Galaxea 2:213– 216.
- Yamauchi T. 2009. Deep-sea cymothoid isopods (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cymothoidae) of Pacific coast of northern Honshu, Japan. National Museum of Nature and Science Monographs 39:467– 481.